Docket No:**305T-932610US**
Client Ref: **SF2001-014-2**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

U.S. Patent Application For

## NOVEL GLUTAMATE TRANSPORTERS

Inventor(s):

**ROBERT H. EDWARDS**, a citizen of the United States of America, residing at 579 Jersey St., SF, CA 94114

**ELIZABETH E. BELLOCCHIO**, a citizen of the United States of America, residing at 120 Roble Rd., Apt. 209, Walnut Creek, CA 94596

**ROBERT T. FREMEAU, JR.**, a citizen of the United States of America, residing at 120 Parnassus Ave., Apt. 6, SF, CA

**RICHARD J. REIMER**, a citizen of the United States of America, residing at residing at 612 Vermont St., SF, CA 94107

Assignee:    **The Regents of the University of California**

Entity:    **Small Entity**

**LAW OFFICES OF JONATHAN ALAN QUINE**
**2033 Clement Ave**
**Alameda, CA 94501**
**Tel:    (510) 337-7871**
**Fax:    (510) 337-7877**

# NOVEL GLUTAMATE TRANSPORTERS

## CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001]     This application claims priority to and benefit of U.S. Patent Application No: 60/220,556, filed on July 25, 2000, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety for all purposes.

## STATEMENT AS TO RIGHTS TO INVENTIONS MADE UNDER FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

[0002]     This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants NS16033, MH01365, and NS02034. the Government of the United States of America may have certain rights in this invention.

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0003]     This invention relates to the field of neurobiology. In particular this invention pertains to the identification of a number of novel glutamate transporters.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0004]     Excitatory neurotransmission involves the exocytotic release of synaptic vesicles filled with glutamate. Glutamate is synthesized in the cytoplasm, and undergoes transport into synaptic vesicles for quantal release. Like the uptake of other classical transmitters, vesicular glutamate transport depends on a proton electrochemical gradient ($\Delta\mu_{H+}$) generated by the vacuolar $H^+$-ATPase (Disbrow *et al.* (1982) *Biochemical and Biophysical Res. Commun.*, 108: 1221-1227; Naito and Ueda (1983) *J. Biol. Chem.* 258: 696-6990). However, unlike the uptake of monoamines and acetylcholine, vesicular glutamate transport relies predominantly on the electrical component of this gradient ($\Delta\Psi$) rather than the chemical component ($\Delta$pH) (Carlson *et al.* (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 7369-7376; Maycox *et al.* (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.* 263: 15423-15428). Consistent with this different mechanism, the two protein families responsible for vesicular uptake of monoamines, ACh and γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) (Liu and Edwards (1997) *Ann. Rev. Neurosci.* 20: 125-156; Reimer *et al* .(1998) *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 8: 405-412; Schuldiner

*et al.* (1995) *Physiol. Rev.* 75, 369-392; Varoqui *et al.,* (1994) *FEBS Lett.* 342: 97-102) have not been found to include a glutamate transporter.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

**[0005]**         This invention pertains to the identification of a family of novel glutamate transporters.  In particular, certain brain-specific Na+-dependent phosphate transporter are shown to be glutamate transporters.  Designated herein as VGLUT glutamate transporters, members of this family include, but are not limited to VGLUT1 (formerly BNPI), VGLUT2 (formerly DNPI), and VGLUT3.

**[0006]**         The VGLUT transporters of this invention provide good targets to screen for agents that modulate (*e.g.* upregulate or downregulate) glutamate uptake by a cell (*e.g.* by a neuron).  Thus, in one embodiment, this invention provides a method of screening for an agent that modulates the uptake of glutamate into a cell (*e.g.* into a synaptic vesicle).  The method preferably involves contacting a cell comprising a nucleic acid selected from the group consisting of VGLUT1, VGLUT2, and VGLUT3 with a test agent; and detecting expression or activity of VGLUT1, VGLUT2, or VGLUT3 where an increase or decrease in the expression or activity of VGLUT1, VGLUT2, or VGLUT3 as compared to a control indicates that the test agent modulates the uptake of glutamate into a cell.  The control can be a positive or a negative control.  In certain embodiments, the control is a negative control comprising contacting a cell at a lower concentration or in the absence concentration of the test agent.  Preferred cells include somatic cells or oocytes.  Particularly preferred cells include vertebrate cells, more preferably mammalian (*e.g.* human, rabbit, mouse, goat, equine, porcine, feline, canine, *etc.*) cells.

**[0007]**         In certain preferred embodiments, the detecting comprises detecting a VGLUT (*e.g.* VGLUT1 and/or VGLUT2, and/or VGLUT3, *etc.*) nucleic acid and/or a (VGLUT) polyeptide (*e.g.* VGLUT1 polypeptide and/or VGLUT2 polypeptide, and/or VGLUT3 polypeptide, *etc.*) VGLUT1 polypeptide, a VGLUT2 polypeptide, or a VGLUT3 polypeptide.  In certain embodiments, the VGLUT nucleic acid is detected via a nucleic acid hybridization (*e.g.,* a Northern blot, a Southern blot using DNA derived from the VGLUT1, VGLUT2, or VGLUT3 mRNA, an array hybridization, an affinity chromatography, an *in situ* hybridization, *etc.*) and/or a nucleic acid amplification (*e.g.* PCR, LCR, *etc.*).

**[0008]** In preferred embodiments, the VGLUT polypeptide is detected via a method such as capillary electrophoresis, Western blot, mass spectroscopy, ELISA, immunochromatography, immunohistochemistry, thin layer chromatography (TLC), and the like. In preferred embodiments, the VGLUT polypeptide activity involves detecting glutamate transport in a cell expressing an endogenous or a heterologous VGLUT polypeptide (*e.g.,* VGLUT1, VGLUT2, VGLUT3, *etc.*). In certain embodiments, the test agent is not one or more of the following: an antibody, a nucleic acid, a protein, and an agent that alters $\Delta pH$ or $\Delta \Psi$. In particularly embodiments the test agent is a small organic molecule. In certain embodiments, the methods further comprise comparing the level of expression or activity of VGLUT1 with the level of expression or activity of VGLUT2 and/or VGLUT3.

**[0009]** In another embodiment, this invention provides a method of prescreening for a potential modulator of glutamate transporter activity (*e.g.* glutamate uptake into a synaptic vesicle). The method preferably involves contacting a VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide (*e.g.* VGLUT1, VGLUT2, VGLUT3, *etc.*) or a nucleic acid encoding a VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide with a test agent; and detecting binding (*e.g.* specific binding) of the test agent to the VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide or to the nucleic acid encoding a VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide where specific binding of said test agent to the VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide or VGLUT nucleic acid indicates that the test agent is a potential modulator of glutamate transporter activity. The method can, optionally, further involve recording test agents that specifically bind to the VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide or to the nucleic acid encoding a VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide in a database of candidate modulators of glutamate transporter activity. In certain embodiments, the test agent is not one or more of the following: an antibody, a nucleic acid, a protein, and an agent that alters $\Delta pH$ or $\Delta \Psi$. In particularly embodiments the test agent is a small organic molecule. The detecting can involve detecting specific binding of the test agent to the VGLUT nucleic acid (*e.g.* via Northern blot, a Southern blot using DNA derived from the VGLUT mRNA, array hybridization, affinity chromatography, *in situ* hybridization, *etc.*). The detecting can also involve detecting specific binding of the test agent to the VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide (*e.g.* via capillary electrophoresis, Western blot, mass spectroscopy, ELISA, immunochromatography, thin layer chromatography, and immunohistochemistry). In

certain embodiments, the test agent is contacted directly to the VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide or to the nucleic acid encoding a VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide. in certain embodiments, the test agent is contacted to a cell containing the VGLUT glutamate transporter polypeptide or to said nucleic acid encoding a VGLUT

5      glutamate transporter polypeptide. The cell can be a cell cultured *ex vivo*.

[0010]      In still another embodiment, this invention provides a cell comprising a heterologous nucleic acid encoding a glutamate transporter wherein said glutamate transporter is selected from the group consisting of VGLUT1, VGLUT2, and VGLUT3. Preferred cells include somatic cells (*e.g.* nerve cells), or oocytes. Particularly preferred

10     cells include vertebrate cells, more preferably mammalian (*e.g.* human, rabbit, mouse, goat, equine, porcine, feline, canine, *etc.*) cells. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the cell transports glutamate via the heterologous VGLUT glutamate transporter. In one embodiment, the cell is a pheochromocytoma PC12 cell.

[0011]      In yet another embodiment, this invention provides a method of increasing

15     glutamate transport by a mammalian cell. The method can involve transfecting the cell with a nucleic acid encoding a VGLUT polypeptide selected from the group consisting of VGLUT1, VGLUT2, and VGLUT3. The VGLUT nucleic acid is preferably operably linked to a constitutive, tissue-specific or inducible promoter.

[0012]      This invention also provides a method of decreasing glutamate uptake into a

20     cell. The method involves downregulating expression or activity of a VGLUT polypeptide in the cell. In certain embodiments, the inhibiting comprises a method selected from the group consisting of contacting a VGLUT nucleic acid with a ribozyme that specifically cleaves said VGLUT nucleic acid, contacting a VGLUT nucleic acid with a catalytic DNA that specifically cleaves said VGLUT nucleic acid, transfecting a cell comprising an

25     VGLUT gene with a nucleic acid that inactivates the VGLUT gene by homologous recombination with the VGLUT gene, transfecting a cell comprising a with a nucleic acid encoding an intrabody that specifically binds a VGLUT polypeptide, and transfecting the cell with a VGLUT antisense molecule.

[0013]      This invention also provides a kit for screening for compounds that modulate

30     glutamate transport. Preferred kits include a cell that expresses a VGLUT glutamate transporter selected from the group consisting of VGLUT1, VGLUT2, and VGLUT3; and a

-4-

detection moiety selected from the group consisting of an antibody that specifically binds to the VGLUT glutamate transporter, a nucleic acid that specifically binds to a nucleic acid encoding the VGLUT glutamate transporter, a primer that specifically amplifies a nucleic acid encoding said VGLUT glutamate transporter or a fragment thereof, and a labeled glutamate. The cell is preferably a cell comprising a heterologous nucleic acid encoding the glutamate transporter. The kit can also include instructional materials providing protocols for screening for modulators of a VGLUT glutamate transporter and teaching that such modulators alter glutamate transport.

**[0014]** This invention also provides VGLUT knockout animals. Preferred knockouts include a mammal (*e.g.,* an equine, a bovine, a rodent, a porcine, a lagomorph, a feline, a canine, a murine, a caprine, an ovine, a non-human primate, *etc.*) comprising a disruption in an endogenous glutamate transporter gene selected from the group consisting of VGLUT1, VGLUT2, and VGLUT3, where the disruption results in the knockout mammal exhibiting decreased expression of a VGLUT glutamate transporter as compared to a wild-type animal. In certain embodiments, the disruption an insertion, a deletion, a frameshift mutation, a substitution, or a stop codon. In certain embodiments, the disruption comprises an insertion of an expression cassette into said endogenous glutamate transporter gene. The expression cassette can comprise a selectable marker. The expression cassette can comprise a neomycin phosphotransferase gene operably linked to at least one regulatory element. The disruption can be in a somatic cell and/or in a germ cell. The mammal can be heterozygous or homozygous for the disrupted glutamate transporter gene.

**[0015]** This invention also provides a method of inhibiting glutamate uptake into a cell. The method can comprise contacting a cell comprising a synaptic vesicle with an agent that inhibits expression or activity of a VGLUT polypeptide. In certain embodiments, the agent is not one or more of the following: an antibody, a nucleic acid, a protein, and an agent that alters $\Delta$pH or $\Delta\Psi$. In particularly embodiments the test agent is a small organic molecule, a VGLUT antisense molecule, a VGLUT ribozyme, a VGLUT catalytic DNA, an anti-VGLUT antibody, and a nucleic acid that disrupts a VGLUT gene by homologous recombination.

**[0016]** Also provided is a method of increasing glutamate uptake into a cell where the method comprises contacting the cell comprising with an agent that increases VGLUT

glutamate transporter expression or activity (*e.g.* an vector encoding a heterologous VGLUT glutamate transporter).

## DEFINITIONS

[0017]    The terms "polypeptide", "peptide" and "protein" are used interchangeably herein to refer to a polymer of amino acid residues. The terms apply to amino acid polymers in which one or more amino acid residue is an artificial chemical analogue of a corresponding naturally occurring amino acid, as well as to naturally occurring amino acid polymers. The term also includes variants on the traditional peptide linkage joining the amino acids making up the polypeptide.

[0018]    The terms "nucleic acid" or "oligonucleotide" or grammatical equivalents herein refer to at least two nucleotides covalently linked together. A nucleic acid of the present invention is preferably single-stranded or double stranded and will generally contain phosphodiester bonds, although in some cases, as outlined below, nucleic acid analogs are included that may have alternate backbones, comprising, for example, phosphoramide (Beaucage *et al.* (1993) *Tetrahedron* 49(10):1925) and references therein; Letsinger (1970) *J. Org. Chem.* 35:3800; Sprinzl et al. (1977) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 81: 579; Letsinger *et al.* (1986) *Nucl. Acids Res.* 14: 3487; Sawai *et al.* (1984) *Chem. Lett.* 805, Letsinger *et al.* (1988) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 110: 4470; and Pauwels *et al.* (1986) *Chemica Scripta* 26: 1419), phosphorothioate (Mag et al. (1991) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 19:1437; and U.S. Patent No. 5,644,048), phosphorodithioate (Briu *et al.* (1989) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 111 :2321, O-methylphophoroamidite linkages (*see* Eckstein, *Oligonucleotides and Analogues: A Practical Approach*, Oxford University Press), and peptide nucleic acid backbones and linkages (*see* Egholm (1992) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 114:1895; Meier *et al.* (1992) *Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 31: 1008; Nielsen (1993) *Nature*, 365: 566; Carlsson *et al.* (1996) *Nature* 380: 207). Other analog nucleic acids include those with positive backbones (Denpcy *et al.* (1995) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92: 6097; non-ionic backbones (U.S. Patent Nos. 5,386,023, 5,637,684, 5,602,240, 5,216,141 and 4,469,863; Angew (1991) *Chem. Intl. Ed. English* 30: 423; Letsinger et al. (1988) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 110:4470; Letsinger *et al.* (1994) *Nucleoside & Nucleotide* 13:1597; Chapters 2 and 3, ASC Symposium Series 580, "Carbohydrate Modifications in Antisense Research", Ed. Sanghui and Cook; Mesmaeker *et al.* (1994), *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chem. Lett.* 4: 395; Jeffs *et al.* (1994) *J. Biomolecular*

*NMR* 34:17; *Tetrahedron Lett.* 37:743 (1996)) and non-ribose backbones, including those described in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,235,033 and 5,034,506, and Chapters 6 and 7, ASC Symposium Series 580, *Carbohydrate Modifications in Antisense Research*, Ed. Sanghui and Cook. Nucleic acids containing one or more carbocyclic sugars are also included

5      within the definition of nucleic acids (*see* Jenkins *et al.* (1995), *Chem. Soc. Rev.* pp169-176). Several nucleic acid analogs are described in Rawls, C & E News June 2, 1997 page 35. These modifications of the ribose-phosphate backbone may be done to facilitate the addition of additional moieties such as labels, or to increase the stability and half-life of such molecules in physiological environments.

10     **[0019]**      A "VGLUT transporter" refers to a member of a glutamate transporter family characterized by VGLUT1, VGLUT2, and VGLUT3. The VGLUT glutamate transporters belong to a larger family known as the type I phosphate transporters. However, particularly in view of the teachings provided herein, it is demonstrated that members of this family transport organic anions (such as sialic acid and glutamate) rather than inorganic phosphate.

15     Within this family, the VGLUTs show much stronger sequence similarity (>50% amino acid identity to each other from *C. elegans* to mammals and >80% within mammals) than to other type I phosphate transporters such as sialin and NaPi-1 (35-45% amino acid identity). Thus, preferred VGLUT glutamate transporters of this invention show 50% or greater amino acid sequence identity, preferably 65% or greater amino acid sequence identity, more

20     preferably 80% or greater amino acid sequence identity, still more preferably 90% or greater amino acid sequence identity, and most preferably 95% or greater amino acid sequence identity, to VGLUT1 and/or to VGLUT2 and/or to VGLUT3.

**[0020]**      The term "VGLUT nucleic acid" refers to a nucleic acid encoding a VGLUT polypeptide (glutamate transporter) or to a nucleic acid derived therefrom. Thus, VGLUT

25     nucleic acids include, but are not limited, to various VGLUT genes (*e.g.* VGLUT1, VGLUT2, and VGLUT3), a VGLUT RNA (*e.g.* VGLUT1 RNA, VGLUT2 RNA, and VGLUT3 RNA), a VGLUT cDNA, a VGLUT cRNA, and the like.

**[0021]**      A "VGLUT1 nucleic" is a nucleic acid that encodes a polypeptide encoded by VGLUT1 (GenBank Accession No: AB032436) and homologs and orthologues thereof

30     or to a nucleic acid derived therefrom. Thus, VGLUT1 nucleic acids include, but are not limited, to a VGLUT1 gene, a VGLUT1 cDNA, a VGLUT1 RNA, a VGLUT1 cRNA, an amplification produce produced from a VGLUT1 nucleic acid template, and the like.

Similarly, a "VGLUT2 nucleic" is a nucleic acid that encodes a polypeptide encoded by VGLUT2 (GenBank Accession Nos: rat VGLUT2: AF271235; human VGLUT2: AB032435) and homologs and orthologues thereof or to a nucleic acid derived therefrom. A "VGLUT3 nucleic" is a nucleic acid that encodes a polypeptide encoded by VGLUT3 (GenBank Accession No: AL157942) and homologs and orthologues thereof or to a nucleic acid derived therefrom.

[0022]    A "VGLUT protein or polypeptide" is a glutamate transporter protein encoded by a VGLUT nucleic acid. Similarly, a "VGLUT1, VGLUT2, or VGLUT3 protein or polypeptide" is a glutamate transporter protein encoded by a VGLUT1, VGLUT2, or VGLUT3 nucleic acid, respectively.

[0023]    "BNPI" refers to a brain-specific inorganic phosphate transporter (*see, e.g.,* Rosteck *et al.* (1994) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 91: 5607-5611; Glinn and Paul (1995) J. *Neurochem,* 65: 2358-2365 (1995); and Glinn *et al.*(1998) *J. Neurochem.,* 70: 1850-1858). See also, GenBank accession number AB032436. BNPI is used herein synonymously with VGLUT1.

[0024]    The phrase "detecting expression or activity of VGLUT" refers to detecting expression of a VGLUT nucleic acid (*e.g.* VGLUT1, and/or VGLUT2, and/or VGLUT3), detecting expression of a VGLUT protein (*e.g.* a VGLUT1 polypeptide, and/or a VGLUT2 polypeptide, and/or VGLUT3 polypeptide), or detecting activity of a VGLUT polypeptide.

[0025]    The term "inhibit expression" when used with reference to inhibition of VGLUT (*e.g.* VGLUT1 and/or VGLUT2 and/or VGLUT3) refers to a reduction or blocking of VGLUT transcription, and/or translation, and/or formation or availability or activity of a VGLUT protein (*e.g.* VGLUT1 and/or VGLUT2 and/or VGLUT3).

[0026]    The term "detecting a VGLUT mRNA or cDNA" refers to detecting and/or quantifying a VGLUT nucleic acid or a nucleic acid derived therefrom the quantification of which provides an indication of the expression level of the VGLUT nucleic acid. The term thus includes, but is not limited to detection of VGLUT mRNA, cDNA, VGLUT amplification products, and fragments of any of these.

[0027]    The terms "binding partner", or "capture agent", or a member of a "binding pair" refers to molecules that specifically bind other molecules to form a binding complex such as antibody-antigen, lectin-carbohydrate, nucleic acid-nucleic acid, biotin-avidin, *etc.*

**[0028]**      The term "specifically binds", as used herein, when referring to a biomolecule (*e.g.*, protein, nucleic acid, antibody, etc.), refers to a binding reaction which is determinative of the presence biomolecule in heterogeneous population of molecules (*e.g.*, proteins and other biologics). Thus, under designated conditions (*e.g.* immunoassay conditions in the case of an antibody or stringent hybridization conditions in the case of a nucleic acid), the specified ligand or antibody binds to its particular "target" molecule and does not bind in a significant amount to other molecules present in the sample.

**[0029]**      The phrase "transport of glutamate into a cell" refers to the uptake of glutamate into a synaptic vesicle (*e.g.* of a nerve cell), or the uptake of glutamate into other kinds of cells, as well. Thus, for example, transport of glutamate into a cell can refer to the transport of glutamate into an oocyte (*e.g.* an oocytes expressing a heterologous VGLUT transporter) in which case, uptake is across the plasma membrane. In certain preferred embodiments, uptake is uptake by a mammalian cell.

**[0030]**      The terms "hybridizing specifically to" and "specific hybridization" and "selectively hybridize to," as used herein refer to the binding, duplexing, or hybridizing of a nucleic acid molecule preferentially to a particular nucleotide sequence under stringent conditions. The term "stringent conditions" refers to conditions under which a probe will hybridize preferentially to its target subsequence, and to a lesser extent to, or not at all to, other sequences. Stringent hybridization and stringent hybridization wash conditions in the context of nucleic acid hybridization are sequence dependent, and are different under different environmental parameters. An extensive guide to the hybridization of nucleic acids is found in, *e.g.*, Tijssen (1993) *Laboratory Techniques in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology--Hybridization with Nucleic Acid Probes part I, chapt 2, Overview of principles of hybridization and the strategy of nucleic acid probe assays,* Elsevier, NY ( Tijssen ). Generally, highly stringent hybridization and wash conditions are selected to be about 5°C lower than the thermal melting point ($T_m$) for the specific sequence at a defined ionic strength and pH. The $T_m$ is the temperature (under defined ionic strength and pH) at which 50% of the target sequence hybridizes to a perfectly matched probe. Very stringent conditions are selected to be equal to the $T_m$ for a particular probe. An example of stringent hybridization conditions for hybridization of complementary nucleic acids which have more than 100 complementary residues on an array or on a filter in a Southern or northern blot is 42°C using standard hybridization solutions (*see, e.g.,* Sambrook (1989) *Molecular*

*Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (2nd ed.) Vol. 1-3*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor Press, NY, and detailed discussion, below), with the hybridization being carried out overnight. An example of highly stringent wash conditions is 0.15 M NaCl at 72°C for about 15 minutes. An example of stringent wash conditions is a 0.2x SSC wash at

5     65°C for 15 minutes (*see, e.g.,* Sambrook *supra.*) for a description of SSC buffer). Often, a high stringency wash is preceded by a low stringency wash to remove background probe signal. An example medium stringency wash for a duplex of, *e.g.,* more than 100 nucleotides, is 1x SSC at 45°C for 15 minutes. An example of a low stringency wash for a duplex of, *e.g.,* more than 100 nucleotides, is 4x to 6x SSC at 40°C for 15 minutes.

10     **[0031]**     The term "test agent" refers to an agent that is to be screened in one or more of the assays described herein. The agent can be virtually any chemical compound. It can exist as a single isolated compound or can be a member of a chemical (*e.g.* combinatorial) library. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the test agent will be a small organic molecule.

15     **[0032]**     The term "small organic molecule" refers to a molecule of a size comparable to those organic molecules generally used in pharmaceuticals. The term excludes biological macromolecules (*e.g.,* proteins, nucleic acids, *etc.*). Preferred small organic molecules range in size up to about 5000 Da, more preferably up to 2000 Da, and most preferably up to about 1000 Da.

20     **[0033]**     The term database refers to a means for recording and retrieving information. In preferred embodiments the database also provides means for sorting and/or searching the stored information. The database can comprise any convenient media including, but not limited to, paper systems, card systems, mechanical systems, electronic systems, optical systems, magnetic systems or combinations thereof. Preferred databases include electronic

25     (*e.g.* computer-based) databases. Computer systems for use in storage and manipulation of databases are well known to those of skill in the art and include, but are not limited to "personal computer systems", mainframe systems, distributed nodes on an inter- or intra-net, data or databases stored in specialized hardware (*e.g.* in microchips), and the like.

    **[0034]**     The term "heterologous" as it relates to nucleic acid sequences such as

30     coding sequences and control sequences, denotes sequences that are not normally associated with a region of a recombinant construct, and/or are not normally associated with a

particular cell. Thus, a "heterologous" region of a nucleic acid construct is an identifiable segment of nucleic acid within or attached to another nucleic acid molecule that is not found in association with the other molecule in nature. For example, a heterologous region of a construct could include a coding sequence flanked by sequences not found in association

5    with the coding sequence in nature. Another example of a heterologous coding sequence is a construct where the coding sequence itself is not found in nature (*e.g.*, synthetic sequences having codons different from the native gene). Similarly, a host cell transformed with a construct which is not normally present in the host cell would be considered heterologous for purposes of this invention.

10    **[0035]**    The term "recombinant" or "recombinantly expressed" when used with reference to a cell indicates that the cell replicates or expresses a nucleic acid, or expresses a peptide or protein encoded by a nucleic acid whose origin is exogenous to the cell. Recombinant cells can express genes that are not found within the native (non-recombinant) form of the cell. Recombinant cells can also express genes found in the native form of the

15    cell wherein the genes are re-introduced into the cell by artificial means, for example under the control of a heterologous promoter.

**[0036]**    The terms "identical" or percent "identity," in the context of two or more nucleic acids or polypeptide sequences, refer to two or more sequences or subsequences that are the same or have a specified percentage of amino acid residues or nucleotides that are

20    the same, when compared and aligned for maximum correspondence, as measured using one of the following sequence comparison algorithms or by visual inspection. With respect to the peptides of this invention sequence identity is determined over the full length of the peptide.

**[0037]**    For sequence comparison, typically one sequence acts as a reference

25    sequence, to which test sequences are compared. When using a sequence comparison algorithm, test and reference sequences are input into a computer, subsequence coordinates are designated, if necessary, and sequence algorithm program parameters are designated. The sequence comparison algorithm then calculates the percent sequence identity for the test sequence(s) relative to the reference sequence, based on the designated program

30    parameters.

**[0038]**　　　Optimal alignment of sequences for comparison can be conducted, *e.g.*, by the local homology algorithm of Smith & Waterman, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 2:482 (1981), by the homology alignment algorithm of Needleman & Wunsch, *J. Mol. Biol.* 48:443 (1970), by the search for similarity method of Pearson & Lipman (1988) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85:2444, by computerized implementations of these algorithms (GAP, BESTFIT, FASTA, and TFASTA in the Wisconsin Genetics Software Package, Genetics Computer Group, 575 Science Dr., Madison, WI), or by visual inspection (*see generally* Ausubel *et al.*, *supra*).

**[0039]**　　　One example of a useful algorithm is PILEUP. PILEUP creates a multiple sequence alignment from a group of related sequences using progressive, pairwise alignments to show relationship and percent sequence identity. It also plots a tree or dendogram showing the clustering relationships used to create the alignment. PILEUP uses a simplification of the progressive alignment method of Feng & Doolittle (1987) *J. Mol. Evol.* 35:351-360. The method used is similar to the method described by Higgins & Sharp (1989) *CABIOS* 5: 151-153. The program can align up to 300 sequences, each of a maximum length of 5,000 nucleotides or amino acids. The multiple alignment procedure begins with the pairwise alignment of the two most similar sequences, producing a cluster of two aligned sequences. This cluster is then aligned to the next most related sequence or cluster of aligned sequences. Two clusters of sequences are aligned by a simple extension of the pairwise alignment of two individual sequences. The final alignment is achieved by a series of progressive, pairwise alignments. The program is run by designating specific sequences and their amino acid or nucleotide coordinates for regions of sequence comparison and by designating the program parameters. For example, a reference sequence can be compared to other test sequences to determine the percent sequence identity relationship using the following parameters: default gap weight (3.00), default gap length weight (0.10), and weighted end gaps.

**[0040]**　　　Another example of algorithm that is suitable for determining percent sequence identity and sequence similarity is the BLAST algorithm, which is described in Altschul *et al.* (1990) *J. Mol. Biol.* 215: 403-410. Software for performing BLAST analyses is publicly available through the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). This algorithm involves first identifying high scoring sequence pairs (HSPs) by identifying short words of length W in the query sequence, which either match or satisfy some positive-valued threshold score T when aligned with a word of

the same length in a database sequence. T is referred to as the neighborhood word score threshold (Altschul *et al, supra*). These initial neighborhood word hits act as seeds for initiating searches to find longer HSPs containing them. The word hits are then extended in both directions along each sequence for as far as the cumulative alignment score can be

5    increased. Cumulative scores are calculated using, for nucleotide sequences, the parameters M (reward score for a pair of matching residues; always > 0) and N (penalty score for mismatching residues; always < 0). For amino acid sequences, a scoring matrix is used to calculate the cumulative score. Extension of the word hits in each direction are halted when: the cumulative alignment score falls off by the quantity X from its maximum

10   achieved value; the cumulative score goes to zero or below, due to the accumulation of one or more negative-scoring residue alignments; or the end of either sequence is reached. The BLAST algorithm parameters W, T, and X determine the sensitivity and speed of the alignment. The BLASTN program (for nucleotide sequences) uses as defaults a wordlength (W) of 11, an expectation (E) of 10, M=5, N=-4, and a comparison of both strands. For

15   amino acid sequences, the BLASTP program uses as defaults a wordlength (W) of 3, an expectation (E) of 10, and the BLOSUM62 scoring matrix (*see* Henikoff & Henikoff (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89:10915).

[0041]      In addition to calculating percent sequence identity, the BLAST algorithm also performs a statistical analysis of the similarity between two sequences (*see, e.g.*, Karlin

20   & Altschul (1993) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* ,90: 5873-5787). One measure of similarity provided by the BLAST algorithm is the smallest sum probability (P(N)), which provides an indication of the probability by which a match between two nucleotide or amino acid sequences would occur by chance. For example, a nucleic acid is considered similar to a reference sequence if the smallest sum probability in a comparison of the test nucleic acid to

25   the reference nucleic acid is less than about 0.1, more preferably less than about 0.01, and most preferably less than about 0.001.

[0042]      The term "operably linked" as used herein refers to linkage of a promoter to a nucleic acid sequence such that the promoter mediates/controls transcription of the nucleic acid sequence.

30   [0043]      The term "induce" expression refers to an increase in the transcription and/or translation of a gene or cDNA.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0044]     Figure 1 shows a nucleic acid and amino acid sequence for VGLUT3.

[0045]     Figures 2A and 2B show that BNPI expression confers saturable glutamate uptake in PC12 cell membranes. Figure 2A:  Membranes prepared from transfected PC12 cells (lines 2, 16, and 45, solid symbols) accumulate two to four times the $^3$H-glutamate (glu) that membranes from untransfected cells accumulate [wild type (wt), open squares; prot, protein].  Figure 2B:  The initial maximal rate of transport by BNPI at 1 min (Vo) saturates with increasing concentrations of $^3$H-glutamate.  The uptake by untransfected cell membranes was subtracted as background uptake. (Inset) Lineweaver-Burke analysis indicates a Km of ~2 mM

[0046]     Figure 3 illustrates inhibition of BNPI transport by amino acids and other compounds. L-Glutamate (L-glu), but not L-aspartate (asp) or L-glutamine (gln) (all 10 mM), markedly inhibits the uptake of $^3$H-glutamate at 5 min by membranes expressing BNPI. D-Glutamate (D-glu) (10 mM) partially inhibits the uptake. Evans blue (EB) (5 $\mu$M) also inhibits BNPI activity dramatically, whereas 1 $\mu$M DIDS blocks transport only partly, and 10 mM Pi has little effect. Uptake by membranes from untransfected PC12 cells (also for 5 min) was subtracted from that observed in the transfected cell membranes, and the results were normalized to uptake by transfected cell membranes in the absence of inhibitors. The compounds had no significant effect on the background uptake by untransfected cell membranes. The results represent the average from at least two experiments performed in duplicate, and the error bars represent the standard deviation.

[0047]     Figures 4A and 4B show the ionic dependence of transport by BNPI.  Figure 4A:  Transport of $^3$H-glutamate at 5 min by membranes expressing BNPI shows a strong dependence on chloride concentration, with an optimum of ~2 to 4 mM.  The experiments were performed with varying proportions of 0.14 M potassium gluconate and 0.14 M KCl in the standard reaction buffer, as described herein, without sucrose to produce the different concentrations of chloride and to maintain constant osmolarity.  The uptake by untransfected as well as transfected cells was normalized to maximal uptake by the transfected cells.  Figure 4B:  With membranes preloaded with 4 mM KCl, the proton ionophore nigericin (5 $\mu$M) increases the uptake of $^3$H-glutamate by membranes from transfected cells, whereas valinomycin (20 $\mu$M), which eliminates the membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$), reduces uptake; the combination essentially eliminates transport mediated by BNPI.

The results are presented as a percentage of uptake by transfected cells in the absence of ionophore and represent the average of at least two experiments performed in duplicate on different membrane preparations. The error bars indicate the standard deviation.

[0048]        Figures 5A through 5D illustrate the role of BNPI in acidification by glutamate and chloride. Figure 5A: The quenching of acridine orange fluorescence shows that glutamate (10 mM) acidifies membranes expressing BNPI (black traces) but not membranes from untransfected cells (wt, gray traces). A high concentration of chloride (90 mM) also produces more acidification of BNPI-expressing vesicles than control vesicles. Arrows indicate the times of addition of ATP to a final concentration of 4 mM and of KCl to the final concentrations shown. Figure 5B: Membranes expressing BNPI (black traces) show more acidification with increasing concentrations of chloride than do control membranes (gray traces). BNPI-containing membranes also reach a steady-state pH early in the course of the experiment, whereas control membranes show a declining pH, even at late times. Arrows indicate the addition of ATP and KCl as described in (5A). Figure 5C: Glutamate (10 mM) (black traces), but not aspartate (10 mM) (gray traces), reduces the acidification of BNPI-expressing membranes by chloride. Arrows indicate the additions, as in (5A). Figure 5D: Quantitation of the chloride conductance. Acridine orange fluorescence quenching shows that transfected cell membranes (open bars, right) exhibit a substantially greater rate of chloride-dependent acidification than untransfected cell membranes exhibit (open bars, left) at higher concentrations of KCl (20, 50, and 140 mM). Glutamate (10 mM) (black bars) reduces the rate of acidification in transfected cell membranes (right) but not in untransfected cell membranes (left). In contrast, the nontransported aspartate (10 mM) (gray bars) has little effect on the acidification of membranes expressing BNPI (right). The rate of fluorescence quenching was determined by averaging the change in fluorescence units over 12 consecutive 5-s intervals beginning 15 s after each addition of KCl. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.

[0049]        Figures 6A and 6B show that DNPI belongs to a subfamily of type I phosphate transporters. Figure 6A shows that the predicted amino acid sequence of rat DNPI/VGLUT2 exhibits more similarity to rat VGLUT1 and *C. elegans* EAT-4 than to other type I phosphate transporters including human sialin and rat NaPi-1. The sequences were aligned using PILEUP (GCG). Black boxes indicate identical residues and gray boxes conservative substitutions. The solid lines above rat DNPI/VGLUT2 reflect the location of

putative transmembrane domains (predicted by Kyte-Doolittle analysis of hydropathy). The dashed lines indicate hydrophobic segments too short to span the membrane that might form re-entrant loops. The asterisk indicates a putative glycosylation site. Figure 6B shows a dendrogram showing the amino acid sequence relationship between rat VGLUT2 and rat

5    VGLUT1, *C. elegans* EAT4, human sialin and rabbit NaPi-1. The percentage shown in parentheses indicates the percent identity to rat VGLUT2.

[0050]    Figure 7, panels A through H show differential expression of DNPI and VGLUT1 by *in situ* hybridization. Horizontal (Panels A and B) and coronal (Panels C-H) brain sections from 3-week old rats were hybridized with $^{35}$S-labeled antisense RNA probes

10    derived from unique carboxy-terminal and 3'-untranslated regions of rat DNPI/VGLUT2 (A,C-E) or VGLUT1 (B,F-H) cDNAs and exposed to film for 3 days. The cortex, hippocampus and cerebellar cortex express predominantly VGLUT1 whereas the thalamus, brainstem and deep cerebellar nuclei (DCN) express predominantly DNPI. Within the cortex, DNPI is expressed predominantly by neurons in layer IV whereas VGLUT1 is

15    expressed by layers II-VI. The caudate-putamen (CPu), containing largely inhibitory neurons, expresses neither sequence (Panels C and F). In addition, the medial septum (MS), nuclei of the diagonal band (NDB) and hypothalamus (Hypo) express only DNPI (Panel C). Within the hippocampus, DNPI occurs at low levels in the pyramidal cell layer relative to VGLUT1, but is slightly higher in CA1 and 2 than in other fields, and is undetectable in the

20    dentate gyrus (Panels D and G). In contrast, VGLUT1 shows robust expression by pyramidal neurons and granule cells in all areas (Panel G). Numerous thalamic (Thal) and hypothalamic nuclei (such as the ventromedial nucleus, VMH) express DNPI but very little VGLUT1 (Panels D and G). In the amygdala, the medial nucleus (Me) hybridizes exclusively for DNPI whereas the lateral and basolateral (BL) nuclei hybridize more

25    strongly for VGLUT1. Abbreviations not defined above: IC, inferior colliculi; CG, anterior cingulate cortex; Cl, claustrum; RS, retrospenial cortex; PrS, presubiculum; S, subiculum; SC, superior colliculus; MG, medial geniculate; CG, central gray; R, red nucleus; IP, interpeduncular nucleus; Pir, piriform cortex; MHb, medial habenula. Scale bars = 2 mm (Panels A, B), 1.25 mm (Panels C-H).

30    [0051]    Figure 8, panels A through 8F illustrate complementary expression of VGLUT proteins in synaptic layers. Adjacent rat brain sections were immunostained using the peroxidase method for DNPI/VGLUT2 (panels A, C, and E) and VGLUT1 (panels B, D,

and F). In all regions, the immunoreactivity for both proteins appears punctate and restricted to gray matter, suggesting expression at synapses. At the level of the caudate-putamen (CPu) and nucleus accumbens (NAc) (panels A and B), the striatum labels for both proteins, but DNPI clearly predominates in the ventral pallidum and nucleus of the diagonal

5    band (NDB). In the cortex, DNPI localizes to layers IV and VI, and VGLUT1 to all layers. (Tu = olfactory tubercle, Pir = piriform cortex). At the level of the caudal diencephalon (CD), DNPI immunoreactivity is much more prominent than VGLUT1 in thalamic nuclei, particularly medial and intralaminar nuclei (MI), and in hypothalamic nuclei, especially the dorsomedial (DM) and lateral nuclei (LH). Sensory relay nuclei of the thalamus, including

10   the ventroposteromedial nucleus (VPM), ventroposterolateral nucleus (VPL) and the lateral geniculate (LG) nucleus label for both proteins. However, these nuclei vary in the extent of labeling, with VPM and VPL nuclei more strongly immunoreactive for DNPI than the posterior (Po) nucleus and the dorsal lateral geniculate (dLG) more reactive for VGLUT1 than the ventral lateral geniculate (vLG). Both DNPI and VGLUT1 are found throughout

15   the amygdaloid complex (Am). At the level of the midbrain (panels E and F), most brainstem structures lack VGLUT1 immunoreactivity whereas the midbrain shows widespread staining for DNPI in the superior colliculus (SC), central gray (CG), substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) and substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr). The mammillary nucleus (MM) and medial geniculate body (MG) contain both proteins. The presubiculum

20   (PrS, notably layer III), but not the subiculum (S), strongly labels for DNPI, whereas VGLUT1 shows the opposite pattern of immunoreactivity. Distinct, complementary patterns of immunostaining are present in the hippocampus (see also Figure 9). Although VGLUT1 immunoreactivity predominates, stratum lacunosum-moleculare (lm) also contains DNPI. Preadsorption with the VGLUT2-GST fusion protein eliminated the

25   immunoreactivity observed with DNPI antibody (*see* Figure 9). Scale bar = 1 mm (all panels).

[0052]    Figure 9, panels A through G illustrate. complementary expression of VGLUT proteins in the hippocampus and cerebellar cortex. Panel A: In the hippocampus, DNPI/VGLUT2-immunoreactivity localizes selectively to nerve endings in the pyramidal

30   layer (P) of CA2 (and immediately adjacent CA3), but not CA1 or CA3. Pyramidal cell bodies (p) are not immunoreactive. Panel B: VGLUT1, in contrast, localizes throughout CA1-3 in small puncta distributed uniformly in strata oriens (O) and radiatum (R)

(representing the terminals of the Schaffer collateral system from CA3 pyramidal cells), and in large, mossy fiber boutons in stratum lucidum (LU), representing the terminals of the axons from dentate granule cells (Storm-Mathisen *et al.* (1983) *Nature* 301: 517-520). Panel C: In the dentate gyrus, DNPI localizes selectively to nerve endings in the granular

5    layer (Gr), particularly in its superficial parts. Granule cell bodies (g) are unstained. Right panel: High magnification view of a different section under oil immersion. Inset: electron micrograph showing the synapse of an immunoreactive nerve terminal onto a dendrite in the granule cell layer; arrowheads indicate synaptic vesicles, open arrowheads point to synaptic site (Stanfield and Cowan (1984) *Brain Res.* 309: 299-307). Panels D and G:

10   Preadsorption of DNPI serum with DNPI-GST fusion protein (20 $\mu$g/ml) abolishes the staining of nerve terminals. Panel E: VGLUT1 localizes to small puncta in all the other layers of the dentate gyrus, including puncta in the molecular layer (with the middle zone (Mm) containing terminals of the medial perforant path, and the inner zone (Mi) terminals of mossy cells in the hilus (H)), and large, mossy fiber boutons. (Stratum radiatum (R) of

15   CA3 appears near the bottom of the main panel.) Right panel: High magnification view under oil immersion shows immunoreactive nerve endings in layers Mi and H. The distribution of DNPI/VGLUT2-immunoreactive boutons (A,C) conforms to the distribution of afferents from the supramammillary nucleus (Amaral, D. G., and Witter, M. P. (1995) Pp 443-495 In:. *The Rat Nervous System, Second Edition.*, G. Paxinos, ed. (San Diego:

20   Academic Press)), whereas the distribution of VGLUT1-immunoreactive boutons (B,E) conforms to that of the main glutamate immunoreactive systems (Storm-Mathisen *et al.* *supra.*). Panel F: In the cerebellum, DNPI/VGLUT2 localizes to climbing fiber boutons (clf) in the molecular layer, and to mossy fiber boutons (mf) in the granule cell layer. Insets: high magnification view under oil immersion showing clf, and two different shapes

25   of mf. Panel H: Dense VGLUT1-immunoreactive puncta representing parallel fiber boutons fill the molecular layer, and show unstained structures in silhouette: Bergmann astroglia (b), Purkinje cell dendrites (d) and cell bodies (p), stellate interneurons (s), and blood vessels (not indicated). In addition, VGLUT1 is expressed in mossy fiber boutons (mf). Sections were viewed by differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. Scale bar

30   shown in A: A (all panels), C-E (main panels), 50 $\mu$m; B, 100 $\mu$m; C and E right panels, 20 $\mu$m; C inset, 0.3 $\mu$m. Scale bar in F-H, 30 $\mu$m; F insets, 10 $\mu$m.

[0053]     Figures 10A and 10B show that DNPI localizes to synaptic vesicles. Figure 10A: After differential centrifugation of rat brain extracts prepared by the hypotonic lysis of synaptosomes (Huttner *et al.* (1983) *J. Cell Biol.* 96: 1374-1388), equal amounts of protein from each fraction were analyzed by Western blotting. Like VGLUT1,

5    DNPI/VGLUT2 cosediments with the synaptic vesicle protein synaptophysin (syp), the plasma membrane t-SNARE syntaxin (stx) and the NMDA receptor subunit NR1 in the washed synaptosome fraction P2'. After hypotonic lysis, both DNPI and VGLUT1 appear enriched in a population of light membranes (LP2) along with synaptophysin whereas syntaxin and NR1 fractionate with heavier membranes (including the plasma membrane) in

10   LP1. However, DNPI and VGLUT1 also appear at higher levels in LP1 than synaptophysin, suggesting localization on the plasma membrane as well as synaptic vesicles. In addition, DNPI appears at higher levels than VGLUT1 on a population of crude membranes lighter than synaptosomes (S2). Figure 10B: Fractions 1-16 were collected from the top of a 5-25% glycerol velocity gradient used to fractionate P2' (Clift-O'Grady *et*

15   *al.* (1990) *J. Cell Biol.* 110: 1693-1703). Western analysis of equal volumes from each fraction shows that DNPI cofractionates with VGLUT1 and synaptophysin in fractions 3-7. In contrast, the plasma membrane protein syntaxin occurs predominantly at the bottom of the gradient. However, DNPI and VGLUT1 show proportionately more immunoreactivity at the bottom of the gradient than synaptophysin, suggesting expression on membranes in

20   addition to synaptic vesicles.

[0054]     Figures 11A and 11B show that VGLUT1 and 2 localize to synaptic vesicles in distinct sets of excitatory nerve terminals. Figure 11A: Immunogold localization in the molecular layer of the cerebellar cortex shows DNPI/VGLUT2 on synaptic vesicles in climbing fiber boutons (Cf), but not in parallel fiber boutons (Pf). Several of the gold

25   particles are indicated (arrows). There is no apparent selectivity of labeling among vesicles depending on their localization. Note the lack of particles over other tissue elements, including postsynaptic dendritic spines of Purkinje cells. Inset: high magnification view of a square in the main panel more clearly shows the small gold particles. Figure 11B: VGLUT1 localizes to synaptic vesicles in parallel fiber boutons (left panel), but not in

30   climbing fiber boutons (right panel). Scale bars: 200nm.

[0055]     Figure 12, panels A through H, illustrate heterologous expression of DNPI in PC12 Cells. Panel A: Western analysis of extracts prepared from untransfected (WT) PC12

cells, cells stably expressing DNPI/VGLUT2, VGLUT1 and the LP2 fraction of rat brain membranes shows that an antibody raised against the C-terminus of DNPI recognizes specifically DNPI. Panels B through H: Localization of DNPI/VGLUT2 and VGLUT1 in PC12 cells by confocal microscopy. Untransfected cells show no immunoreactivity for

5    DNPI (panel B). Cells stably expressing DNPI and VGLUT1 were double stained with the appropriate antibody for transporter (panels C and F) and synaptophysin (panels D and G). Both DNPI and VGLUT1 colocalize with synaptophysin in processes (arrows) (overlay, panels E and H). However, they show different patterns of immunostaining within PC12 cell bodies. DNPI shows a more diffuse cytoplasmic location than VGLUT1, which has a

10   peripheral somatic distribution (arrowheads). Scale bar = 25 $\mu$m (panels E-H).

[0056]     Figures 13A through 13E show that DNPI catalyzes vesicular glutamate transport. Figure 13A: Membranes prepared from two stable PC12 transformants expressing DNPI/VGLUT2 (lines 30 and 61) accumulate substantially more $^3$H-L-glutamate than membranes from untransfected cells (wt). The results represent the average from three

15   experiments performed in duplicate using membranes from different vesicle preparations. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Figure 13B: The initial rate of uptake ($V_0$) (at 1 minute) saturates with increasing concentrations of L-glutamate (0.03-10 mM). Specific uptake was determined at each glutamate concentration by subtracting the background uptake by untransfected cell membranes from total uptake by membranes

20   expressing DNPI. Lineweaver-Burke analysis indicates a Km 4.7 ± 0.7 mM. The results represent the average of three experiments each performed in triplicate, and the error bars the standard error of the mean. Figure 13C: L-Glutamate (L-glu), but not L-aspartate (L-asp), D-aspartate (D-asp), glycine (gly) or γ-aminobutyric acid (gaba) (all at 10 mM) markedly inhibits specific DNPI-mediated uptake of $^3$H-L-glutamate at 5 minutes. D-

25   Glutamate (D-glu) (10 mM) and Evans Blue (EB) (4.5 μM) also significantly inhibit DNPI-mediated $^3$H-L-glutamate uptake. The results are expressed as a percentage of specific $^3$H-L-glutamate accumulated in the absence of inhibitor and represent the average +/- SEM of at least four independent determinations. Figure 13D: The uptake of $^3$H-L-glutamate at 5 minutes by membranes expressing DNPI (closed circles) exhibits a biphasic dependence on

30   chloride concentration. Untransfected cells (open circles) show no chloride dependence. Although specific uptake can be detected in the absence of added chloride, maximal uptake occurs at a chloride concentration of ~2 mM. In contrast, little or no specific uptake is

detectable at 140 mM chloride. Activity was normalized to maximal uptake by the transfected cell membranes. Data represent the average +/- SEM of three experiments each performed in triplicate, with several of the smaller error bars obscured by the symbol indicating the mean. Figure 13E: Uptake of $^3$H-glutamate by membranes expressing DNPI

5     depends more on membrane potential than on the pH gradient. Membranes were preloaded with 4 mM KCl and specific uptake at 5 minutes was determined in the presence of either 1% ethanol (veh), 5 μM nigericin (nig), 20 μM valinomycin (val), or 5 μM nigericin and 20 μM valinomycin (nig + val). The uptake by membranes from untransfected cells was subtracted from uptake by membranes expressing DNPI. The results indicate the

10    percentage of specific uptake obtained in the absence of ionophore (veh) and represent the average +/- SEM of three experiments each performed in triplicate. *$p<0.05$ compared to vehicle; **$p<0.01$ compared to valinomycin alone (two-tailed, paired Student's t test).

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0057]       This invention pertains to the identification of a novel class of glutamate

15    transporters. In particular, we have shown that proteins originally considered to perform an entirely different function, in fact, transport glutamate into synaptic vesicles. The brain-specific Na$^+$-dependent phosphate transporter (BNPI) was initially identified as a sequence upregulated in neurons by subtoxic concentrations of N-methyl-D-aspartate (Ni *et al.* (1994)*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 91: 5607-5611). Expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes, BNPI

20    confers Na$^+$-dependent uptake of inorganic phosphate (Pi), and was suggested to have a role in the maintenance of energy stores (Glinn *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurochem.* 70: 1850-1858; Glinn and Paul (1997) *Brain Res.* 757, 85-92) However, the selective expression of BNPI by only glutamate neurons (Ni *et al. supra*) raised the possibility of a more specific role in excitatory transmission. Genetic studies in *C. elegans* supported a role for the BNPI

25    orthologue *eat-4* in glutamate release (Avery (1993) *Genetics* 133: 897-917; Lee *et al.* (1999) *J. Neurosci.* 19: 159-67). The *eat-4* mutant has a specific defect in glutamatergic transmission (Raizen and Avery, (1994) *Neuron* 12, 483-495), but shows normal sensitivity to iontophoretically applied glutamate (Dent *et al.* (1997) *EM BO J.,* 16: 5867-5879), further indicating a presynaptic defect. Consistent with a role in glutamate release, rat

30    BNPI localizes to excitatory nerve terminals and specifically to synaptic vesicles (Bellocchio *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648-8659).

[0058]     Although Pi uptake may have a specific role in glutamate production and release (Bellocchio *et al. supra*), BNPI belongs to the type I class of Pi transporters that appear to have functions in addition to Pi transport. In particular, other type I Pi transporters recognize organic anions with higher affinity than Pi (Bröer *et al.* (1998). *J. Memb. Biol.* 164: 71-77; Busch *et al.* (1996)*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA*, 93: 5347-5351; Mancini *et al.* (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 15247-15254; Verheijen *et al.* (1999) *Nature Genetics* 23: 462-465). These observations suggested that BNPI might also have a function distinct from Pi uptake.

[0059]     It was a discovery of this invention that BNPI transports glutamate into secretory vesicles with all of the properties previously demonstrated for glutamate uptake by native synaptic vesicles (*see also,* Bellocchio *et al.* (2000) *Science* 289: 957-960; Takamori *et al.* (2000) *Nature* 407: 189-194). In addition, heterologous expression of BNPI converts inhibitory GABAergic neurons to an excitatory phenotype. We therefore renamed BNPI vesicular glutamate transporter 1 (VGLUT1). It was also a discovery of this invention, however, that VGLUT1 is expressed by only a subset of glutamate neurons in the cortex, hippocampus and cerebellum, raising questions about the mechanism by which excitatory neurons in the thalamus, brainstem and elsewhere accumulate glutamate in synaptic vesicles.

[0060]     In another embodiment, this invention provides a second protein that is a novel type I Pi transporter that also transports glutamate into synaptic vesicles. Induced during the differentiation of exocrine pancreas AR42J cells into neuroendocrine cells capable of insulin secretion, this differentiation-associated $Na^+$-dependent Pi transporter (DNPI) shows strong sequence similarity to VGLUT1 (*see, e.g.,* Aihara (2000) *J. Neurochem.* 74: 2622-2625). Like VGLUT1, its expression is restricted to the nervous system and it confers $Na^+$-dependent Pi uptake when expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes (Aihara (2000) *J. Neurochem.* 74: 2622-2625). We demonstrate by *in situ* hybridization that DNPI is expressed by essentially all glutamate neurons not expressing VGLUT1, and in particular by neurons in the thalamus, hypothalamus and brainstem. Using an antibody that we raised to the protein, immunocytochemistry supports the localization of DNPI to synapses that appear largely distinct from those labeled for VGLUT1. In addition, we establish the localization of DNPI to synaptic vesicles by differential centrifugation, velocity gradient fractionation and immuno-electron microscopy. Further, heterologous expression of DNPI

in PC12 cells confers vesicular glutamate transport with properties very similar to native synaptic vesicles and VGLUT1. We have thus renamed DNPI vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (VGLUT2). The expression of distinct VGLUT isoforms by complementary populations of excitatory neurons suggests that they define distinct modes of glutamate

5      release.

[0061]      In still another embodiment, this invention pertains to the identification of a third glutamate transporter, designated herein as VGLUT3 (*see, e.g.,* Figure 1). VGLUT1 (rat VGLUT1 Accession No: U07609, human VGLUT1 Accession No: NM_020309, AB032436), VGLUT2 (rat VGLUT2 accession No: AF271235, human Accession No:

10    NM_020346.1, AB032435), and VGLUT3 (Accession No: AL157942), together identify a family of glutamate transporters, as described above. The VGLUT glutamate transporters of this invention are proteins that belong to a larger family known as the type I phosphate transporters. Within this family, the VGLUTs show much stronger sequence similarity (>50% amino acid identity to each other from *C. elegans* to mammals and >80% within

15    mammals) than to other type I phosphate transporters such as sialin and NaPi-1 (35-45% amino acid identity).

[0062]      The VGLUT glutamate transporters identified herein are useful in a wide variety of contexts. The excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate (Glu) is one of the most important in the brain, but in excess it can cause cell death or overexcitation resulting in

20    epilepsy. The concentration of Glu in extracellular spaces is determined by the aggregate contributions of synthesis and release offset by metabolism and uptake back into neurons and glia by glutamate

[0063]      Deficient functioning of glutamate transporters has been implicated in a variety of neurological diseases. Thus, for example studies have shown that deficient

25    functioning of glutamate transporters (GTs) in Alzheimer disease (AD) can lead to neurodegeneration. Similarly, recent evidence in rat limbic system indicates that seizure activity can selectively downregulate the expression of glutamate transport in the hippocampus. Evidence in human epileptic temporal lobe indicates that defective glutamate transport can play a critical role in the death of neurons in the human epileptic

30    hippocampus. (Sutherland *et al.* (2001) Activity-dependent decrease in glutamate transporter mRNA expression: a mechanism for excitotoxic cell death following seizures. *Soc. Neurosci. Abstr.* In press; Yoshor *et al.* (2001) *Selective loss of EAAT2, a glial*

*glutamate transporter, in CA1 and CA4 hippocampal subfields in Ammon's Horn Sclerosis.*
*Epilepsia,* In press; Sutherland *et al.* (1995) *J. Neurosci.,* 16: 2191- 2207; Sutherland *et al.*
(1995) *Gene,* 27: 131-141; Tanaka *et al.* (1997) *Science,* 276: 1).

**[0064]**     Without being bound by a particular theory, it is believed that the release of
too much glutamate causes excessive excitation in the nervous system that leads to seizures,
contributes to injury after stroke, the perception of pain and even the destruction of nerve
cells associated with neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's
disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Lou Gehrig's disease).

**[0065]**     An agent that inhibits a VGLUT glutamate transporter will prevent the
transporter from loading glutamate, thus reducing the release of glutamate, in these, and
other conditions, and presumably could be used to treat these illnesses.

**[0066]**     In addition, inhibition of glutamate transport has been shown to enhance the
therapeutic efficacy of doxirubicin (Sadzuka and Sonobe (2001) *Toxicol. Lett.,* 121(2):  89-
96) and it is expected that specific glutamate transporter inhibition can be advantageous in a
course of chemotherapy, particularly in the treatment of various brain tumors.

**[0067]**     Inhibition of glutamate can also be useful in anesthesia and the management
of pain (*e.g.* neuropathic pain).

**[0068]**     Conversely, it is expected that, in certain conditions, selective increase in
glutamate transport (*e.g.* by upregulating glutamate transporter expression) can depress
extracellular glutamate levels and thereby reduce and/or eliminate the neurodegenerative
effects of inhibited glutamate transport in these and other pathologies.

**[0069]**     In addition, increasing the amount of glutamate released from certain nerve
cells could improve learning, memory skills and overall cognitive function. In this case,
therapy might simply involve taking a drug that increases the expression of the gene that
produces the protein transporter. The resulting increased expression of the protein would
enable nerve cells to store and release more glutamate.

**[0070]**     Conversely, in certain circumstances, inhibition of glutamate transporters has
been shown to have beneficial effects.  Thus, for example, Inhibition of glutamate can also
be useful in anesthesia.

[0071]     In view of this modulators (*e.g.* upregulators and downregulators) of glutamate transporter expression or activity are of great interest. In certain embodiments, the glutamate transporters of this invention provide good targets to screen for modulators of glutamate transporter expression and/or activity and it is expected that such modulators provide good lead compounds for pharmaceutical development.

[0072]     Moreover, it is demonstrated herein, that the various glutamate transporters of this invention are present on different neuronal subpopulations. Identification of agents that modulate glutamate transport by one transporter (*e.g.* VGLUT1) as compared to another (*e.g.* VGLUT2) will provide therapeutic lead compounds of unprecedented specificity.

[0073]     In addition, having identified the VGLUT glutamate transporters it is possible to produce knockout animals heterozygous or homozygous for a knocked-out VGLUT1, and/or VGLUT2, and/or VGLUT3. Such animals provide good model systems in which to investigate the effects of glutamate transporter inhibition.

## I.     Assays for modulators of VGLUT expression and/or activity.

[0074]     As indicated above, in one aspect, this invention is premised, in part, on the discovery of new class of glutamate transporters (VGLUT). It is believed that activity these transporters are critical for healthy neurological activity and upregulation of such receptors can mitigate adverse effects of a variety of neuropathologies (*e.g.* ALS, epilepsy, Parkinsons disease, Alzheimer's disease, *etc.*). Conversely, inhibition of the VGLUT transporters can have beneficial effects in certain circumstances.

[0075]     Thus, in certain embodiments, this invention provides methods of screening for agents that modulate expression and/or activity of VGLUT transporters (*e.g.* VGLUT1, VGLUT2, VGLUT3). In certain embodiments, the methods involve contacting a cell comprising a VGLUT transporter nucleic acid (*e.g.* VGLUT1, VGLUT2, VGLUT3 nucleic acids) with a test agent; and detecting the expression or activity of the VGLUT transporter(s) wherein a difference in the expression of the VGLUT transporter(s) of the cell as compared to the activity the VGLUT transporter(s) of a control cell (*e.g.* a cell of the same type that is contacted with a lower concentration of test agent or no test agent) indicates that the test agent alters VGLUT transporter expression and/or activity.

[0076]    Detection of changes in metabolic activity can involve detecting the expression level and/or activity level of VGLUT genes or gene products or VGLUT polypeptides or polypeptide activity.

[0077]    In certain instances, it is desired to identify agents that specifically modulate

5    particular subsets of VGLUT glutamate transporters. Thus in certain embodiments, test agents are screened for a differential effect on expression and/or activity of VGLUT1 and/or VGLUT2, and/or VGLUT3. Thus, for example, agents are screened and selected where they show different effects on VGLUT1 as compared to VGLUT2 and/or VGLUT3, or on VGLUT2 as compared to VGLUT1 and/or VGLUT3, or on VGLUT3 as compared to

10    VGLUT1 and/or VGLUT2. Such differential effects can include, but are not limited to no effect on one transporter (*e.g.* VGLUT1) and a significant effect on VGLUT2 and/or VGLUT3, a significant effect on VGLUT1 and no significant effect on VGLUT2 and/or VGLUT3, upregulation of VGLUT1 and downregulation of VGLUT2 and/or VGLUT3, downregulation of VGLUT1 and upregulation of VGLUT2 and/or VGLUT3, and the like.

15    [0078]    Expression levels of a gene can be altered by changes in the transcription of the gene product (*i.e.* transcription of mRNA), and/or by changes in translation of the gene product (*i.e.* translation of the protein), and/or by post-translational modification(s) (*e.g.* protein folding, glycosylation, *etc.*). Thus preferred assays of this invention include assaying for level of transcribed mRNA (or other nucleic acids derived from the subject

20    genes), level of translated protein, activity of translated protein, *etc.* Examples of such approaches are described below.


### A)  Nucleic-acid based assays.


#### 1)  Target molecules.

[0079]    Changes in expression level can be detected by measuring changes in

25    genomic DNA or a nucleic acid derived from the genomic DNA (*e.g.* VGLUT). In order to measure the expression level it is desirable to provide a nucleic acid sample for such analysis. In preferred embodiments the nucleic acid is found in or derived from a biological sample. The term "biological sample", as used herein, refers to a sample obtained from an organism or from components (*e.g.*, cells) of an organism. The sample may be of any

30    biological tissue or fluid. Biological samples may also include organs or sections of tissues

such as frozen sections taken for histological purposes. Biological samples also include cells in culture and the cells can be native cells or recombinantly modified cells (*e.g.* modified to express a heterologous VGLUT transporter).

**[0080]** The nucleic acid (*e.g.*, VGLUT mRNA or a nucleic acid derived from a VGLUT mRNA) is, in certain preferred embodiments, isolated from the sample according to any of a number of methods well known to those of skill in the art. Methods of isolating mRNA are well known to those of skill in the art. For example, methods of isolation and purification of nucleic acids are described in detail in by Tijssen ed., (1993) Chapter 3 of *Laboratory Techniques in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology: Hybridization With Nucleic Acid Probes, Part I. Theory and Nucleic Acid Preparation*, Elsevier, N.Y. and Tijssen ed.

**[0081]** In a preferred embodiment, the "total" nucleic acid is isolated from a given sample using, for example, an acid guanidinium-phenol-chloroform extraction method and polyA+ mRNA is isolated by oligo dT column chromatography or by using (dT)n magnetic beads (*see, e.g.*, Sambrook *et al., Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual* (2nd ed.), Vols. 1-3, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, (1989), or *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, F. Ausubel *et al.*, ed. (1987) Greene Publishing and Wiley-Interscience, New York).

**[0082]** Frequently, it is desirable to amplify the nucleic acid sample prior to assaying for expression level. Methods of amplifying nucleic acids are well known to those of skill in the art and include, but are not limited to polymerase chain reaction (PCR, *see. e.g., Innis, et al.,* (1990) *PCR Protocols. A guide to Methods and Application. Academic Press, Inc. San Diego,*), ligase chain reaction (LCR) (*see* Wu and Wallace (1989) *Genomics* 4: 560, Landegren *et al.* (1988) *Science* 241: 1077, and Barringer *et al.* (1990) *Gene* 89: 117, transcription amplification (Kwoh *et al.* (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86: 1173), self-sustained sequence replication (Guatelli *et al.* (1990) *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 87: 1874), dot PCR, and linker adapter PCR, *etc.*).

**[0083]** In a particularly preferred embodiment, where it is desired to quantify the transcription level (and thereby expression) (*e.g.* of a VGLUT transporter such as VGLUT1, VGLUT2, and/or VGLUT3) in a sample, the nucleic acid sample is one in which the concentration of the VGLUT mRNA transcript(s), or the concentration of the nucleic acids derived from the mRNA transcript(s), is proportional to the transcription level (and

therefore expression level) of that gene. Similarly, it is preferred that the hybridization signal intensity be proportional to the amount of hybridized nucleic acid. While it is preferred that the proportionality be relatively strict (*e.g.,* a doubling in transcription rate results in a doubling in mRNA transcript in the sample nucleic acid pool and a doubling in hybridization signal), one of skill will appreciate that the proportionality can be more relaxed and even non-linear. Thus, for example, an assay where a 5 fold difference in concentration of the target mRNA results in a 3 to 6 fold difference in hybridization intensity is sufficient for most purposes.

[0084]     Where more precise quantification is required appropriate controls can be run to correct for variations introduced in sample preparation and hybridization as described herein. In addition, serial dilutions of "standard" target nucleic acids (*e.g.,* mRNAs) can be used to prepare calibration curves according to methods well known to those of skill in the art. Of course, where simple detection of the presence or absence of a transcript or large differences of changes in nucleic acid concentration is desired, no elaborate control or calibration is required.

[0085]     In the simplest embodiment, the sample nucleic acid sample is the total mRNA or a total cDNA isolated and/or otherwise derived from a biological sample. The nucleic acid may be isolated from the sample according to any of a number of methods well known to those of skill in the art as indicated above.

## 2) Hybridization-based assays.

[0086]     The expression of particular genes (*e.g.* VGLUT genes such as VGLUT1, VGLUT2, and VGLUT3)) can be routinely detected and/or quantitated using nucleic acid hybridization techniques (*see, e.g.,* Sambrook *et al. supra*). For example, one method for evaluating the presence, absence, or quantity of *a* particular genomic DNA or reverse-transcribed cDNA involves a "Southern Blot". In a Southern Blot, the DNA sample is typically fragmented and separated on an electrophoretic gel and hybridized to a probe specific for the nucleic acid(s) of interest. Comparison of the intensity of the hybridization signal from the probe with a "control" probe (*e.g.* a probe for a "housekeeping gene) provides an estimate of the relative expression level of the target nucleic acid (*e.g.* a VGLUT nucleic acid).

-28-

[0087]     Alternatively, the VGLUT mRNA can be directly quantified in a Northern blot. In brief, the mRNA is isolated from a given cell sample using, for example, an acid guanidinium-phenol-chloroform extraction method. The mRNA is then electrophoresed to separate the mRNA species and the mRNA is then transferred from the gel to a membrane (*e.g.* a nitrocellulose membrane). As with the Southern blots, labeled probes are used to identify and/or quantify the target (VGLUT) mRNA. Appropriate controls (*e.g.* probes to housekeeping genes) provide a reference for evaluating relative VGLUT expression level.

[0088]     An alternative means for determining the particular nucleic acid expression levels is *in situ* hybridization. *In situ* hybridization assays are well known (*e.g.,* Angerer (1987) *Meth. Enzymol* 152: 649). Generally, *in situ* hybridization comprises the following major steps: (1) fixation of tissue or biological structure to be analyzed; (2) prehybridization treatment of the biological structure to increase accessibility of target DNA, and to reduce nonspecific binding; (3) hybridization of the mixture of nucleic acids to the nucleic acid in the biological structure or tissue; (4) post-hybridization washes to remove nucleic acid fragments not bound in the hybridization and (5) detection of the hybridized nucleic acid fragments. The reagent used in each of these steps and the conditions for use vary depending on the particular application.

[0089]     In some applications it is necessary to block the hybridization capacity of repetitive sequences. Thus, in some embodiments, tRNA, human genomic DNA, or Cot-1 DNA is used to block non-specific hybridization.

### 3) Amplification-based assays.

[0090]     In another embodiment, amplification-based assays can be used to measure expression (transcription) level of particular genes (*e.g.* VGLUT genes such as VGLUT1, VGLUT2, VGLUT3, *etc.*). In such amplification-based assays, the target nucleic acid sequences act as template(s) in amplification reaction(s) (*e.g.* Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) or reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR)). In a quantitative amplification, the amount of amplification product will be proportional to the amount of template in the original sample. Comparison to appropriate controls (*e.g.* tissue or cells exposed to the test agent at a different concentration or not exposed to the test agent) provides a measure of the target transcript level.

**[0091]** Methods of "quantitative" amplification are well known to those of skill in the art. For example, quantitative PCR involves simultaneously co-amplifying a known quantity of a control sequence using the same primers. This provides an internal standard that may be used to calibrate the PCR reaction. Detailed protocols for quantitative PCR are provided in Innis *et al.* (1990) *PCR Protocols, A Guide to Methods and Applications*, Academic Press, Inc. N.Y.). One approach, for example, involves simultaneously co-amplifying a known quantity of a control sequence using the same primers as those used to amplify the target. This provides an internal standard that may be used to calibrate the PCR reaction.

### 4) Hybridization Formats and Optimization of hybridization conditions.

#### a) Array-based hybridization formats.

**[0092]** In one embodiment, the methods of this invention can be utilized in array-based hybridization formats. Arrays are a multiplicity of different "probe" or "target" nucleic acids (or other compounds) attached to one or more surfaces (*e.g.*, solid, membrane, or gel). In a preferred embodiment, the multiplicity of nucleic acids (or other moieties) is attached to a single contiguous surface or to a multiplicity of surfaces juxtaposed to each other.

**[0093]** In an array format a large number of different hybridization reactions can be run essentially "in parallel." This provides rapid, essentially simultaneous, evaluation of a number of hybridizations in a single "experiment". Methods of performing hybridization reactions in array-based formats are well known to those of skill in the art (*see, e.g.*, Pastinen (1997) *Genome Res.* 7: 606-614; Jackson (1996) *Nature Biotechnology* 14:1685; Chee (1995) *Science* 274: 610; WO 96/17958, Pinkel *et al.* (1998) *Nature Genetics* 20: 207-211).

**[0094]** Arrays, particularly nucleic acid arrays can be produced according to a wide variety of methods well known to those of skill in the art. For example, in a simple embodiment, "low density" arrays can simply be produced by spotting (*e.g.* by hand using a pipette) different nucleic acids at different locations on a solid support (*e.g.* a glass surface, a membrane, *etc.*).

[0095]    This simple spotting, approach has been automated to produce high density spotted arrays (*see, e.g.,* U.S. Patent No: 5,807,522). This patent describes the use of an automated system that taps a microcapillary against a surface to deposit a small volume of a biological sample. The process is repeated to generate high-density arrays.

[0096]    Arrays can also be produced using oligonucleotide synthesis technology. Thus, for example, U.S. Patent No. 5,143,854 and PCT Patent Publication Nos. WO 90/15070 and 92/10092 teach the use of light-directed combinatorial synthesis of high density oligonucleotide arrays. Synthesis of high density arrays is also described in U.S. Patents 5,744,305, 5,800,992 and 5,445,934.

## b) Other hybridization formats.

[0097]    A wide variety of nucleic acid hybridization formats are known to those skilled in the art. For example, common formats include sandwich assays and competition or displacement assays. Such assay formats are generally described in Hames and Higgins (1985) *Nucleic Acid Hybridization, A Practical Approach*, IRL Press; Gall and Pardue (1969) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 63: 378-383; and John *et al.* (1969) *Nature* 223: 582-587.

[0098]    Sandwich assays are commercially useful hybridization assays for detecting or isolating nucleic acid sequences. Such assays utilize a "capture" nucleic acid covalently immobilized to a solid support and a labeled "signal" nucleic acid in solution. The sample will provide the target nucleic acid. The "capture" nucleic acid and "signal" nucleic acid probe hybridize with the target nucleic acid to form a "sandwich" hybridization complex. To be most effective, the signal nucleic acid should not hybridize with the capture nucleic acid.

[0099]    Typically, labeled signal nucleic acids are used to detect hybridization. Complementary nucleic acids or signal nucleic acids may be labeled by any one of several methods typically used to detect the presence of hybridized polynucleotides. The most common method of detection is the use of autoradiography with $^3$H, $^{125}$I, $^{35}$S, $^{14}$C, or $^{32}$P-labelled probes or the like. Other labels include ligands that bind to labeled antibodies, fluorophores, chemiluminescent agents, enzymes, and antibodies which can serve as specific binding pair members for a labeled ligand.

[0100]     Detection of a hybridization complex may involve the binding of a signal generating complex to a duplex of target and probe polynucleotides or nucleic acids. Typically, such binding occurs through ligand and anti-ligand interactions as between a ligand-conjugated probe and an anti-ligand conjugated with a signal.

[0101]     The sensitivity of the hybridization assays may be enhanced through use of a nucleic acid amplification system that multiplies the target nucleic acid being detected. Examples of such systems include the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) system and the ligase chain reaction (LCR) system. Other methods recently described in the art are the nucleic acid sequence based amplification (NASBAO, Cangene, Mississauga, Ontario) and Q Beta Replicase systems.

## c) Optimization of hybridization conditions.

[0102]     Nucleic acid hybridization simply involves providing a denatured probe and target nucleic acid under conditions where the probe and its complementary target can form stable hybrid duplexes through complementary base pairing. The nucleic acids that do not form hybrid duplexes are then washed away leaving the hybridized nucleic acids to be detected, typically through detection of an attached detectable label. It is generally recognized that nucleic acids are denatured by increasing the temperature or decreasing the salt concentration of the buffer containing the nucleic acids, or in the addition of chemical agents, or the raising of the pH. Under low stringency conditions (*e.g.*, low temperature and/or high salt and/or high target concentration) hybrid duplexes (*e.g.*, DNA:DNA, RNA:RNA, or RNA:DNA) will form even where the annealed sequences are not perfectly complementary. Thus specificity of hybridization is reduced at lower stringency. Conversely, at higher stringency (*e.g.*, higher temperature or lower salt) successful hybridization requires fewer mismatches.

[0103]     One of skill in the art will appreciate that hybridization conditions may be selected to provide any degree of stringency. In a preferred embodiment, hybridization is performed at low stringency to ensure hybridization and then subsequent washes are performed at higher stringency to eliminate mismatched hybrid duplexes. Successive washes may be performed at increasingly higher stringency (*e.g.*, down to as low as 0.25 X SSPE at 37°C to 70°C) until a desired level of hybridization specificity is obtained. Stringency can also be increased by addition of agents such as formamide. Hybridization

-32-

specificity may be evaluated by comparison of hybridization to the test probes with hybridization to the various controls that can be present.

[0104]    In general, there is a tradeoff between hybridization specificity (stringency) and signal intensity. Thus, in a preferred embodiment, the wash is performed at the highest stringency that produces consistent results and that provides a signal intensity greater than approximately 10% of the background intensity. Thus, in a preferred embodiment, the hybridized array may be washed at successively higher stringency solutions and read between each wash. Analysis of the data sets thus produced will reveal a wash stringency above which the hybridization pattern is not appreciably altered and which provides adequate signal for the particular probes of interest.

[0105]    In a preferred embodiment, background signal is reduced by the use of a blocking reagent (*e.g.*, tRNA, sperm DNA, cot-1 DNA, *etc.*) during the hybridization to reduce non-specific binding. The use of blocking agents in hybridization is well known to those of skill in the art (*see, e.g.*, Chapter 8 in P. Tijssen, *supra.*).

[0106]    Methods of optimizing hybridization conditions are well known to those of skill in the art (*see, e.g.*, Tijssen (1993) *Laboratory Techniques in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Vol. 24: Hybridization With Nucleic Acid Probes*, Elsevier, N.Y.).

[0107]    Optimal conditions are also a function of the sensitivity of label (*e.g.*, fluorescence) detection for different combinations of substrate type, fluorochrome, excitation and emission bands, spot size and the like. Low fluorescence background surfaces can be used (*see, e.g.*, Chu (1992) *Electrophoresis* 13:105-114). The sensitivity for detection of spots ("target elements") of various diameters on the candidate surfaces can be readily determined by, *e.g.*, spotting a dilution series of fluorescently end labeled DNA fragments. These spots are then imaged using conventional fluorescence microscopy. The sensitivity, linearity, and dynamic range achievable from the various combinations of fluorochrome and solid surfaces (*e.g.*, glass, fused silica, *etc.*) can thus be determined. Serial dilutions of pairs of fluorochrome in known relative proportions can also be analyzed. This determines the accuracy with which fluorescence ratio measurements reflect actual fluorochrome ratios over the dynamic range permitted by the detectors and fluorescence of the substrate upon which the probe has been fixed.

-33-

#### d) Labeling and detection of nucleic acids.

**[0108]**  The probes used herein for detection of VGLUT expression levels can be full length or less than the full length of the VGLUT (*e.g.* VGLUT1, VGLUT2, or VGLUT3) mRNA.  Shorter probes are empirically tested for specificity.  Preferred probes are sufficiently long so as to specifically hybridize with the VGLUT target nucleic acid(s) under stringent conditions.  The preferred size range is from about 20 bases to the length of the VGLUT mRNA, more preferably from about 30 bases to the length of the VGLUT mRNA, and most preferably from about 40 bases to the length of the VGLUT mRNA.

**[0109]**  The probes are typically labeled, with a detectable label.  Detectable labels suitable for use in the present invention include any composition detectable by spectroscopic, photochemical, biochemical, immunochemical, electrical, optical or chemical means.  Useful labels in the present invention include biotin for staining with labeled streptavidin conjugate, magnetic beads (*e.g.*, Dynabeads$^{TM}$), fluorescent dyes (*e.g.*, fluorescein, texas red, rhodamine, green fluorescent protein, and the like, *see, e.g.*, Molecular Probes, Eugene, Oregon, USA), radiolabels (*e.g.*, $^{3}H$, $^{125}I$, $^{35}S$, $^{14}C$, or $^{32}P$), enzymes (*e.g.*, horse radish peroxidase, alkaline phosphatase and others commonly used in an ELISA), and colorimetric labels such as colloidal gold (*e.g.*, gold particles in the 40 -80 nm diameter size range scatter green light with high efficiency) or colored glass or plastic (*e.g.*, polystyrene, polypropylene, latex, etc.) beads.  Patents teaching the use of such labels include U.S. Patent Nos. 3,817,837; 3,850,752; 3,939,350; 3,996,345; 4,277,437; 4,275,149; and 4,366,241.

**[0110]**  A fluorescent label is preferred because it provides a very strong signal with low background.  It is also optically detectable at high resolution and sensitivity through a quick scanning procedure.  The nucleic acid samples can all be labeled with a single label, *e.g.*, a single fluorescent label.  Alternatively, in another embodiment, different nucleic acid samples can be simultaneously hybridized where each nucleic acid sample has a different label.  For instance, one target could have a green fluorescent label and a second target could have a red fluorescent label.  The scanning step will distinguish sites of binding of the red label from those binding the green fluorescent label.  Each nucleic acid sample (target nucleic acid) can be analyzed independently from one another.

**[0111]**  Suitable chromogens which can be employed include those molecules and compounds which absorb light in a distinctive range of wavelengths so that a color can be

-34-

observed or, alternatively, that emit light when irradiated with radiation of a particular wave length or wave length range, *e.g.*, fluorescent molecules.

[0112] Desirably, fluorescent labels should absorb light above about 300 nm, preferably about 350 nm, and more preferably above about 400 nm, usually emitting at

5 wavelengths greater than about 10 nm higher than the wavelength of the light absorbed.

[0113] Detectable signal can also be provided by chemiluminescent and bioluminescent sources. Chemiluminescent sources include compounds that become electronically excited by a chemical reaction and can then emit light that serves as the detectable signal or donates energy to a fluorescent acceptor. Alternatively, luciferins can

10 be used in conjunction with luciferase or lucigenins to provide bioluminescence.

[0114] Spin labels are provided by reporter molecules with an unpaired electron spin which can be detected by electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy. Exemplary spin labels include organic free radicals, transitional metal complexes, particularly vanadium, copper, iron, and manganese, and the like. Exemplary spin labels include nitroxide free

15 radicals.

[0115] The label can be added to the target (sample) nucleic acid(s) prior to, or after the hybridization. So called "direct labels" are detectable labels that are directly attached to or incorporated into the target (sample) nucleic acid prior to hybridization. In contrast, so called "indirect labels" are joined to the hybrid duplex after hybridization. Often, the

20 indirect label is attached to a binding moiety that has been attached to the target nucleic acid prior to the hybridization. Thus, for example, the target nucleic acid may be biotinylated before the hybridization. After hybridization, an avidin-conjugated fluorophore will bind the biotin bearing hybrid duplexes providing a label that is easily detected. For a detailed review of methods of labeling nucleic acids and detecting labeled hybridized nucleic acids

25 see *Laboratory Techniques in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Vol. 24: Hybridization With Nucleic Acid Probes*, P. Tijssen, ed. Elsevier, N.Y., (1993)).

[0116] Fluorescent labels are easily added during an *in vitro* transcription reaction. Thus, for example, fluorescein labeled UTP and CTP can be incorporated into the RNA produced in an *in vitro* transcription.

30 [0117] The labels can be attached directly or through a linker moiety. In general, the site of label or linker-label attachment is not limited to any specific position. For

example, a label may be attached to a nucleoside, nucleotide, or analogue thereof at any position that does not interfere with detection or hybridization as desired. For example, certain Label-On Reagents from Clontech (Palo Alto, CA) provide for labeling interspersed throughout the phosphate backbone of an oligonucleotide and for terminal labeling at the 3'

5    and 5' ends. As shown for example herein, labels can be attached at positions on the ribose ring or the ribose can be modified and even eliminated as desired. The base moieties of useful labeling reagents can include those that are naturally occurring or modified in a manner that does not interfere with the purpose to which they are put. Modified bases include but are not limited to 7-deaza A and G, 7-deaza-8-aza A and G, and other

10   heterocyclic moieties.

**[0118]**    It will be recognized that fluorescent labels are not to be limited to single species of organic molecules, but include inorganic molecules, multi-molecular mixtures of organic and/or inorganic molecules, crystals, heteropolymers, and the like. Thus, for example, CdSe-CdS core-shell nanocrystals enclosed in a silica shell can be easily

15   derivatized for coupling to a biological molecule (Bruchez *et al.* (1998) *Science,* 281: 2013-2016). Similarly, highly fluorescent quantum dots (zinc sulfide-capped cadmium selenide) have been covalently coupled to biomolecules for use in ultrasensitive biological detection (Warren and Nie (1998) *Science,* 281: 2016-2018).

## B) VGLUT polypeptide-based assays -- Polypeptide expression.

20       ### 1)    Assay Formats.

**[0119]**    In addition to, or in alternative to, the detection of nucleic acid expression level(s), alterations in expression of VGLUT transporters can be detected and/or quantified by detecting and/or quantifying the amount and/or activity of translated VGLUT polypeptide or fragments thereof.

25       ### 2)    Detection of expressed protein.

**[0120]**    The VGLUT polypeptides to be assayed can be detected and quantified by any of a number of methods well known to those of skill in the art. These include analytic biochemical methods such as electrophoresis, capillary electrophoresis, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), thin layer chromatography (TLC), hyperdiffusion

30   chromatography, and the like, or various immunological methods such as fluid or gel

precipitin reactions, immunodiffusion (single or double), immunoelectrophoresis, radioimmunoassay (RIA), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs), immunofluorescent assays, western blotting, and the like.

[0121]    In one preferred embodiment, the VGLUT polypeptide(s) are detected/quantified in an electrophoretic protein separation (*e.g.* a 1- or 2-dimensional electrophoresis). Means of detecting proteins using electrophoretic techniques are well known to those of skill in the art (*see generally*, R. Scopes (1982) *Protein Purification,* Springer-Verlag, N.Y.; Deutscher, (1990) *Methods in Enzymology Vol. 182: Guide to Protein Purification*, Academic Press, Inc., N.Y.).

[0122]    In another preferred embodiment, Western blot (immunoblot) analysis is used to detect and quantify the presence of polypeptide(s) of this invention in the sample. This technique generally comprises separating sample proteins by gel electrophoresis on the basis of molecular weight, transferring the separated proteins to a suitable solid support, (such as a nitrocellulose filter, a nylon filter, or derivatized nylon filter), and incubating the sample with the antibodies that specifically bind the target polypeptide(s).

[0123]    The antibodies specifically bind to the target VGLUT polypeptide(s) and can be directly labeled or alternatively may be subsequently detected using labeled antibodies (*e.g.*, labeled sheep anti-mouse antibodies) that specifically bind to the a domain of the antibody.

[0124]    In preferred embodiments, the VGLUT polypeptide(s) (*e.g.* VGLUT1, VGLUT2 and VGLUT3 polypeptides) are detected using an immunoassay. As used herein, an immunoassay is an assay that utilizes an antibody to specifically bind to the analyte (*e.g.*, the target polypeptide(s)). The immunoassay is thus characterized by detection of specific binding of a polypeptide of this invention to an antibody as opposed to the use of other physical or chemical properties to isolate, target, and quantify the analyte.

[0125]    Any of a number of well recognized immunological binding assays (*see, e.g.*, U.S. Patents 4,366,241; 4,376,110; 4,517,288; and 4,837,168) are well suited to detection or quantification of the polypeptide(s) identified herein.. For a review of the general immunoassays, see also Asai (1993) *Methods in Cell Biology Volume 37: Antibodies in Cell Biology,* Academic Press, Inc. New York; Stites & Terr (1991) *Basic and Clinical Immunology 7th Edition.*

[0126]     Immunological binding assays (or immunoassays) typically utilize a "capture agent" to specifically bind to and often immobilize the analyte. In preferred embodiments, the capture agent is an antibody.

[0127]     Immunoassays also often utilize a labeling agent to specifically bind to and label the binding complex formed by the capture agent and the analyte. The labeling agent may itself be one of the moieties comprising the antibody/analyte complex. Thus, the labeling agent may be a labeled polypeptide or a labeled antibody that specifically recognizes the already bound target polypeptide. Alternatively, the labeling agent may be a third moiety, such as another antibody, that specifically binds to the capture agent /polypeptide complex..

[0128]     Other proteins capable of specifically binding immunoglobulin constant regions, such as protein A or protein G may also be used as the label agent. These proteins are normal constituents of the cell walls of streptococcal bacteria. They exhibit a strong non-immunogenic reactivity with immunoglobulin constant regions from a variety of species (see, generally Kronval, et al. (1973) J. Immunol., 111: 1401-1406, and Akerstrom (1985) J. Immunol., 135: 2589-2542).

[0129]     Preferred immunoassays for detecting the target polypeptide(s) are either competitive or noncompetitive. Noncompetitive immunoassays are assays in which the amount of captured analyte is directly measured. In one preferred "sandwich" assay, for example, the capture agents (antibodies) can be bound directly to a solid substrate where they are immobilized. These immobilized antibodies then capture the target polypeptide present in the test sample. The target polypeptide thus immobilized is then bound by a labeling agent, such as a second antibody bearing a label.

[0130]     In competitive assays, the amount of analyte (e.g. VGLUT transporter) present in the sample is measured indirectly by measuring the amount of an added (exogenous) analyte displaced (or competed away) from a capture agent (antibody) by the analyte present in the sample. For example, in one competitive assay, a known amount of, labeled VGLUT transporter polypeptide is added to the sample and the sample is then contacted with a capture agent. The amount of labeled polypeptide bound to the antibody is inversely proportional to the concentration of target polypeptide present in the sample.

[0131] In one particularly preferred embodiment, the antibody is immobilized on a solid substrate. The amount of target polypeptide bound to the antibody may be determined either by measuring the amount of target polypeptide present in a polypeptide/antibody complex, or alternatively by measuring the amount of remaining uncomplexed polypeptide.

[0132] The immunoassay methods of the present invention include an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) which utilizes, depending on the particular protocol employed, unlabeled or labeled (e.g., enzyme-labeled) derivatives of polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies or antibody fragments or single-chain antibodies. In certain embodiments the antibodies are antibodies that bind to a VGLUT transporter polypeptide. Any of the known modifications of EIA, for example, enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA), may also be employed. As indicated above, also contemplated by the present invention are immunoblotting immunoassay techniques such as western blotting employing an enzymatic detection system.

[0133] The immunoassay methods of the present invention may also include other known immunoassay methods, for example, fluorescent immunoassays using antibody conjugates or antigen conjugates of fluorescent substances such as fluorescein or rhodamine, latex agglutination with antibody-coated or antigen-coated latex particles, haemagglutination with antibody-coated or antigen-coated red blood corpuscles, and immunoassays employing an avidin-biotin or streptavidin-biotin detection systems, and the like..

[0134] The particular parameters employed in the immunoassays of the present invention can vary widely depending on various factors such as the concentration of antigen in the sample, the nature of the sample, the type of immunoassay employed and the like. Optimal conditions can be readily established by those of ordinary skill in the art. In certain embodiments, the amount of antibody that binds the VGLUT transporter polypeptide is typically selected to give 50% binding of detectable marker in the absence of sample. If purified antibody is used as the antibody source, the amount of antibody used per assay will generally range from about 1 ng to about 100 ng. Typical assay conditions include a temperature range of about 4°C. to about 45°C., preferably about 25°C to about 37°C, and most preferably about 25°C, a pH value range of about 5 to 9, preferably about 7, and an ionic strength varying from that of distilled water to that of about 0.2M sodium chloride, preferably about that of 0.15M sodium chloride. Times will vary widely depending upon

the nature of the assay, and generally range from about 0.1 minute to about 24 hours. A wide variety of buffers, for example PBS, may be employed, and other reagents such as salt to enhance ionic strength, proteins such as serum albumins, stabilizers, biocides and non-ionic detergents may also be included.

[0135]    The assays of this invention are scored (as positive or negative or quantity of target VGLUT polypeptide) according to standard methods well known to those of skill in the art. The particular method of scoring will depend on the assay format and choice of label. For example, a Western Blot assay can be scored by visualizing the colored product produced by the enzymatic label. A clearly visible colored band or spot at the correct molecular weight is scored as a positive result, while the absence of a clearly visible spot or band is scored as a negative. The intensity of the band or spot can provide a quantitative measure of target polypeptide concentration.

[0136]    Antibodies for use in the various immunoassays described herein, are commercially available or can be produced as described below.

### 3)    Antibodies to VGLUT transporter polypeptides.

[0137]    Either polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies (*e.g.,* anti-VGLUT transporter antibodies) can be used in the immunoassays of the invention described herein. Polyclonal antibodies are preferably raised by multiple injections (*e.g.* subcutaneous or intramuscular injections) of substantially pure polypeptides (*e.g.* VGLUT1, and/or VGLUT2, and/or VGLUT3 or fragments thereof) into a suitable non-human mammal. The antigenicity of the target peptides can be determined by conventional techniques to determine the magnitude of the antibody response of an animal that has been immunized with the peptide. Generally, the peptides that are used to raise antibodies for use in the methods of this invention should generally be those that induce production of high titers of antibody with relatively high affinity for target polypeptide.

[0138]    If desired, the immunizing VGLUT peptide can be coupled to a carrier protein, *e.g.,* by conjugation using techniques that are well-known in the art. Commonly used carriers that can be chemically coupled to the peptide include keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH), thyroglobulin, bovine serum albumin (BSA), tetanus toxoid, and the like. The coupled peptide is used to immunize the animal (*e.g.* a mouse or a rabbit).

[0139]     The antibodies are then obtained from blood samples taken from the mammal. The techniques used to develop polyclonal antibodies are known in the art (see, e.g., *Methods of Enzymology*, "Production of Antisera With Small Doses of Immunogen: Multiple Intradermal Injections", Langone, *et al.* eds. (Acad. Press, 1981)). Polyclonal antibodies produced by the animals can be further purified, for example, by binding to and elution from a matrix to which the peptide to which the antibodies were raised is bound. Those of skill in the art will know of various techniques common in the immunology arts for purification and/or concentration of polyclonal antibodies, as well as monoclonal antibodies see, for example, Coligan, *et al.* (1991) Unit 9, *Current Protocols in Immunology*, Wiley Interscience).

[0140]     Preferably, however, the anti-VGLUT antibodies produced are monoclonal antibodies ("mAb's"). For preparation of monoclonal antibodies, immunization of a mouse or rat is preferred. The term "antibody" as used in this invention includes intact molecules as well as fragments thereof, such as, Fab and F(ab')$^{2}$, and/or single-chain antibodies (*e.g.* scFv) that are capable of binding an epitopic determinant.

[0141]     The general method used for production of hybridomas secreting mAbs is well known (Kohler and Milstein (1975) *Nature,* 256:495). Briefly, as described by Kohler and Milstein the technique comprises fusing an antibody-secreting cell (*e.g.* a splenocyte) with an immortalized cell (*e.g.* a myeloma cell). Hybridomas are then screened for production of antibodies that bind to a VGLUT polypeptide or a fragment thereof. Confirmation of specificity among mAb's can be accomplished using relatively routine screening techniques (such as the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, or "ELISA", BiaCore, *etc.*) to determine the binding specificity and/or avidity of the mAb of interest.

[0142]     Antibodies fragments, *e.g.* single chain antibodies (scFv or others), can also be produced/selected using phage display technology. The ability to express antibody fragments on the surface of viruses that infect bacteria (bacteriophage or phage) makes it possible to isolate a single binding antibody fragment, *e.g.,* from a library of greater than 10$^{10}$ nonbinding clones. To express antibody fragments on the surface of phage (phage display), an antibody fragment gene is inserted into the gene encoding a phage surface protein (*e.g.,* pIII) and the antibody fragment-pIII fusion protein is displayed on the phage surface (McCafferty *et al.* (1990) *Nature,* 348: 552-554; Hoogenboom *et al.* (1991) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 19: 4133-4137).

[0143]     Since the antibody fragments on the surface of the phage are functional, phage bearing antigen binding antibody fragments can be separated from non-binding phage by antigen affinity chromatography (McCafferty et al. (1990) Nature, 348: 552-554). Depending on the affinity of the antibody fragment, enrichment factors of 20 fold -

5    1,000,000 fold are obtained for a single round of affinity selection. By infecting bacteria with the eluted phage, however, more phage can be grown and subjected to another round of selection. In this way, an enrichment of 1000 fold in one round can become 1,000,000 fold in two rounds of selection (McCafferty et al. (1990) Nature, 348: 552-554). Thus even when enrichments are low (Marks et al. (1991) J. Mol. Biol. 222: 581-597), multiple rounds

10   of affinity selection can lead to the isolation of rare phage. Since selection of the phage antibody library on antigen results in enrichment, the majority of clones bind antigen after as few as three to four rounds of selection. Thus only a relatively small number of clones (several hundred) need to be analyzed for binding to antigen.

[0144]     Human antibodies can be produced without prior immunization by

15   displaying very large and diverse V-gene repertoires on phage (Marks et al. (1991) J. Mol. Biol. 222: 581-597). In one embodiment natural $V_H$ and $V_L$ repertoires present in human peripheral blood lymphocytes are were isolated from unimmunized donors by PCR. The V-gene repertoires were spliced together at random using PCR to create a scFv gene repertoire which is was cloned into a phage vector to create a library of 30 million phage antibodies

20   (Id.). From this single "naive" phage antibody library, binding antibody fragments have been isolated against more than 17 different antigens, including haptens, polysaccharides and proteins (Marks et al. (1991) J. Mol. Biol. 222: 581-597; Marks et al. (1993). Bio/Technology. 10: 779-783; Griffiths et al. (1993) EMBO J. 12: 725-734; Clackson et al. (1991) Nature. 352: 624-628). Antibodies have been produced against self proteins,

25   including human thyroglobulin, immunoglobulin, tumor necrosis factor and CEA (Griffiths et al. (1993) EMBO J. 12: 725-734). It is also possible to isolate antibodies against cell surface antigens by selecting directly on intact cells. The antibody fragments are highly specific for the antigen used for selection and have affinities in the 1 :M to 100 nM range (Marks et al. (1991) J. Mol. Biol. 222: 581-597; Griffiths et al. (1993) EMBO J. 12: 725-

30   734). Larger phage antibody libraries result in the isolation of more antibodies of higher binding affinity to a greater proportion of antigens.

[0145]    It will also be recognized that antibodies can be prepared by any of a number of commercial services (*e.g.*, Berkeley antibody laboratories, Bethyl Laboratories, Anawa, Eurogenetec, *etc.*).

## C) Polypeptide-based assays -- Polypeptide activity.

5    [0146]    In addition to, or as an alternative to, the assays described above, it is also possible to assay for glutamate transporter activity. As explained above, the VGLUT family polypeptides are glutamate transporters. Thus, VGLUT activity in a cell can be readily measured by providing a suitable ligand (*e.g.* labeled glutamate) and measuring the VGLUT transporter-mediated uptake of the ligand.

10    [0147]    Having identified VGLUT polypeptides as glutamate transporters, methods of transfecting cells with a nucleic acid that encodes a functional VGLUT transporter, can be routinely accomplished. Preferred cells are cells that do not normally express the VGLUT transporter whose activity is to be assayed. Such cells include, but are not limited to oocytes (*e.g. Xenopus laevis* oocytes).

15    [0148]    One such activity assay is illustrated herein in Example 2. In this example, the ability of VGLUT2 (DNPI) to transport glutamate into secretory vesicles was assayed using heterologous expressed VGLUT2 in rat pheochromocytoma PC12 cells, that exhibit no endogenous VGLUT2 (DNPI) mRNA or immunoreactivity.

[0149]    A series of transfected clones stably expressing DNPI was derived, a 20 population of light membranes including synaptic-like microvesicles was prepared, and assayed their ability to accumulate $^3$H-glutamate.

[0150]    Assays for activity of other VGLUT polypeptides can be similarly accomplished.

## D)    Pre-screening for agents that bind VGLUT nucleic acids or
25    ## polypeptides.

[0151]    In certain embodiments it is desired to pre-screen test agents for the ability to interact with (*e.g.* specifically bind to) a VGLUT nucleic acid or polypeptide. Specifically, binding test agents are more likely to interact with and thereby modulate VGLUT transporter expression and/or activity. Thus, in some preferred embodiments, the test

agent(s) are pre-screened for binding VGLUT nucleic acids or to VGLUT transporters before performing the more complex assays described above.

**[0152]** In one embodiment, such pre-screening is accomplished with simple binding assays. Means of assaying for specific binding or the binding affinity of a particular ligand for a nucleic acid or for a protein are well known to those of skill in the art. In preferred binding assays, the VGLUT transporter protein or protein fragment, or nucleic acid is immobilized and exposed to a test agent (which can be labeled), or alternatively, the test agent(s) are immobilized and exposed to a VGLUT polypeptide (or fragment) or to a VGLUT nucleic acid or fragment thereof (which can be labeled). The immobilized moiety is then washed to remove any unbound material and the bound test agent or bound VGLUT nucleic acid or protein is detected (*e.g.* by detection of a label attached to the bound molecule). The amount of immobilized label is proportional to the degree of binding between the VGLUT protein or nucleic acid and the test agent.

## II.    Modulator databases.

In certain embodiments, the agents that score positively in the assays described herein (*e.g.* show an ability to modulate VGLUT transporter expression or activity) can be entered into a database of putative and/or actual modulators of glutamate transport. The term database refers to a means for recording and retrieving information. In preferred embodiments the database also provides means for sorting and/or searching the stored information. The database can comprise any convenient media including, but not limited to, paper systems, card systems, mechanical systems, electronic systems, optical systems, magnetic systems or combinations thereof. Preferred databases include electronic (*e.g.* computer-based) databases. Computer systems for use in storage and manipulation of databases are well known to those of skill in the art and include, but are not limited to "personal computer systems", mainframe systems, distributed nodes on an inter- or intra-net, data or databases stored in specialized hardware (*e.g.* in microchips), and the like.

## III.    High throughput screening for agents that modulate VGLUT expression and/or activity.

**[0153]** The assays for modulators of VGLUT expression and/or activity or VGLUT ligands are also amenable to "high-throughput" modalities. Conventionally, new chemical entities with useful properties (*e.g.*, modulation of VGLUT transporter activity and/or

expression) are generated by identifying a chemical compound (called a "lead compound") with some desirable property or activity, creating variants of the lead compound, and evaluating the property and activity of those variant compounds. However, the current trend is to shorten the time scale for all aspects of drug discovery. Because of the ability to

5 test large numbers quickly and efficiently, high throughput screening (HTS) methods are replacing conventional lead compound identification methods.

[0154] In one preferred embodiment, high throughput screening methods involve providing a library containing a large number of compounds (candidate compounds) potentially having the desired activity. Such "combinatorial chemical libraries" are then

10 screened in one or more assays, as described herein, to identify those library members (particular chemical species or subclasses) that display a desired characteristic activity. The compounds thus identified can serve as conventional "lead compounds" or can themselves be used directly in the desired application.

## A)    Combinatorial chemical libraries for modulators of VGLUT expression
15 ## or activity.

[0155] The likelihood of an assay identifying an agent that modulates VGLUT transporter activity and/or expression is increased when the number and types of test agents used in the screening system is increased. Recently, attention has focused on the use of combinatorial chemical libraries to assist in the generation of new chemical compound

20 leads. A combinatorial chemical library is a collection of diverse chemical compounds generated by either chemical synthesis or biological synthesis by combining a number of chemical "building blocks" such as reagents. For example, a linear combinatorial chemical library such as a polypeptide library is formed by combining a set of chemical building blocks called amino acids in every possible way for a given compound length (*i.e.*, the

25 number of amino acids in a polypeptide compound). Millions of chemical compounds can be synthesized through such combinatorial mixing of chemical building blocks. For example, one commentator has observed that the systematic, combinatorial mixing of 100 interchangeable chemical building blocks results in the theoretical synthesis of 100 million tetrameric compounds or 10 billion pentameric compounds (Gallop *et al.* (1994) 37(9):

30 1233-1250).

[0156]    Preparation and screening of combinatorial chemical libraries is well known to those of skill in the art. Such combinatorial chemical libraries include, but are not limited to, peptide libraries (*see, e.g.,* U.S. Patent 5,010,175, Furka (1991) *Int. J. Pept. Prot. Res.,* 37: 487-493, Houghton *et al.* (1991) *Nature,* 354: 84-88). Peptide synthesis is by no means the only approach envisioned and intended for use with the present invention. Other chemistries for generating chemical diversity libraries can also be used. Such chemistries include, but are not limited to: peptoids (PCT Publication No WO 91/19735, 26 Dec. 1991), encoded peptides (PCT Publication WO 93/20242, 14 Oct. 1993), random bio-oligomers (PCT Publication WO 92/00091, 9 Jan. 1992), benzodiazepines (U.S. Pat. No. 5,288,514), diversomers such as hydantoins, benzodiazepines and dipeptides (Hobbs *et al.,* (1993) *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 90: 6909-6913), vinylogous polypeptides (Hagihara *et al.* (1992) *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* 114: 6568), nonpeptidal peptidomimetics with a Beta- D- Glucose scaffolding (Hirschmann *et al.,* (1992) *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* 114: 9217-9218), analogous organic syntheses of small compound libraries (Chen *et al.* (1994) *J. Amer. Chem.* Soc. 116: 2661), oligocarbamates (Cho, et al., (1993) *Science* 261:1303), and/or peptidyl phosphonates (Campbell *et al.,* (1994) *J. Org. Chem.* 59: 658). *See, generally,* Gordon *et al.,* (1994) *J. Med. Chem.* 37:1385, nucleic acid libraries (*see, e.g.,* Strategene, Corp.), peptide nucleic acid libraries (*see, e.g.,* U.S. Patent 5,539,083) antibody libraries (*see, e.g.,* Vaughn *et al.* (1996) *Nature Biotechnology,* 14(3): 309-314), and PCT/US96/10287), carbohydrate libraries (*see, e.g.,* Liang *et al.* (1996) *Science,* 274: 1520-1522, and U.S. Patent 5,593,853), and small organic molecule libraries (*see, e.g.,* benzodiazepines, Baum (1993) C&EN, Jan 18, page 33, isoprenoids U.S. Patent 5,569,588, thiazolidinones and metathiazanones U.S. Patent 5,549,974, pyrrolidines U.S. Patents 5,525,735 and 5,519,134, morpholino compounds U.S. Patent 5,506,337, benzodiazepines 5,288,514, and the like).

[0157]    Devices for the preparation of combinatorial libraries are commercially available (*see, e.g.,* 357 MPS, 390 MPS, Advanced Chem Tech, Louisville KY, Symphony, Rainin, Woburn, MA, 433A Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, 9050 Plus, Millipore, Bedford, MA).

[0158]    A number of well known robotic systems have also been developed for solution phase chemistries. These systems include automated workstations like the automated synthesis apparatus developed by Takeda Chemical Industries, LTD. (Osaka, Japan) and many robotic systems utilizing robotic arms (Zymate II, Zymark Corporation,

Hopkinton, Mass.; Orca, Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, Calif.) which mimic the manual

synthetic operations performed by a chemist. Any of the above devices are suitable for use

with the present invention. The nature and implementation of modifications to these devices

(if any) so that they can operate as discussed herein will be apparent to persons skilled in the

5      relevant art. In addition, numerous combinatorial libraries are themselves commercially

available (*see, e.g.,* ComGenex, Princeton, N.J., Asinex, Moscow, Ru, Tripos, Inc., St.

Louis, MO, ChemStar, Ltd, Moscow, RU, 3D Pharmaceuticals, Exton, PA, Martek

Biosciences, Columbia, MD, *etc.*).

## B)      High throughput assays of chemical libraries for modulators of VGLUT

10      transporter expression and/or activity.

**[0159]**      Any of the assays for agents that modulate VGLUT transporter expression or

activity are amenable to high throughput screening. As described above likely modulators

either inhibit expression of the gene product, or inhibit the activity of the receptor.

Preferred assays thus detect inhibition of transcription (*i.e.,* inhibition of mRNA production)

15      by the test compound(s), inhibition of protein expression by the test compound(s), binding

to the gene (*e.g.,* gDNA, or cDNA) or gene product (*e.g.,* mRNA or expressed protein) by

the test compound(s). High throughput assays for the presence, absence, or quantification

of particular nucleic acids or protein products are well known to those of skill in the art.

Similarly, binding assays are similarly well known. Thus, for example, U.S. Patent

20      5,559,410 discloses high throughput screening methods for proteins, U.S. Patent 5,585,639

discloses high throughput screening methods for nucleic acid binding (*i.e.,* in arrays), while

U.S. Patents 5,576,220 and 5,541,061 disclose high throughput methods of screening for

ligand/antibody binding.

**[0160]**      In addition, high throughput screening systems are commercially available

25      (*see, e.g.,* Zymark Corp., Hopkinton, MA; Air Technical Industries, Mentor, OH; Beckman

Instruments, Inc. Fullerton, CA; Precision Systems, Inc., Natick, MA, *etc.*). These systems

typically automate entire procedures including all sample and reagent pipetting, liquid

dispensing, timed incubations, and final readings of the microplate in detector(s) appropriate

for the assay. These configurable systems provide high throughput and rapid start up as

30      well as a high degree of flexibility and customization. The manufacturers of such systems

provide detailed protocols the various high throughput. Thus, for example, Zymark Corp.

provides technical bulletins describing screening systems for detecting the modulation of gene transcription, ligand binding, and the like.

## IV.    Providing cells that transport glutamate.

**[0161]**    Certain embodiments of this invention provide cells that are modified to alter their glutamate transporter activity.  Such cells can include cells that have no endogenous glutamate transporter activity, cells that have normally comprise glutamate transporters other than VGLUT transporters and/or cells that normally express VGLUT transporters (*e.g.* VGLUT1, and/or VGLUT2, and/or VGLUT3).

**[0162]**    In certain embodiments the cells are convenient for assaying for glutamate transporter activity.  In other embodiments, the cells are modified to increase VGLUT transporter activity to treat or mitigate a pathological state.  Thus, for example, where a subject (*e.g.* human or non-human mammal) suffers from an affliction associated with depressed glutamate transporter activity (*e.g.* ALS, Alzheimers disease, Parkinson's disease, *etc.*), cells in the organism can be transfected with a nucleic acid expressing a one or more heterologous VGLUT transporter(s) thereby increasing the ability of the cell to transport glutamate (*e.g.* into synaptic vesicles).

**[0163]**    Methods of transiently or stably expressing heterologous nucleic acids in cells are well known to those of skill in the art.  Using the sequence information provided herein and in publicly available databases, DNA encoding the VGLUT proteins described herein can be prepared by any suitable method as described above, including, for example, cloning and restriction of appropriate sequences or direct chemical synthesis by methods such as the phosphotriester method of Narang *et al.* (1979) *Meth. Enzymol.* 68: 90-99; the phosphodiester method of Brown *et al.*(1979) *Meth. Enzymol.* 68: 109-151; the diethylphosphoramidite method of Beaucage *et al.* (1981) *Tetra. Lett.*, 22: 1859-1862; and the solid support method of U.S. Patent No. 4,458,066.

**[0164]**    Chemical synthesis produces a single stranded oligonucleotide.  This may be converted into double stranded DNA by hybridization with a complementary sequence, or by polymerization with a DNA polymerase using the single strand as a template.  One of skill would recognize that while chemical synthesis of DNA is limited to sequences of about 100 bases, longer sequences may be obtained by the ligation of shorter sequences.

[0165] Alternatively, subsequences may be cloned and the appropriate subsequences cleaved using appropriate restriction enzymes. The fragments may then be ligated to produce the desired DNA sequence.

[0166] In one embodiment, the VGLUT nucleic acids of this invention can be cloned using DNA amplification methods such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (*see, e.g.,* Example 2). Thus, for example, the nucleic acid sequence or subsequence is PCR amplified, using a sense primer containing one restriction site (*e.g.,* NdeI) and an antisense primer containing another restriction site (*e.g.,* HindIII). This will produce a nucleic acid encoding the desired VGLUT sequence or subsequence and having terminal restriction sites. This nucleic acid can then be easily ligated into a vector containing a nucleic acid encoding the second molecule and having the appropriate corresponding restriction sites. Suitable PCR primers can be determined by one of skill in the art using the sequence information provided herein. Appropriate restriction sites can also be added to the nucleic acid encoding the VGLUT protein or protein subsequence by site-directed mutagenesis. The plasmid containing the VGLUT sequence or subsequence is cleaved with the appropriate restriction endonuclease and then ligated into the vector encoding the second molecule according to standard methods.

[0167] The nucleic acid sequences encoding VGLUT proteins or protein subsequences may be expressed in a variety of host cells, including *E. coli,* other bacterial hosts, yeast, and various higher eukaryotic cells such as the COS, CHO and HeLa cells lines and myeloma cell lines. In preferred embodiments, the VGLUT proteins are expressed in mammalian cells, *e.g.* rat pheochromocytoma PC12 cells. The recombinant protein gene will be operably linked to appropriate expression control sequences for each host. For *E. coli* this includes a promoter such as the T7, trp, or lambda promoters, a ribosome binding site and preferably a transcription termination signal. For eukaryotic cells, the control sequences will include a promoter and often an enhancer (*e.g.,* an enhancer derived from immunoglobulin genes, SV40, cytomegalovirus, *etc.*), and a polyadenylation sequence, and may include splice donor and acceptor sequences.

[0168] The plasmids of the invention can be transferred into the chosen host cell by well-known methods such as calcium chloride transformation for *E. coli* and calcium phosphate treatment or electroporation for mammalian cells. In certain embodiments, cells are transfected *in vivo* using vectors commonly used in gene therapy applications.

**[0169]** One of skill would recognize that modifications can be made to the VGLUT proteins without diminishing their biological activity. Some modifications can be made to facilitate the cloning, expression, or incorporation of the targeting molecule into a fusion protein. Such modifications are well known to those of skill in the art and include, for example, a methionine added at the amino terminus to provide an initiation site, altered codon usage to facilitate expression, and the like.

**[0170]** As indicated above, nucleic acids encoding a heterologous VGLUT glutamate transporter can be delivered *in vivo* to supplement cells in which such glutamate transport is deficient. Thus, in certain preferred embodiments, the nucleic acids encoding VGLUT glutamate transporters are cloned into gene therapy vectors that are competent to transfect cells (such as human or other mammalian cells) *in vitro* and/or *in vivo*.

**[0171]** Many approaches for introducing nucleic acids into cells *in vivo, ex vivo* and *in vitro* are known. These include lipid or liposome based gene delivery (WO 96/18372; WO 93/24640; Mannino and Gould-Fogerite (1988) *BioTechniques* 6(7): 682-691; Rose U.S. Pat No. 5,279,833; WO 91/06309; and Felgner *et al.* (1987) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84: 7413-7414) and replication-defective retroviral vectors harboring a therapeutic polynucleotide sequence as part of the retroviral genome (see, *e.g.*, Miller *et al.* (1990) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 10:4239 (1990); Kolberg (1992) *J. NIH Res.* 4: 43, and Cornetta *et al.* (1991) *Hum. Gene Ther.* 2: 215). "Gene therapy" procedures are discussed in greater detail below.

## V.    Altering VGLUT expression/activity.

**[0172]** In certain embodiments, this invention provides methods of inhibiting glutamate transport (*e.g.* uptake into synaptic vesicles) by a cell. Such methods preferably involve inhibiting expression or activity of a VGLUT glutamate transporter (*e.g.* VGLUT1, VGLUT2, VGLUT3, *etc.*). In other embodimens, VGLUT expression or activity is upregulated (*e.g.* by transfecting cells with a construct that expresses a heterologous VGLUT glutamate transporter, by altering the VGLUT promoter, and the like).

**[0173]** VGLUT expression can upregulated or inhibited using a wide variety of approaches known to those of skill in the art. For example, methods of inhibiting VGLUT expression include, but are not limited to antisense molecules, VGLUT specific ribozymes, VGLUT specific catalytic DNAs, intrabodies directed against VGLUT proteins, RNAi, gene therapy approaches that knock out VGLUTs, and small organic molecules that inhibit

VGLUT expression/overexpression or block receptor that is required to induce VGLUT expression. VGLUT expression and/or activity can be up-regulated by introducing constructs expressing VGLUT into the cell (*e.g.* using gene therapy approaches) or upregulating endogenous expression of VGLUT (*e.g.* using agents identified in the screening assays of this invention). It will be appreciated that the methods used to alter VGLUT expression/activity can generally also be used to alter expression/activity of VGLUT homologues.

### A) Antisense approaches.

[0174] VGLUT (*e.g.* VGLUT1, and/or VGLUT2, and/or VGLUT3) gene expression can be downregulated or entirely inhibited by the use of antisense molecules. An "antisense sequence or antisense nucleic acid" is a nucleic acid that is complementary to the coding VGLUT mRNA nucleic acid sequence or a subsequence thereof. Binding of the antisense molecule to the VGLUT mRNA interferes with normal translation of the VGLUT polypeptide.

[0175] Thus, in accordance with preferred embodiments of this invention, preferred antisense molecules include oligonucleotides and oligonucleotide analogs that are hybridizable with VGLUT messenger RNA. This relationship is commonly denominated as "antisense." The oligonucleotides and oligonucleotide analogs are able to inhibit the function of the RNA, either its translation into protein, its translocation into the cytoplasm, or any other activity necessary to its overall biological function. The failure of the messenger RNA to perform all or part of its function results in a reduction or complete inhibition of expression of VGLUT polypeptides.

[0176] In the context of this invention, the term "oligonucleotide" refers to a polynucleotide formed from naturally-occurring bases and/or cyclofuranosyl groups joined by native phosphodiester bonds. This term effectively refers to naturally-occurring species or synthetic species formed from naturally-occurring subunits or their close homologs. The term "oligonucleotide" may also refer to moieties which function similarly to oligonucleotides, but which have non naturally-occurring portions. Thus, oligonucleotides may have altered sugar moieties or inter-sugar linkages. Exemplary among these are the phosphorothioate and other sulfur containing species that are known for use in the art. In accordance with some preferred embodiments, at least one of the phosphodiester bonds of

the oligonucleotide has been substituted with a structure which functions to enhance the ability of the compositions to penetrate into the region of cells where the RNA whose activity is to be modulated is located. It is preferred that such substitutions comprise phosphorothioate bonds, methyl phosphonate bonds, or short chain alkyl or cycloalkyl

5    structures. In accordance with other preferred embodiments, the phosphodiester bonds are substituted with structures which are, at once, substantially non-ionic and non-chiral, or with structures which are chiral and enantiomerically specific. Persons of ordinary skill in the art will be able to select other linkages for use in the practice of the invention.

[0177]      In one particularly preferred embodiment, the internucleotide phosphodiester

10   linkage is replaced with a peptide linkage. Such peptide nucleic acids tend to show improved stability, penetrate the cell more easily, and show enhances affinity for their target. Methods of making peptide nucleic acids are known to those of skill in the art (see, e.g., U.S. Patent Nos: 6,015,887, 6,015,710, 5,986,053, 5,977,296, 5,902,786, 5,864,010, 5,786,461, 5,773,571, 5,766,855, 5,736,336, 5,719,262, and 5,714,331).

15   [0178]      Oligonucleotides may also include species that contain at least some modified base forms. Thus, purines and pyrimidines other than those normally found in nature may be so employed. Similarly, modifications on the furanosyl portions of the nucleotide subunits may also be effected, as long as the essential tenets of this invention are adhered to. Examples of such modifications are 2'-O-alkyl- and 2'-halogen-substituted

20   nucleotides. Some specific examples of modifications at the 2' position of sugar moieties which are useful in the present invention are OH, SH, $SCH_3$, F, $OCH_3$, OCN, $O(CH_2)[n]NH_2$ or $O(CH_2)[n]CH_3$, where n is from 1 to about 10, and other substituents having similar properties.

[0179]      Such oligonucleotides are best described as being functionally

25   interchangeable with natural oligonucleotides or synthesized oligonucleotides along natural lines, but which have one or more differences from natural structure. All such analogs are comprehended by this invention so long as they function effectively to hybridize with messenger RNA of VGLUT to inhibit the function of that RNA.

[0180]      The oligonucleotides in accordance with this invention preferably comprise

30   from about 3 to about 50 subunits. It is more preferred that such oligonucleotides and analogs comprise from about 8 to about 25 subunits and still more preferred to have from

-52-

about 12 to about 20 subunits. As will be appreciated, a subunit is a base and sugar combination suitably bound to adjacent subunits through phosphodiester or other bonds. The oligonucleotides used in accordance with this invention may be conveniently and routinely made through the well-known technique of solid phase synthesis. Equipment for

5 such synthesis is sold by several vendors, including Applied Biosystems. Any other means for such synthesis may also be employed, however, the actual synthesis of the oligonucleotides is well within the talents of the routineer. It is also will known to prepare other oligonucleotide such as phosphorothioates and alkylated derivatives.

[0181] Using the known sequence of the VGLUT gene(s)/cDNA(s) identified

10 herein, appropriate and effective antisense oligonucleotide sequences can be readily determined.

## B) Catalytic RNAs and DNAs

### 1) Ribozymes.

[0182] In another approach, VGLUT expression can be inhibited by the use of

15 ribozymes. As used herein, "ribozymes" are include RNA molecules that contain anti-sense sequences for specific recognition, and an RNA-cleaving enzymatic activity. The catalytic strand cleaves a specific site in a target (VGLUT) RNA, preferably at greater than stoichiometric concentration. Two "types" of ribozymes are particularly useful in this invention, the hammerhead ribozyme (Rossi *et al.* (1991) *Pharmac. Ther.* 50: 245-254) and

20 the hairpin ribozyme (Hampel *et al.* (1990) *Nucl. Acids Res.* 18: 299-304, and U.S. Pat. No. 5,254,678).

[0183] Because both hammerhead and hairpin ribozymes are catalytic molecules having antisense and endoribonucleotidase activity, ribozyme technology has emerged as a powerful extension of the antisense approach to gene inactivation. The ribozymes of the

25 invention typically consist of RNA, but such ribozymes may also be composed of nucleic acid molecules comprising chimeric nucleic acid sequences (such as DNA/RNA sequences) and/or nucleic acid analogs (e.g., phosphorothioates).

[0184] Accordingly, within one aspect of the present invention ribozymes are provided which have the ability to inhibit VGLUT expression. Such ribozymes can be in

30 the form of a "hammerhead" (for example, as described by Forster and Symons (1987) *Cell*

48: 211-220,; Haseloff and Gerlach (1988) *Nature* 328: 596-600; Walbot and Bruening (1988) *Nature* 334: 196; Haseloff and Gerlach (1988) *Nature* 334: 585) or a "hairpin" (*see, e.g.* U.S. Patent 5,254,678 and Hampel et *al.,* European Patent Publication No. 0 360 257, published Mar. 26, 1990), and have the ability to specifically target, cleave and VGLUT

5   nucleic acids.

**[0185]** The sequence requirement for the hairpin ribozyme is any RNA sequence consisting of NNNBN*GUCNNNNNN (where N*G is the cleavage site, where B is any of G, C, or U, and where N is any of G, U, C, or A) (SEQ ID NO: ___). Suitable VGLUT of recognition or target sequences for hairpin ribozymes can be readily determined from the

10   VGLUT sequence(s) identified herein.

**[0186]** The preferred sequence at the cleavage site for the hammerhead ribozyme is any RNA sequence consisting of NUX (where N is any of G, U, C, or A and X represents C, U, or A) can be targeted. Accordingly, the same target within the hairpin leader sequence, GUC, is useful for the hammerhead ribozyme. The additional nucleotides of the

15   hammerhead ribozyme or hairpin ribozyme is determined by the target flanking nucleotides and the hammerhead consensus sequence (*see* Ruffner et *al.* (1990) *Biochemistry* 29: 10695-10702).

**[0187]** Cech et *al.* (U.S. Patent 4,987,071,) has disclosed the preparation and use of certain synthetic ribozymes which have endoribonuclease activity. These ribozymes are

20   based on the properties of the Tetrahymena ribosomal RNA self-splicing reaction and require an eight base pair target site. A temperature optimum of 50°C. is reported for the endoribonuclease activity. The fragments that arise from cleavage contain 5' phosphate and 3' hydroxyl groups and a free guanosine nucleotide added to the 5' end of the cleaved RNA. The preferred ribozymes of this invention hybridize efficiently to target sequences at

25   physiological temperatures, making them particularly well suited for use *in vivo.*

**[0188]** The ribozymes of this invention, as well as DNA encoding such ribozymes and other suitable nucleic acid molecules can be chemically synthesized using methods well known in the art for the synthesis of nucleic acid molecules. Alternatively, Promega, Madison, Wis., USA, provides a series of protocols suitable for the production of RNA

30   molecules such as ribozymes. The ribozymes also can be prepared from a DNA molecule or other nucleic acid molecule (which, upon transcription, yields an RNA molecule)

operably linked to an RNA polymerase promoter, *e.g.*, the promoter for T7 RNA polymerase or SP6 RNA polymerase. Such a construct may be referred to as a vector. Accordingly, also provided by this invention are nucleic acid molecules, *e.g.*, DNA or cDNA, coding for the ribozymes of this invention. When the vector also contains an RNA

5    polymerase promoter operably linked to the DNA molecule, the ribozyme can be produced *in vitro* upon incubation with the RNA polymerase and appropriate nucleotides. In a separate embodiment, the DNA may be inserted into an expression cassette (*see, e.g.,* Cotten and Birnstiel (1989) *EMBO J* 8(12):3861-3866; Hempel *et al.* (1989) *Biochem.* 28: 4929-4933, *etc.*).

10   **[0189]**      After synthesis, the ribozyme can be modified by ligation to a DNA molecule having the ability to stabilize the ribozyme and make it resistant to RNase. Alternatively, the ribozyme can be modified to the phosphothio analog for use in liposome delivery systems. This modification also renders the ribozyme resistant to endonuclease activity.

15   **[0190]**      The ribozyme molecule also can be in a host prokaryotic or eukaryotic cell in culture or in the cells of an organism/patient. Appropriate prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells can be transfected with an appropriate transfer vector containing the DNA molecule encoding a ribozyme of this invention. Alternatively, the ribozyme molecule, including nucleic acid molecules encoding the ribozyme, may be introduced into the host cell using

20   traditional methods such as transformation using calcium phosphate precipitation (Dubensky *et al.* (1984) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 81: 7529-7533), direct microinjection of such nucleic acid molecules into intact target cells (Acsadi *et al.* (1991) *Nature* 352: 815-818), and electroporation whereby cells suspended in a conducting solution are subjected to an intense electric field in order to transiently polarize the membrane, allowing entry of the

25   nucleic acid molecules. Other procedures include the use of nucleic acid molecules linked to an inactive adenovirus (Cotton *et al.* (1990) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 89 :6094), lipofection (Felgner *et al.* (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84: 7413-7417), microprojectile bombardment (Williams *et al.* (1991) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 88: 2726-2730), polycation compounds such as polylysine, receptor specific ligands, liposomes

30   entrapping the nucleic acid molecules, spheroplast fusion whereby *E coli* containing the nucleic acid molecules are stripped of their outer cell walls and fused to animal cells using polyethylene glycol, viral transduction, (Cline *et al.,* (1985) *Pharmac. Ther.* 29: 69; and

Friedmann *et al.* (1989) *Science* 244: 1275), and DNA ligand (Wu *et al* (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 16985-16987), as well as psoralen inactivated viruses such as Sendai or Adenovirus. In one preferred embodiment, the ribozyme is introduced into the host cell utilizing a lipid, a liposome or a retroviral vector.

5    [0191]    When the DNA molecule is operatively linked to a promoter for RNA transcription, the RNA can be produced in the host cell when the host cell is grown under suitable conditions favoring transcription of the DNA molecule. The vector can be, but is not limited to, a plasmid, a virus, a retrotransposon or a cosmid. Examples of such vectors are disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,166,320. Other representative vectors include, but are not

10   limited to adenoviral vectors (e.g., WO 94/26914, WO 93/9191; Kolls *et al.* (1994) PNAS 91(1):215-219; Kass-Eisler *et al.,* (1993) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 90(24): 11498-502, Guzman *et al.* (1993) *Circulation* 88(6): 2838-48, 1993; Guzman *et al.* (1993) *Cir. Res.* 73(6):1202-1207, 1993; Zabner *et al.* (1993) *Cell* 75(2): 207-216; Li *et al.* (1993) *Hum Gene Ther.* 4(4): 403-409; Caillaud *et al.* (1993) *Eur. J Neurosci.* 5(10): 1287-1291), adeno-

15   associated vector type 1 ("AAV-1") or adeno-associated vector type 2 ("AAV-2") (see WO 95/13365; Flotte *et al.* (1993) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 90(22):10613-10617), retroviral vectors (*e.g.,* EP 0 415 731; WO 90/07936; WO 91/02805; WO 94/03622; WO 93/25698; WO 93/25234; U.S. Pat. No. 5,219,740; WO 93/11230; WO 93/10218) and herpes viral vectors (*e.g.,* U.S. Pat. No. 5,288,641). Methods of utilizing such vectors in gene therapy

20   are well known in the art, see, for example, Larrick and Burck (1991) *Gene Therapy: Application of Molecular Biology*, Elsevier Science Publishing Co., Inc., New York, New York, and Kreigler (1990) *Gene Transfer and Expression: A Laboratory Manual*, W.H. Freeman and Company, New York.

[0192]    To produce ribozymes *in vivo* utilizing vectors, the nucleotide sequences

25   coding for ribozymes are preferably placed under the control of a strong promoter such as the lac, SV40 late, SV40 early, or lambda promoters. Ribozymes are then produced directly from the transfer vector *in vivo*. Suitable transfector vectors for *in vivo* expression are discussed below.

## 2)    Catalytic DNA

30   [0193]    In a manner analogous to ribozymes, DNAs are also capable of demonstrating catalytic (*e.g.* nuclease) activity. While no such naturally-occurring DNAs

are known, highly catalytic species have been developed by directed evolution and selection. Beginning with a population of $10^{14}$ DNAs containing 50 random nucleotides, successive rounds of selective amplification, enriched for individuals that best promote the $Pb^{2+}$-dependent cleavage of a target ribonucleoside 3'-O-P bond embedded within an

5     otherwise all-DNA sequence. By the fifth round, the population as a whole carried out this reaction at a rate of 0.2 $min^{-1}$. Based on the sequence of 20 individuals isolated from this population, a simplified version of the catalytic domain that operates in an intermolecular context with a turnover rate of 1 $min^{-1}$ (*see, e.g.,* Breaker and Joyce (1994) *Chem Biol* 4: 223-229.

10     **[0194]**     In later work, using a similar strategy, a DNA enzyme was made that could cleave almost any targeted RNA substrate under simulated physiological conditions. The enzyme is comprised of a catalytic domain of 15 deoxynucleotides, flanked by two substrate-recognition domains of seven to eight deoxynucleotides each. The RNA substrate is bound through Watson-Crick base pairing and is cleaved at a particular phosphodiester

15     located between an unpaired purine and a paired pyrimidine residue. Despite its small size, the DNA enzyme has a catalytic efficiency (kcat/Km) of approximately $10^9$ $M^{-1}min^{-1}$ under multiple turnover conditions, exceeding that of any other known nucleic acid enzyme. By changing the sequence of the substrate-recognition domains, the DNA enzyme can be made to target different RNA substrates (Santoro and Joyce (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,*

20     94(9): 4262-4266). Modifying the appropriate targeting sequences (*e.g.* as described by Santoro and Joyce, *supra.*) the DNA enzyme can easily be retargeted to VGLUT mRNA thereby acting like a ribozyme.

### C)     Knocking out VGLUT

**[0195]**     In another approach, VGLUT can be inhibited/downregulated simply by

25     "knocking out" the gene.

### D)     VGLUT knockout animals.

**[0196]**     In certain embodiments, this invention provides animals in which VGLUT glutamate transporter are "knocked out". Such animals can be heterozygous or homozygous for the knockout.

[0197]    Typically this is accomplished by disrupting the VGLUT gene(s), the promoter regulating the VGLUT gene(s) or sequences between the endogenous promoter(s) and the gene(s). Such disruption can be specifically directed to VGLUT nucleic acids (*e.g.* VGLUT1, and/or VGLUT2, and/or VGLUT3) by homologous recombination where a

5    "knockout construct" contains flanking sequences complementary to the domain to which the construct is targeted. Insertion of the knockout construct (*e.g.* into a VGLUT gene) results in disruption of that gene.

[0198]    The phrases "disruption of the gene" and "gene disruption" refer to insertion of a nucleic acid sequence into one region of the native DNA sequence (usually one or more

10    exons) and/or the promoter region of a gene so as to decrease or prevent expression of that gene in the cell as compared to the wild-type or naturally occurring sequence of the gene. By way of example, a nucleic acid construct can be prepared containing a DNA sequence encoding an antibiotic resistance gene which is inserted into the DNA sequence that is complementary to the DNA sequence (promoter and/or coding region) to be disrupted.

15    When this nucleic acid construct is then transfected into a cell, the construct will integrate into the genomic DNA. Thus, the cell and its progeny will no longer express the gene or will express it at a decreased level, as the DNA is now disrupted by the antibiotic resistance gene.

[0199]    Knockout constructs can be produced by standard methods known to those

20    of skill in the art. The knockout construct can be chemically synthesized or assembled, *e.g.*, using recombinant DNA methods. The DNA sequence to be used in producing the knockout construct is digested with a particular restriction enzyme selected to cut at a location(s) such that a new DNA sequence encoding a marker gene can be inserted in the proper position within this DNA sequence. The proper position for marker gene insertion is

25    that which will serve to prevent expression of the native VGLUT gene; this position will depend on various factors such as the restriction sites in the sequence to be cut, and whether an exon sequence or a promoter sequence, or both is (are) to be interrupted (*i.e.*, the precise location of insertion necessary to inhibit promoter function or to inhibit synthesis of the native exon). Preferably, the enzyme selected for cutting the DNA will generate a longer

30    arm and a shorter arm, where the shorter arm is at least about 300 base pairs (bp). In some cases, it will be desirable to actually remove a portion or even all of one or more exons of the gene to be suppressed so as to keep the length of the knockout construct comparable to

the original genomic sequence when the marker gene is inserted in the knockout construct. In these cases, the genomic DNA is cut with appropriate restriction endonucleases such that a fragment of the proper size can be removed.

[0200]    The marker gene can be any nucleic acid sequence that is detectable and/or
5    assayable, however typically it is an antibiotic resistance gene or other gene whose expression or presence in the genome can easily be detected. The marker gene is usually operably linked to its own promoter or to another strong promoter from any source that will be active or can easily be activated in the cell into which it is inserted; however, the marker gene need not have its own promoter attached as it may be transcribed using the promoter of
10    the gene to be suppressed. In addition, the marker gene will normally have a polyA sequence attached to the 3' end of the gene; this sequence serves to terminate transcription of the gene. Preferred marker genes are any antibiotic resistance gene including, but not limited to *neo* (the neomycin resistance gene) and *beta-gal* (beta-galactosidase).

[0201]    After the genomic DNA sequence has been digested with the appropriate
15    restriction enzymes, the marker gene sequence is ligated into the genomic DNA sequence using methods well known to the skilled artisan (*see, e.g.,* Berger and Kimmel, *Guide to Molecular Cloning Techniques, Methods in Enzymology* volume 152 Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA; Sambrook *et al.* (1989) *Molecular Cloning - A Laboratory Manual* (2nd ed.) Vol. 1-3, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor Press, NY; and *Current*
20    *Protocols in Molecular Biology*, F.M. Ausubel *et al.*, eds., Current Protocols, a joint venture between Greene Publishing Associates, Inc. and John Wiley & Sons, Inc., (1994) Supplement). The ends of the DNA fragments to be ligated are rendered compatible, *e.g.,* by either cutting the fragments with enzymes that generate compatible ends, or by blunting the ends prior to ligation. Blunting is done using methods well known in the art, such as for
25    example by the use of Klenow fragment (DNA polymerase I) to fill in sticky ends.

[0202]    The production of knockout constructs and their use to produce knockout mice is well known to those of skill in the art (*see, e.g.,* Dorfman *et al.* (1996) *Oncogene* 13: 925-931). The knockout constructs can be delivered to cells *in vivo* using gene therapy delivery vehicles (*e.g.* retroviruses, liposomes, lipids, dendrimers, *etc.*) as described above.
30    Methods of knocking out genes are well described in the literature and essentially routine to those of skill in the art (*see, e.g.,* Thomas *et al.* (1986) *Cell* 44(3): 419-428; Thomas, *et al.* (1987) *Cell* 51(3): 503-512)l; Jasin and Berg (1988) *Genes & Development* 2: 1353-1363;

Mansour, *et al.* (1988) *Nature* 336: 348-352; Brinster, *et al.* (1989) *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 86: 7087-7091; Capecchi (1989) *Trends in Genetics* 5(3): 70-76; Frohman and Martin (1989) *Cell* 56: 145-147; Hasty, *et al.* (1991) *Mol Cell Bio* 11(11): 5586-5591; Jeannotte, *et al.* (1991) *Mol Cell Biol.* 11(11): 557814 5585; and Mortensen, *et al.* (1992) *Mol Cell Biol.* 12(5): 2391-2395.

**[0203]** The use of homologous recombination to alter expression of endogenous genes is also described in detail in U.S. Patent 5,272,071, WO 91/09955, WO 93/09222, WO 96/29411, WO 95/31560, and WO 91/12650.

**[0204]** Production of the knockout animals of this invention is not dependent on the availability of ES cells. In various embodiments, knockout animals of this invention can be produced using methods of somatic cell nuclear transfer. In preferred embodiments using such an approach, a somatic cell is obtained from the species in which the VGLUT gene is to be knocked out. The cell is transfected with a construct that introduces a disruption in the VGLUT gene (*e.g.* via heterologous recombination) as described herein. Cells harboring a knocked out VGLUT gene are selected as described herein. The nucleus of such cells harboring the knockout is then placed in an unfertilized enucleated egg (*e.g.*, eggs from which the natural nuclei have been removed by microsurgery). Once the transfer is complete, the recipient eggs contained a complete set of genes, just as they would if they had been fertilized by sperm. The eggs are then cultured for a period before being implanted into a host mammal (of the same species that provided the egg) where they are carried to term, culminating in the berth of a transgenic animal comprising a nucleic acid construct containing one or more disrupted VGLUT genes.

**[0205]** The production of viable cloned mammals following nuclear transfer of cultured somatic cells has been reported for a wide variety of species including, but not limited to frogs (McKinnell (1962) *J. Hered.* 53, 199–207), calves (Kato *et al.* (1998) *Science* 262: 2095–2098), sheep (Campbell *et al.* (1996) *Nature* 380: 64–66), mice (Wakayamaand Yanagimachi (1999) *Nat. Genet.* 22: 127–128), goats (Baguisi *et al.* (1999) *Nat. Biotechnol.* 17: 456–461), monkeys (Meng *et al.* (1997) *Biol. Reprod.* 57: 454–459), and pigs (Bishop *et al.* (2000) *Nature Biotechnology* 18: 1055-1059). Nuclear transfer methods have also been used to produce clones of transgenic animals. Thus, for example, the production of transgenic goats carrying the human antithrobin III gene by somatic cell

nuclear transfer has been reported (Baguisi *et al.* (1999) *Nature Biotechnology* 17: 456-461).

[0206]    Using methods of nuclear transfer as described in these and other references, cell nuclei derived from differentiated fetal or adult, mammalian cells are transplanted into enucleated mammalian oocytes of the same species as the donor nuclei. The nuclei are reprogrammed to direct the development of cloned embryos, which can then be transferred into recipient females to produce fetuses and offspring, or used to produce cultured inner cell mass (CICM) cells. The cloned embryos can also be combined with fertilized embryos to produce chimeric embryos, fetuses and/or offspring.

[0207]    Somatic cell nuclear transfer also allows simplification of transgenic procedures by working with a differentiated cell source that can be clonally propagated. This eliminates the need to maintain the cells in an undifferentiated state, thus, genetic modifications, both random integration and gene targeting, are more easily accomplished. Also by combining nuclear transfer with the ability to modify and select for these cells *in vitro*, this procedure is more efficient than previous transgenic embryo techniques.

[0208]    Nuclear transfer techniques or nuclear transplantation techniques are known in the literature. See, in particular, Campbell *et al.* (1995) *Theriogenology*, 43:181; Collas *et al.* (1994) *Mol. Report Dev.*, 38:264-267; Keefer *et al.* (1994) *Biol. Reprod.*, 50:935-939; Sims et al. (1993) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 90:6143-6147; WO 94/26884; WO 94/24274, WO 90/03432, U.S. Patents 5,945,577, 4,944,384, 5,057,420 and the like.

### E)    Intrabodies.

[0209]    In still another embodiment, VGLUT expression/activity is inhibited by transfecting the subject cell(s) (*e.g.*, cells of the vascular endothelium) with a nucleic acid construct that expresses an intrabody. An intrabody is an intracellular antibody, in this case, capable of recognizing and binding to a VGLUT polypeptide. The intrabody is expressed by an "antibody cassette", containing a sufficient number of nucleotides coding for the portion of an antibody capable of binding to the target (VGLUT polypeptide) operably linked to a promoter that will permit expression of the antibody in the cell(s) of interest. The construct encoding the intrabody is delivered to the cell where the antibody is expressed intracellularly and binds to the target VGLUT, thereby disrupting the target from its normal action. This antibody is sometimes referred to as an "intrabody".

[0210]       In one preferred embodiment, the "intrabody gene" (antibody) of the antibody cassette would utilize a cDNA, encoding heavy chain variable ($V_H$) and light chain variable ($V_L$) domains of an antibody which can be connected at the DNA level by an appropriate oligonucleotide as a bridge of the two variable domains, which on translation, form a single peptide (referred to as a single chain variable fragment, "sFv") capable of binding to a target such as an VGLUT protein. The intrabody gene preferably does not encode an operable secretory sequence and thus the expressed antibody remains within the cell.

[0211]       Anti- VGLUT antibodies suitable for use/expression as intrabodies in the methods of this invention can be readily produced by a variety of methods. Such methods include, but are not limited to, traditional methods of raising "whole" polyclonal antibodies, which can be modified to form single chain antibodies, or screening of, *e.g.* phage display libraries to select for antibodies showing high specificity and/or avidity for VGLUT. Such screening methods are described above in some detail.

[0212]       The antibody cassette is delivered to the cell by any of the known means. This discloses the use of a fusion protein comprising a target moiety and a binding moiety. The target moiety brings the vector to the cell, while the binding moiety carries the antibody cassette. Other methods include, for example, Miller (1992) *Nature* 357: 455-460; Anderson (1992) *Science* 256: 808-813; Wu, *et al.* (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.* 263: 14621-14624. For example, a cassette containing these (anti-VGLUT) antibody genes, such as the sFv gene, can be targeted to a particular cell by a number of techniques including, but not limited to the use of tissue-specific promoters, the use of tissue specific vectors, and the like. Methods of making and using intrabodies are described in detail in U.S. Patent 6,004,940.

### E)       Small organic molecules.

[0213]       In still another embodiment, VGLUT expression and/or VGLUT protein activity can be inhibited by the use of small organic molecules. Such molecules include, but are not limited to molecules that specifically bind to the DNA comprising the VGLUT promoter and/or coding region, molecules that bind to and complex with VGLUT mRNA, molecules that inhibit the signaling pathway that results in VGLUT upregulation, and molecules that bind to and/or compete with VGLUT polypeptides. Small organic molecules

effective at inhibiting VGLUT expression can be identified with routine screening using the methods described herein.

[0214] The methods of inhibiting VGLUT expression described above are meant to be illustrative and not limiting. In view of the teachings provided herein, other methods of

5    inhibiting VGLUT will be known to those of skill in the art.

## F) Modes of administration.

[0215] The mode of administration of the VGLUT blocking agent depends on the nature of the particular agent. Antisense molecules, catalytic RNAs (ribozymes), catalytic DNAs, small organic molecules, and other molecules (*e.g.* lipids, antibodies, *etc.*) used as

10   VGLUT inhibitors may be formulated as pharmaceuticals (*e.g.* with suitable excipient) and delivered using standard pharmaceutical formulation and delivery methods as described below. Antisense molecules, catalytic RNAs (ribozymes), catalytic DNAs, and additionally, knockout constructs, and constructs encoding intrabodies can be delivered and (if necessary) expressed in target cells (*e.g.* vascular endothelial cells) using methods of

15   gene therapy, *e.g.* as described below.

## 1) Pharmaceutical administration.

[0216] In order to carry out the methods of the invention, one or more inhibitors of VGLUT expression (*e.g.* ribozymes, antibodies, antisense molecules, small organic molecules, *etc.*) are administered to an individual to ameliorate one or more symptoms of a

20   neurological dysfunction (*e.g.* Alzheimers, ALS, stroke, epilepsy, *etc.*). While this invention is described generally with reference to human subjects, veterinary applications are contemplated within the scope of this invention.

[0217] Various inhibitors may be administered, if desired, in the form of salts, esters, amides, prodrugs, derivatives, and the like, provided the salt, ester, amide, prodrug or

25   derivative is suitable pharmacologically, *i.e.*, effective in the present method. Salts, esters, amides, prodrugs and other derivatives of the active agents may be prepared using standard procedures known to those skilled in the art of synthetic organic chemistry and described, for example, by March (1992) *Advanced Organic Chemistry; Reactions, Mechanisms and Structure*, 4th Ed. N.Y. Wiley-Interscience.

[0218]    The VGLUT inhibitors and various derivatives and/or formulations thereof are useful for parenteral, topical, oral, or local administration, such as by aerosol or transdermally, for prophylactic and/or therapeutic treatment of coronary disease and/or rheumatoid arthritis. The pharmaceutical compositions can be administered in a variety of

5    unit dosage forms depending upon the method of administration. Suitable unit dosage forms, include, but are not limited to powders, tablets, pills, capsules, lozenges, suppositories, *etc.*

[0219]    The VGLUT inhibitors and various derivatives and/or formulations thereof are typically combined with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (excipient) to form a

10    pharmacological composition. Pharmaceutically acceptable carriers can contain one or more physiologically acceptable compound(s) that act, for example, to stabilize the composition or to increase or decrease the absorption of the active agent(s). Physiologically acceptable compounds can include, for example, carbohydrates, such as glucose, sucrose, or dextrans, antioxidants, such as ascorbic acid or glutathione, chelating agents, low molecular

15    weight proteins, compositions that reduce the clearance or hydrolysis of the active agents, or excipients or other stabilizers and/or buffers.

[0220]    Other physiologically acceptable compounds include wetting agents, emulsifying agents, dispersing agents or preservatives which are particularly useful for preventing the growth or action of microorganisms. Various preservatives are well known

20    and include, for example, phenol and ascorbic acid. One skilled in the art would appreciate that the choice of pharmaceutically acceptable carrier(s), including a physiologically acceptable compound depends, for example, on the route of administration of the active agent(s) and on the particular physio-chemical characteristics of the active agent(s). The excipients are preferably sterile and generally free of undesirable matter. These

25    compositions may be sterilized by conventional, well known sterilization techniques.

[0221]    The concentration of active agent(s) in the formulation can vary widely, and will be selected primarily based on fluid volumes, viscosities, body weight and the like in accordance with the particular mode of administration selected and the patient's needs.

[0222]    In therapeutic applications, the compositions of this invention are

30    administered to a patient suffering from a disease (*e.g.,* atherosclerosis and/or associated conditions, and/or rheumatoid arthritis) in an amount sufficient to cure or at least partially

-64-

arrest the disease and/or its symptoms (*e.g.* to reduce plaque formation, to reduce monocyte recruitment, *etc.*) An amount adequate to accomplish this is defined as a "therapeutically effective dose." Amounts effective for this use will depend upon the severity of the disease and the general state of the patient's health. Single or multiple administrations of the

5      compositions may be administered depending on the dosage and frequency as required and tolerated by the patient. In any event, the composition should provide a sufficient quantity of the active agents of the formulations of this invention to effectively treat (ameliorate one or more symptoms) the patient.

[0223]      In certain preferred embodiments, the VGLUT inhibitors are administered

10      orally (*e.g.* via a tablet) or as an injectable in accordance with standard methods well known to those of skill in the art. In other preferred embodiments, the VGLUT inhibitors may also be delivered through the skin using conventional transdermal drug delivery systems, *i.e.,* transdermal "patches" wherein the active agent(s) are typically contained within a laminated structure that serves as a drug delivery device to be affixed to the skin. In such a structure,

15      the drug composition is typically contained in a layer, or "reservoir," underlying an upper backing layer. It will be appreciated that the term "reservoir" in this context refers to a quantity of "active ingredient(s)" that is ultimately available for delivery to the surface of the skin. Thus, for example, the "reservoir" may include the active ingredient(s) in an adhesive on a backing layer of the patch, or in any of a variety of different matrix

20      formulations known to those of skill in the art. The patch may contain a single reservoir, or it may contain multiple reservoirs.

[0224]      In one embodiment, the reservoir comprises a polymeric matrix of a pharmaceutically acceptable contact adhesive material that serves to affix the system to the skin during drug delivery. Examples of suitable skin contact adhesive materials include, but

25      are not limited to, polyethylenes, polysiloxanes, polyisobutylenes, polyacrylates, polyurethanes, and the like. Alternatively, the drug-containing reservoir and skin contact adhesive are present as separate and distinct layers, with the adhesive underlying the reservoir which, in this case, may be either a polymeric matrix as described above, or it may be a liquid or hydrogel reservoir, or may take some other form. The backing layer in these

30      laminates, which serves as the upper surface of the device, preferably functions as a primary structural element of the "patch" and provides the device with much of its flexibility. The

material selected for the backing layer is preferably substantially impermeable to the active agent(s) and any other materials that are present.

[0225]    The foregoing formulations and administration methods are intended to be illustrative and not limiting. It will be appreciated that, using the teaching provided herein, other suitable formulations and modes of administration can be readily devised.

### 2)    Gene therapy.

[0226]    As indicated above, molecules encoding and expressing heterologous VGLUT transporters, antisense molecules, catalytic RNAs (ribozymes), catalytic DNAs, and additionally, knockout constructs, and constructs encoding intrabodies can be delivered and transcribed and/or expressed in target cells (*e.g.* cancer cells) using methods of gene therapy. Thus, in certain preferred embodiments, the nucleic acids encoding knockout constructs, intrabodies, antisense molecules, catalytic RNAs or DNAs, *etc.* are cloned into gene therapy vectors that are competent to transfect cells (such as human or other mammalian cells) *in vitro* and/or *in vivo*.

[0227]    Many approaches for introducing nucleic acids into cells *in vivo, ex vivo* and *in vitro* are known. These include lipid or liposome based gene delivery (WO 96/18372; WO 93/24640; Mannino and Gould-Fogerite (1988) *BioTechniques* 6(7): 682-691; Rose U.S. Pat No. 5,279,833; WO 91/06309; and Felgner *et al.* (1987) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84: 7413-7414) and replication-defective retroviral vectors harboring a therapeutic polynucleotide sequence as part of the retroviral genome (see, *e.g.,* Miller *et al.* (1990) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 10:4239 (1990); Kolberg (1992) *J. NIH Res.* 4: 43, and Cornetta *et al.* (1991) *Hum. Gene Ther.* 2: 215).

[0228]    For a review of gene therapy procedures, see, *e.g.,* Anderson, *Science* (1992) 256: 808-813; Nabel and Felgner (1993) *TIBTECH* 11: 211-217; Mitani and Caskey (1993) *TIBTECH* 11: 162-166; Mulligan (1993) *Science,* 926-932; Dillon (1993) *TIBTECH* 11: 167-175; Miller (1992) *Nature* 357: 455-460; Van Brunt (1988) *Biotechnology* 6(10): 1149-1154; Vigne (1995) Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience 8: 35-36; Kremer and Perricaudet (1995) *British Medical Bulletin* 51(1) 31-44; Haddada *et al.* (1995) in *Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology,* Doerfler and Böhm (eds) Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg Germany; and Yu *et al.,* (1994) *Gene Therapy,* 1:13-26.

**[0229]** Widely used retroviral vectors include those based upon murine leukemia virus (MuLV), gibbon ape leukemia virus (GaLV), Simian Immunodeficiency virus (SIV), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), alphavirus, and combinations thereof (*see, e.g.,* Buchscher *et al.* (1992) *J. Virol.* 66(5) 2731-2739; Johann *et al.* (1992) *J. Virol.* 66

5 (5):1635-1640 (1992); Sommerfelt *et al.,* (1990) *Virol.* 176:58-59; Wilson *et al.* (1989) *J. Virol.* 63:2374-2378; Miller *et al., J. Virol.* 65:2220-2224 (1991); Wong-Staal *et al.,* PCT/US94/05700, and Rosenburg and Fauci (1993) in *Fundamental Immunology,* Third Edition Paul (ed) Raven Press, Ltd., New York and the references therein, and Yu *et al.* (1994) *Gene Therapy, supra;* U.S. Patent 6,008,535, and the like).

10 **[0230]** The vectors are optionally pseudotyped to extend the host range of the vector to cells which are not infected by the retrovirus corresponding to the vector. For example, the vesicular stomatitis virus envelope glycoprotein (VSV-G) has been used to construct VSV-G-pseudotyped HIV vectors which can infect hematopoietic stem cells (Naldini *et al.* (1996) *Science* 272:263, and Akkina *et al.* (1996) *J Virol* 70:2581).

15 **[0231]** Adeno-associated virus (AAV)-based vectors are also used to transduce cells with target nucleic acids, *e.g.,* in the *in vitro* production of nucleic acids and peptides, and in *in vivo* and *ex vivo* gene therapy procedures. See, West *et al.* (1987) *Virology* 160:38-47; Carter *et al.* (1989) U.S. Patent No. 4,797,368; Carter *et al.* WO 93/24641 (1993); Kotin (1994) *Human Gene Therapy* 5:793-801; Muzyczka (1994) *J. Clin. Invst.* 94:1351 for an

20 overview of AAV vectors. Construction of recombinant AAV vectors are described in a number of publications, including Lebkowski, U.S. Pat. No. 5,173,414; Tratschin *et al.* (1985) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 5(11):3251-3260; Tratschin, *et al.* (1984) *Mol. Cell. Biol.,* 4: 2072-2081; Hermonat and Muzyczka (1984) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA,* 81: 6466-6470; McLaughlin *et al.* (1988) and Samulski *et al.* (1989) *J. Virol.,* 63:03822-3828. Cell lines

25 that can be transformed by rAAV include those described in Lebkowski *et al.* (1988) *Mol. Cell. Biol.,* 8:3988-3996. Other suitable viral vectors include, but are not limited to, herpes virus, lentivirus, and vaccinia virus.

## V.   Kits.

**[0232]** In still another embodiment, this invention provides kits for the practice of

30 the methods of this invention. In certain embodiments the kits comprise a nucleic acid that encodes a VGLUT transporter (*e.g.* a VGLUT1, and/or a VGLUT2, and/or a VGLUT3

transporter) and/or an antibody that specifically binds to a VGLUT transporter, and/or a cell expressing an endogenous VGLUT transporter, and/or a cell transfected with a heterologous nucleic acid capable of expressing a VGLUT transporter. In certain embodiments, the kit comprises a cell and a vector suitable for transfecting the cell with a heterologous nucleic

5    acid capable of expressing a VGLUT transporter. In certain embodiments, the kit comprises a nucleic acid probe that can specifically hybridize to a nucleic acid encoding a VGLUT transporter (*e.g.* a VGLUT1, and/or VGLUT2, and/or VGLUT3 mRNA). The probe can, optionally, be labeled with a detectable label, *e.g.*, as described herein. In certain embodiments, the kit comprises a vector comprising an expression cassette that expresses a

10   VGLUT transporter. In certain preferred embodiments, the vector is one that permits *in vivo* transfection of a cell. The kit can optionally include various transfection reagents, (*e.g.* cationic lipids, dendrimers, and the like).

[0233]    The kits can optionally include any reagents and/or apparatus to facilitate practice of the methods described herein. Such reagents include, but are not limited to

15   buffers, instrumentation (*e.g.* bandpass filter), reagents for detecting a signal from a detectable label, transfection reagents, cell lines, vectors, and the like.

[0234]    In addition, the kits can include instructional materials containing directions (*i.e.*, protocols) for the practice of the methods of this invention. Preferred instructional materials provide protocols for utilizing the kit contents for screening for agents that

20   increase or decrease VGLUT glutamate transporter expression and/or activity, *e.g.* as described herein. While the instructional materials typically comprise written or printed materials they are not limited to such. Any medium capable of storing such instructions and communicating them to an end user is contemplated by this invention. Such media include, but are not limited to electronic storage media (*e.g.*, magnetic discs, tapes, cartridges,

25   chips), optical media (*e.g.*, CD ROM), and the like. Such media can include addresses to internet sites that provide such instructional materials.

## EXAMPLES

[0235]    The following examples are offered to illustrate, but not to limit the claimed invention.

30

## Example 1

### Uptake of Glutamate into Synaptic Vesicles by an Inorganic Phosphate Transporter

[0236]     Previous work has identified two families of proteins that transport classical neurotransmitters into synaptic vesicles, but the protein responsible for vesicular transport of the principal excitatory transmitter glutamate has remained unknown. In this example, we demonstrate that a protein that is unrelated to any known neurotransmitter transporters and that was previously suggested to mediate the Na+-dependent uptake of inorganic phosphate across the plasma membrane transports glutamate into synaptic vesicles. In addition, we show that this vesicular glutamate transporter, VGLUT1, exhibits a conductance for chloride that is blocked by glutamate.

[0237]     Synaptic transmission involves the regulated exocytotic release of neurotransmitter. Because most classical transmitters are synthesized in the cytoplasm, they require transport into the secretory compartment for exocytotic release, and synaptic vesicles exhibit multiple distinct transport activities (Schuldiner et al. (1995) Physiol. Rev. 75: 369; Liu and Edwards (1997) Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 20: 125). All of these active transport processes depend on the proton electrochemical gradient ($\Delta\mu_{H+}$) across the vesicle membrane generated by the vacuolar H+-dependent adenosine triphosphatase (H+-ATPase) (Forgac (2000) J. Exp. Biol. 203: 71) and involve the exchange of lumenal protons for cytoplasmic transmitter. In particular, the transport of monoamines and acetylcholine (ACh) depends primarily on the chemical component ($\Delta$pH) of $\Delta\mu_{H+}$ (Knoth et al. (1981) Biochemistry 20: 6625; Anderson et al. (1982) Biochemistry 21: 3037), whereas the transport of glutamate depends predominantly on the electrical component ($\Delta\Psi$) (Maycox et al. (1988) J. Biol. Chem., 263: 15423; Carlson et al. (1889) J. Biol. Chem. 264: 7369). Accumulation of the inhibitory transmitters -aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glycine relies on both pH and $\Delta\Psi$ (Kish et al. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, 86:3877; Hell et al. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265: 2111). Consistent with the observed differences in mechanism, the vesicular transporters for monoamines and ACh belong to a family of proteins distinct from the vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT) (Liu and Edwards (1997) Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 20: 125). VGAT shows greater dependence on $\Delta\Psi$ than do the vesicular monoamine and ACh transporters (McIntire et al. (1997) Nature 389: 870), suggesting that

the vesicular glutamate transporter, which depends predominantly on $\Delta\Psi$, might belong to the same family of proteins defined by VGAT. Although several other proteins related to VGAT appear to have a role in the recycling of glutamate through glutamine at excitatory synapses (Chaudhry *et al.* (1999) Cell 99: 769; Varoqui *et al.* (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* 275:

5    4049; Sugawara *et al.*(2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* 275: 16473; Reimer *et al.* (2000) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA*, 97: 7715), none have been implicated in vesicular glutamate transport.

[0238]    The brain-specific Na+-dependent inorganic phosphate transporter (BNPI) belongs to a family of proteins that use the inwardly directed Na+ gradient across the plasma membrane to cotransport inorganic phosphate (Pi). Originally identified as a

10    sequence up-regulated by the exposure of cerebellar granule cells to subtoxic concentrations of N-methyl-D-aspartate, BNPI mediates the Na+-dependent accumulation of Pi in Xenopus oocytes (Ni *et al.* (1994) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA*, 91: 5607). Additional work has implicated BNPI in adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) production by neurons and protection against excitotoxic injury (16. Glinn *et al.* (1995) *J. Neurochem.* 65: 2358; Glinn *et al.*

15    (1998) *J. Neurochem.* 70: 1850). However, BNPI is only expressed by glutamatergic neurons (Ni *et al.* (1995) *J. Neurosci.* 15: 5789), militating against a general metabolic role in all neuronal populations. In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, genetic screens for multiple behavioral defects have identified mutants in the BNPI ortholog eat-4 (Avery (1993) *Genetics* 133: 897; Berger *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 2871), and recent studies indicate a

20    specific role for eat-4 in glutamatergic neurotransmission (Lee *et al.* (1999) *J. Neurosci.* 19: 159). The glutamatergic defect in eat-4 mutants appears to be presynaptic, consistent with the localization of BNPI to excitatory nerve terminals (Lee *et al.* (1999) *J. Neurosci.* 19: 159; Bellocchio *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648). The accumulation of cytoplasmic Pi mediated by BNPI may activate the phosphate-activated glutaminase responsible for

25    biosynthesis of the bulk of glutamate released as a neurotransmitter (Bellocchio *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648; Hamberger *et al.* (1979) *Brain Res.* 168: 513; Ward *et al.* (1983) *J. Neurochem.* 40: 855; Curthoys and Watford (1995) *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* 15: 133). However, the family of proteins including BNPI/EAT-4 may have functions in addition to Pi transport.

30    [0239]    BNPI shows sequence similarity to type I but not type II Na+/Pi cotransporters. In contrast to the type II transporters that exhibit robust Na+-dependent Pi uptake, the accumulation of Pi by type I transporters is less striking (Werner *et al. Proc.*

*Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 88: 9608; Miyamoto *et al.* (1995) *Biochem. J.* 305: 81; Busch *et al.* (1996)*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 93: 5347). Rather, the type I transporter NaPi-1 transports organic anions, including phenol red and penicillin G, with substantially higher apparent affinity than Pi (Busch *et al.* (1996)*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 93: 5347). Human

5 genetic studies have shown that mutations in another protein closely related to BNPI and NaPi-1 account for disorders of sialic acid storage (Verheijen *et al.* (1999) *Nature Genet.* 23: 462). In these conditions, sialic acid accumulates in lysosomes because of a defect in proton-driven export (Renlund *et al.* (1986) *Science* 232: 759; Mancini *et al.* (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 15247; Tietze *et al.* (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 15316; Mancini *et al.* (1992)

10 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 89: 6609). Although the sialin protein (Verheijen *et al.* (1999) *Nature Genet.* 23: 462) has not been demonstrated to mediate sialic acid transport, these observations together with the report that NaPi-1 accumulates organic anions with high apparent affinity suggest that BNPI might also transport organic anions. Localization to glutamatergic nerve terminals raises the possibility that it transports glutamate. In addition,

15 BNPI is localized to synaptic vesicles in the brain (Bellocchio *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648) and to intracellular membranes in transfected cells, suggesting a role for BNPI in the transport of glutamate into synaptic vesicles for regulated exocytotic release. To determine whether BNPI mediates the transport of glutamate into synaptic vesicles, we transfected the rat BNPI cDNA into rat pheochromocytoma PC12 cells, which lack detectable endogenous

20 BNPI protein. The rat BNPI cDNA was stably expressed in PC12 cells, and immunofluorescence for BNPI was used to identify the most highly expressing cell clones. Membranes were prepared by homogenizing the washed cells in SH buffer [0.32 M sucrose and 10 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 7.4)] containing protease inhibitors and by pelleting the nuclei at 1000g for 5 min and the heavier membranes at 27,000g for 35 min. The supernatant

25 containing lighter membranes was then pelleted at 210,000g for 1 hour and resuspended in SH buffer with protease inhibitors at a final concentration of ~10 $\mu$g protein/$\mu$l.

[0240] We then prepared a population of light membranes, including synaptic-like microvesicles, from the transfected and untransfected cells (McIntire *et al.* (1997) *Nature* 389: 870) and tested their ability to accumulate 3H-glutamate in the presence of 4 mM

30 chloride and ATP, conditions that optimize glutamate accumulation by native synaptic vesicles (Maycox *et al.* (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.,* 263: 15423; Carlson *et al.* (1889) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 7369). To initiate the transport reaction, we added 10 $\mu$l of membranes to 190

μl of SH buffer containing 4 mM KCl, 4 mM MgSO4, 4 mM ATP, and 50 μM 3H-glutamate, with other additions noted in the text and figure legends. The reaction mixture was incubated at 29°C for varying times, and the uptake was terminated by rapid filtration, followed by immediate washes with 6 ml of cold 0.155 M potassium tartrate and 10 mM

5    Hepes (pH 7.4). Background uptake was determined using membranes prepared from untransfected cells that were incubated for the same amount of time at the same temperature. Transport measurements were performed in duplicate with at least two different preparations of membranes. The Km was calculated by averaging the results of two separate determinations.

10    [0241]    Membranes from the transfected cells exhibited an uptake of glutamate that was two to four times the uptake by membranes from untransfected cells (Figure 2A), a signal very similar to that obtained for GABA transport by VGAT (McIntire *et al.* (1997) *Nature* 389: 870).

[0242]    Glutamate transport into synaptic vesicles exhibits a number of properties

15    that distinguish it from glutamate uptake by other transport systems. First, in contrast to plasma membrane glutamate uptake (Amara and Kuhar (1993) *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 16: 73; Kanner (1993) *FEBS Lett.* 325: 95), the accumulation of glutamate in synaptic vesicles does not rely on a Na+ electrochemical gradient. Consistent with this, glutamate was transported by BNPI in the absence of Na+ (Figure 2). Second, vesicular glutamate transport has a

20    substantially lower apparent affinity ($K_m$ of ~1 mM) than the plasma membrane excitatory amino acid transporters ($K_m$ of ~10 to 100 μM). Glutamate transport by BNPI is saturated with a Km of ~2 mM (Figure 2B), in the same range as transport by synaptic vesicles. The slightly lower apparent affinity relative to synaptic vesicles may reflect a reduced driving force for transport in the PC12 membranes. Third, plasma membrane glutamate

25    transporters recognize both aspartate and glutamate as substrates, whereas vesicular glutamate transport does not recognize aspartate (Maycox *et al.* (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.*, 263: 15423; Carlson *et al.* (1889) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 7369). Although 10 mM L-glutamate inhibited the uptake of 3H-glutamate by membranes from BNPI-expressing cells, 10 mM L-aspartate did not (Figure 3). D-Glutamate partially inhibited the transport of ^{3}H-glutamate,

30    and L-glutamine had no effect, also consistent with prior work (Naito and Ueda (1983) *J. Biol. Chem.* 258: 696). Fourth, low micromolar concentrations of the dye Evans blue

inhibited the transport of glutamate into both synaptic vesicles (40) and membranes expressing BNPI (Figure 3).

**[0243]**     Vesicular glutamate transport has a biphasic dependence on chloride concentration that may reflect the presence of an anion binding site distinct from the site of

5    substrate recognition (Hartinger and Jahn (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.* 268: 23122). Chloride concentrations of ~4 to 10 mM appear optimal for transport, with substantially lower activity detected at higher and lower levels (Maycox *et al.* (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.,* 263: 15423; Carlson *et al.* (1889) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 7369). Thus, we tested the chloride dependence of glutamate transport mediated by BNPI. Maximal uptake of $^3$H-glutamate by

10   BNPI was conferred by 2 to 4 mM chloride, with much less activity detected at 0 and 25 mM (Figure 4A). Variation in the chloride concentration, as well as other manipulations including the addition of L-glutamate and Evans blue, had little effect on the background glutamate uptake observed in membranes from untransfected cells (Figure 4A). In addition, the anion transport blocker 4,4'-diisothiocyanatostilbene-2,2'-disulfonic acid (DIDS) inhibits

15   glutamate transport by synaptic vesicles with a median inhibitory concentration of ~0.7 $\mu$M, apparently by interacting with the distinct chloride recognition site; here, 1 $\mu$M DIDS inhibited ~60% of the 3H-glutamate transport mediated by BNPI (Figure 3). The dependence of BNPI on the proton electrochemical gradient also strongly resembled that observed for glutamate transport into synaptic vesicles.

20   **[0244]**     Glutamate transport into synaptic vesicles relies primarily on the electrical component $\Delta\Psi$ of the $\Delta\mu_{H+}$ across the vesicle membrane (Maycox *et al.* (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.,* 263: 15423; Carlson *et al.* (1889) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 7369; Moriyama and Yamamoto (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.* 270: 22314; Wolosker *et al.* (1996) *J. Biol. Chem.* 271: 11726). To assess the dependence of transport mediated by BNPI on $\Delta\Psi$, we used

25   membranes preloaded with 4 mM KCl and the K+ ionophore valinomycin. With 4 mM KCl in the reaction solution as well as in the membranes, valinomycin dissipates (Wolosker *et al.* (1996) *J. Biol. Chem.* 271: 11726) and substantially reduces glutamate uptake by BNPI (Figure 4B). The ionophore nigericin, which exchanges K+ for H+ and dissipates $\Delta$pH, increases both $\Delta\Psi$ and glutamate uptake by synaptic vesicles at low chloride concentrations

30   and subsaturating amounts of glutamate (Wolosker *et al.* (1996) *J. Biol. Chem.* 271: 11726; Tabb *et al.* (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267: 15412). Under these same conditions, nigericin increased the uptake of 3H-glutamate by BNPI (Figure 4B). The addition of both nigericin

and valinomycin essentially abolished glutamate uptake by native synaptic vesicles and BNPI (Figure 4B), presumably because the increase in $\Delta\Psi$ produced by nigericin is eliminated by valinomycin. The residual activity present in valinomycin that was abolished by the addition of nigericin also suggests that the transporter can use the outwardly directed

5    $\Delta pH$ to drive transport in the absence of $\Delta\Psi$.

[0245]    Native synaptic vesicles acidify during glutamate uptake (Maycox *et al.* (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.*, 263: 15423). Glutamate presumably serves as a counterion for protons and dissipates $\Delta\Psi$, enabling the vacuolar H+-ATPase to generate a larger $\Delta pH$, but cotransport of H+ with glutamate remains an alternative possibility. To determine whether

10    acidification also accompanies glutamate transport by BNPI, we used the quenching of acridine orange fluorescence to measure vesicle pH. Membranes (200 $\mu$g of protein) were diluted into 2 ml of 0.3 M sucrose and 10 mM tris-Mops (pH 7.4) containing 4 mM MgSO4 and 5 $\mu$M acridine orange and incubated at 37°C with continuous stirring. Fluorescence was monitored with a Hitachi F4500 spectrofluorimeter at an excitation wavelength of 492

15    nm and emission of 530 nm. Separate measurements were made with at least three different membrane preparations for wild-type and transfected cells; representative tracings are shown in Figure 5.

[0246]    In the presence of ATP and 4 mM KCl, the addition of 10 mM glutamate reduced the lumenal pH of membranes expressing BNPI but not of membranes from

20    untransfected cells (Figure 5A). However, we also noted that 90 mM KCl produced acidification of the BNPI-expressing membranes that was considerably greater than that of the control membranes, suggesting that BNPI exhibits a conductance for chloride as well as transport activity for glutamate. To characterize further the chloride conductance, we examined the effect of a range of chloride concentrations on vesicle pH in the absence of

25    glutamate. Membranes from multiple cell lines expressing BNPI all exhibited substantially greater acidification than wild-type membranes did, particularly at higher chloride concentrations (Figure 5, B and D). To assess the relation of the chloride conductance to glutamate transport, we pretreated the membranes with 10 mM glutamate and found that this decreased the acidification produced by chloride (Figure 5, C and D). Because the

30    membranes evidently express chloride conductances in addition to BNPI, the increase in conductance due to BNPI appears large, and the inhibition by glutamate is substantial. The nontransported amino acid aspartate (10 mM) failed to reduce the acidification by chloride

(Figure 5, C and D), supporting the relevance of the glutamate effect on chloride-induced acidification for glutamate transport. Further, glutamate had no effect on the acidification produced by chloride in nontransfected cell membranes (Figure 5D), supporting the specificity of the interaction with BNPI.

5   **[0247]**      BNPI transports glutamate with all of the functional characteristics previously reported for glutamate transport into native synaptic vesicles from the brain. It also localizes to synaptic vesicles (Bellocchio *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648), and the C. elegans mutation eat-4 reduces glutamate release (Lee *et al.* (1999) *J. Neurosci.* 19: 159). BNPI thus functions as a vesicular glutamate transporter, VGLUT1. Only a subset of

10   glutamate neurons expresses VGLUT1 (Ni *et al.* (1995) *J. Neurosci.* 15: 5789; Bellocchio *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648), but a closely related sequence has recently been identified and appears to be expressed in brain regions that lack VGLUT1 (Aihara *et al.* (2000) *J. Neurochem.* 74: 2622). The two isoforms together may therefore account for the uptake of glutamate by synaptic vesicles from all glutamatergic neurons.

15   **[0248]**      The structural similarity of VGLUT1 to sialin and NaPi-1 may reflect similarities in the ionic dependence of transport. The extrusion of sialic acid from lysosomes, which appears to be defective in sialic acid storage diseases, depends on cotransport with H+ (Renlund *et al.* (1986) *Science* 232: 759; Mancini *et al.* (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 15247; Tietze *et al.* (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 15316; Mancini *et al.* (1992)

20   *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 89: 6609), and vesicular glutamate transport may involve a proton exchange mechanism (Tabb *et al.* (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267: 15412). The expression of NaPi-1 in Xenopus oocytes confers a large chloride conductance blocked by the organic anions that are recognized as substrates for transport (Busch *et al.* (1996)*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 93: 5347). We found that the closely related VGLUT1 exhibits a

25   substantial chloride conductance in addition to the unusual biphasic dependence on chloride previously reported for glutamate transport into synaptic vesicles. Glutamate blocks this chloride conductance, suggesting that the two anions compete for permeation. It remains unknown whether glutamate and chloride share a common pathway for permeation through VGLUT1, but a channel-like mode or anion exchange mechanism may enable the rapid

30   filling necessary to keep up with the high rates of transmitter release and synaptic vesicle recycling observed at glutamatergic synapses.

**[0249]** The relation between glutamate and Pi transport by VGLUT1 remains unclear. High concentrations of Pi did not inhibit glutamate transport by VGLUT1 (Figure 3). In addition, the related sialin protein apparently functions to transport sialic acid rather than Pi (Verheijen *et al.* (1999) *Nature Genet.* 23: 462). NaPi-1 also recognizes organic

5 acids with higher affinity than Pi (Busch *et al.* (1996)*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 93: 5347). Further, Pi transport does not correlate with other activities, such as the chloride conductance induced by NaPi-1 expression in *Xenopus* oocytes, and has properties similar to endogenous oocyte Pi uptake (Bröer *et al.* (1998) *J. Membr. Biol.* 164: 7). Nonetheless, previous work has demonstrated the Na+-dependent uptake of Pi by NaPi-1 and BNPI (Ni

10 *et al.* (1994) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 91: 5607; V). VGLUT1 may thus function as both a phosphate transporter, presumably at the plasma membrane, and a glutamate transporter in synaptic vesicles. The localization of VGLUT1 to synaptic vesicles, the phenotype of the eat-4 mutant, and the biochemical evidence presented here, however, strongly suggest that vesicular glutamate transport is its primary role.

15
## Example 2
## The Expression of Vesicular Glutamate Transporters Defines Two Classes of
## Excitatory Synapse

**[0250]** The quantal release of glutamate depends on its transport into synaptic vesicles. As demonstrated in example 1, a protein previously implicated in the uptake of

20 inorganic phosphate across the plasma membrane catalyzes glutamate uptake by synaptic vesicles. However, only a subset of glutamate neurons express this vesicular glutamate transporter (VGLUT1). In this example, we show that excitatory neurons lacking VGLUT1 express a closely related protein that has also been implicated in phosphate transport. Like VGLUT1, this protein localizes to synaptic vesicles and functions as a vesicular glutamate

25 transporter (VGLUT2). The complementary expression of VGLUT1 and 2 defines two distinct classes of excitatory synapse.

## Results

**[0251]** DNPI more closely resembles VGLUT1 than other type I phosphate transporters such as NaPi-1 and sialin (Fig. 6), suggesting that it may also transport

30 glutamate into synaptic vesicles. In addition, DNPI is expressed selectively in the nervous

system (Aihara (2000) *J. Neurochem.* 74: 2622-2625) where it appears to have a distribution different from VGLUT1 (Hisano *et al.* (2000) *Mol. Brain Res.* 83: 34-43).

## Complementary Expression by Excitatory Neurons

**[0252]** To determine whether DNPI is expressed by excitatory neurons that do not express VGLUT1, we examined the distribution of the two transcripts in adjacent brain sections by *in situ* hybridization. The closely related sequences were distinguished using probes from the 3' end of the protein-coding regions (and part of the 3' untranslated regions), where the cDNAs are divergent. Further, longer probes containing more of the shared protein-coding sequence hybridized in a distribution identical to the shorter probes. The discrete patterns of hybridization observed also indicate essentially no cross-reactivity between the two sequences. On horizontal sections, the brainstem labels strongly for DNPI and very little if at all for VGLUT1 (Figure 7, panels A and B). In the cerebellum, the cortex hybridizes to VGLUT1 but not to DNPI whereas the deep nuclei hybridize selectively to DNPI. Thus, the pattern of expression appears largely complementary. The analysis of coronal sections further shows that the septal nuclei, nuclei of the diagonal band, hypothalamus and the midbrain express DNPI but not VGLUT1 (Figure 7, panels C-H).

**[0253]** Several brain regions express both DNPI and VGLUT1, but in most of these, one transcript predominates and the patterns of expression remain distinct. All cortical layers label strongly for VGLUT1 whereas only layer IV of frontal and parietal cortex and layers IV and VI of temporal cortex label for DNPI (Figure 7). In the hippocampus, dentate gyrus granule cells contain only VGLUT1 mRNA (Figure 7, panel G). Pyramidal neurons from CA1 through CA3 also express abundant VGLUT1, but lower levels of DNPI occur as well (Figure 7D). CA1 in particular labels for DNPI more strongly than other hippocampal fields, but the subiculum and presubiculum contain abundant DNPI mRNA (Figure 7, panel E). The thalamus expresses much more DNPI than VGLUT1 (Figure 7, panel D), but certain thalamic nuclei such as the medial habenula hybridize to VGLUT1 (Figure 7). In the amygdala, the medial and central nuclei contain abundant mRNA for DNPI, and the lateral and basolateral nuclei for VGLUT1 (Figure 7, panels D and G). Expression of the two transcripts thus appears largely segregated to distinct neuronal populations.

**[0254]** We have not detected any discrete population of excitatory neurons that do not express either VGLUT1 or DNPI. We have also not detected any expression of DNPI

or VGLUT1 by non-glutamatergic neurons. The caudate-putamen, which contains largely inhibitory projection neurons and cholinergic interneurons, lacks hybridization signal for either sequence (Figure 7, panels C and F). Similarly, Purkinje cells in the cerebellum and other inhibitory neurons in the cerebellar cortex and hippocampus do not express detectable

5 levels of either transcript (data not shown). Further, monoamine cell groups in the substantia nigra, locus coeruleus and raphe nuclei, and motor nuclei in the brainstem do not express detectable DNPI or VGLUT1 transcripts.

## Localization to Excitatory Synapses

[0255]     To determine whether DNPI localizes to excitatory synapses like VGLUT1

10 (Bellocchio *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648-8659), we raised an antibody to a bacterial fusion protein containing the cytoplasmic C-terminus of the rat protein. The domain used to produce the antisera shows very little similarity to the corresponding carboxy-terminal domain from VGLUT1, sialin or NaPi-1 (Figure 6A and 6B). By western analysis, the antibody recognizes DNPI but not VGLUT1 stably expressed in PC12 cells. Nonetheless,

15 to ensure specificity, we adsorbed both the DNPI antibody with the VGLUT1 fusion protein (Bellocchio *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648) and the VGLUT1 antibody with the DNPI fusion protein. The distinct patterns of immunostaining observed for each antibody indeed confirm the lack of cross-reactivity. In contrast to the detection of VGLUT mRNA in cell bodies, the VGLUT proteins localize to processes.

20 [0256]     Although brain regions such as the caudate-putamen label with both antibodies, presumably due to the expression of DNPI and VGLUT1 by distinct afferents, the immunoreactivity in many other areas appears segregated (Figure 8). The neocortex stains more strongly overall for VGLUT1 than DNPI, but layers IV and VI stain for DNPI and the VGLUT1 immunoreactivity appears less intense in these layers (Figure 8, panels A

25 and B). In addition, the piriform cortex stains more strongly for VGLUT1 than DNPI. The septal region also shows opposing gradients of labeling, with more VGLUT1 laterally and DNPI alone in the medial septum and nuclei of the diagonal band. At the level of the diencephalon, particular thalamic nuclei exhibit strong labeling for DNPI. Figure 8, panel C shows that the midline, intralaminar and, to a lesser extent, lateral geniculate,

30 ventroposterior medial and lateral nuclei stain more strongly for DNPI than the posterior nucleus. Conversely, the lateral nuclei stain more strongly for VGLUT1 than medial nuclei

(Figure 8, panel D). The hypothalamus also shows more immunoreactivity for DNPI than VGLUT1, but with discrete areas of increased VGLUT1 labeling such as the ventromedial (Figure 8, panel D) and mammillary nuclei (Figure 8, panel F). The amygdala stains almost equally with the two antibodies, with slight differences in pattern. At the level of the

5  midbrain, the DNPI antibody produces widespread labeling, with VGLUT1 detectable only in the medial geniculate nucleus of the thalamus (Figure 8, panels E and F). Layers I and III of the presubiculum stain strongly and selectively for DNPI, likely reflecting commissural afferents from neurons in the contralateral presubiculum (van Groen and Wyss (1990) *Brain Research* 518: 227-243) that express DNPI mRNA (Figure 7, panel E). In contrast, layer II

10 and the subiculum stain preferentially for VGLUT1. DNPI and VGLUT1 thus exhibit complementary patterns of protein as well as mRNA expression.

[0257]  Consistent with expression at synapses, DNPI localizes to punctate structures in the neuropil (Figure 9). In the hippocampus, the pyramidal cell layer of CA2 shows puncta immunoreactive for DNPI whereas VGLUT1-positive puncta are distributed

15 uniformly in stratum oriens and radiatum throughout CA1-3 (Figure 9, panels A and B). Although CA1 and 3 do not generally contain DNPI immunoreactivity, stratum lacunosum-moleculare immunostains strongly for DNPI in the temporal fields of CA1 (Figure 8, panel E), suggesting expression by afferents from the midline reuniens nucleus of the thalamus as well as the entorhinal cortex (Wouterlood *et al.* (1990) *J. Comp. Neurol.* 296: 179-203),

20 both of which express DNPI mRNA (Figure 7). In the dentate gyrus, DNPI again localizes specifically to nerve terminals in the granule cell layer with VGLUT1 in other layers (Figure 9, panels C and E). Since the granule cell layer of the dentate gyrus shows no signal for DNPI by *in situ* hybridization (Figure 7, panel D), this distribution suggests a presynaptic location. Supporting this possibility, cells in the hypothalamus that project to

25 this layer (Amaral and Witter . (1995) Pp 443-495 In:. *The Rat Nervous System, Second Edition.*, G. Paxinos, ed. (San Diego: Academic Press)) strongly express DNPI mRNA. Further, immunoperoxidase staining shows DNPI expression in nerve terminals by electron microscopy (Figure 9, panel C).

[0258]  The molecular layer of the cerebellum contains immunoreactivity for DNPI

30 as well as VGLUT1 (Figure 9, panels F-H). Dendrites in this layer derive predominantly from inhibitory Purkinje cells or interneurons, which do not express either DNPI or VGLUT1 mRNA. DNPI-immunoreactive puncta in the molecular layer thus most likely

represent expression at nerve terminals. In particular, the DNPI antibody labels climbing

fibers (Fig. 9, panel F) derived from inferior olivary neurons that express DNPI transcripts.

In contrast, the VGLUT1 antibody stains parallel fibers originating from granule cells that

express VGLUT1 mRNA (Figure 9, panel H). Thus, the two major classes of synaptic input

5     onto Purkinje cells show complementary expression of DNPI and VGLUT1. Both DNPI

and VGLUT1 also localize to mossy fiber terminals in the granular layer of the cerebellum

(Fig. 9, panels F and H), consistent with the origin of mossy fibers from many different

brain regions.


### Localization to Synaptic Vesicles

10     **[0259]**     The expression of DNPI at synapses and in particular on nerve terminals

suggests that it may localize to synaptic vesicles, similar to VGLUT1 (Bellocchio *et al.*

(1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648-8659). We have therefore used biochemical fractionation of

brain extracts to determine the subcellular location of DNPI. Figure 10A shows progressive

enrichment of the synaptic vesicle protein synaptophysin in the light membranes (fraction

15     LP2) derived from hypotonic lysis of synaptosomes (Huttner *et al.* (1983) *J. Cell Biol.* 96:

1374-1388). Both DNPI and VGLUT1 show a similar enrichment in this fraction. In

contrast, the plasma membrane protein syntaxin and a subunit of the NMDA receptor (NR1)

sediment with heavy membranes released by synaptosome rupture (LP1). The localization

of DNPI to LP2 thus supports specific expression on synaptic vesicles. However, relative to

20     synaptophysin, DNPI and VGLUT1 were also detected in substantial amounts in LP1,

consistent with expression on heavy membranes such as the plasma membrane in addition

to synaptic vesicles (Fig. 10A). Further, DNPI shows greater localization than VGLUT1 to

a population of crude membranes lighter than synaptosomes (S2).

**[0260]**     To confirm the expression of DNPI on synaptic vesicles, we have used

25     velocity sedimentation through a glycerol gradient. This fractionation procedure separates

synaptic vesicles from essentially all other membranes present in lysed synaptosomes (Clift-

O'Grady *et al.* (1990) *J. Cell Biol.* 110: 1693-1703), and we find the anticipated peak of

synaptophysin near the top of this gradient (Fig. 10B). Smaller amounts of synaptophysin

reside in the pellet. Similarly, DNPI and VGLUT1 comigrate with synaptophysin at the top

30     of this gradient, indicating expression on synaptic vesicles. In contrast, syntaxin appears at

very low levels in these fractions relative to the bottom of the gradient, supporting

expression at the plasma membrane. Although DNPI and VGLUT1 are clearly enriched in synaptic vesicles relative to syntaxin, the two related proteins also reside at higher levels on the bottom of the gradient than synaptophysin. Velocity sedimentation thus supports the results of differential centrifugation indicating expression of DNPI predominantly on

5   synaptic vesicles, with lesser amounts on other membranes such as the plasma membrane.

[0261]      Immuno-electron microscopy confirms the biochemical analysis of DNPI localization. Labeling with gold particles in the molecular layer of the cerebellar cortex shows DNPI on the synaptic vesicles of climbing fiber boutons, with no labeling of parallel fibers (Fig. 11A). In contrast, VGLUT1 labels selectively the synaptic vesicles in parallel

10  fibers but not those in climbing fibers (Fig. 11B). The localization of DNPI to synaptic vesicles in specific excitatory nerve terminals suggested that DNPI might transport glutamate into synaptic vesicles, similar to VGLUT1 (*see* Example 1).

## DNPI Transports Glutamate

[0262]      To determine whether DNPI transports glutamate into secretory vesicles, we

15  used heterologous expression in rat pheochromocytoma PC12 cells, which exhibit no endogenous DNPI mRNA or immunoreactivity (Fig. 12, panels A and 12B). We derived a series of transfected clones stably expressing DNPI, prepared a population of light membranes including synaptic-like microvesicles, and assayed their ability to accumulate $^3$H-glutamate. Figure 13A shows that membranes prepared from cell clones 30 and 61

20  accumulate substantially more $^3$H-glutamate than untransfected cells. As anticipated, this activity does not require $Na^+$, distinguishing DNPI from plasma membrane glutamate transporters. Figure 13B shows that the initial rate of glutamate uptake follows Michaelis-Menten kinetics and saturates with a Km 4.7 ± 0.7 mM (n=3), slightly higher than that observed using native synaptic vesicles from the brain or VGLUT1 (Example 1, Bellocchio

25  *et al.* (2000) *Science* 289: 957-960, Naito and Ueda (1983) *J. Biol. Chem.* 258: 696-699).

[0263]      We also examined the substrate specificity and chloride dependence of glutamate uptake mediated by DNPI. 13C shows that L-glutamate, but not L- or D-aspartate, glycine or GABA (all 10 mM), markedly inhibits $^3$H-L-glutamate uptake by DNPI (Fig. 13C). D-glutamate and Evans Blue (4.5 $\mu$M) also inhibit uptake, as expected

30  for a vesicular glutamate transporter (Fig. 13C), but Pi does not (data not shown). Like native synaptic vesicles and VGLUT1 (Example 1), DNPI exhibits a biphasic dependence

on chloride with an optimum concentration in the same range, 2-10 mM (Fig. 13D)

(Wolosker *et al.* (1996) *J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 11726-11731). In addition, dissipation of the

electrical potential $\Delta\Psi$ across the vesicle membrane with the $K^+$ ionophore valinomycin

inhibits $^3$H-glutamate uptake more than dissipation of the pH gradient with nigericin (Fig.

5    13E). DNPI thus also appears to depend on $\Delta\Psi$ to a greater extent than $\Delta$pH. However, it is

clear that DNPI, like VGLUT1, depends on $\Delta$pH. Nigericin alone did not significantly

reduce transport, but its addition to valinomycin essentially abolishes uptake. DNPI thus

resembles VGLUT1 in transport activity.

**[0264]**    In PC12 cells, the immunoreactivity for both DNPI and VGLUT1 is

10    intracellular, and colocalizes with synaptophysin in processes (Fig. 12, panels C-H).

However, we also observed a difference in the subcellular location of DNPI and VGLUT1.

Within cell bodies, DNPI localizes diffusely throughout the cytoplasm (Fig. 12, panel C).

In contrast, VGLUT1 has a more peripheral distribution, just beneath the plasma membrane

(Fig. 12, panel F). We have observed this difference in multiple cell clones. Expressed in

15    the same cells, DNPI and VGLUT1 thus appear to differ in trafficking, which may

contribute to the differences in distribution observed by differential centrifugation.

## Discussion

**[0265]**    Although VGLUT1 catalyzes vesicular glutamate transport and is expressed

by many excitatory neurons, many others do not express VGLUT1 (Bellocchio *et al.* (1998)

20    *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648-86591 Ni *et al.* (1994)*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 91: 5607-5611).

We now show that the closely related DNPI is expressed by excitatory neurons negative for

VGLUT1. In addition, DNPI cofractionates by differential centrifugation with synaptic

vesicle proteins synaptophysin and VGLUT1, cofractionates by velocity sedimentation

through glycerol with synaptic vesicles, and localizes to synaptic vesicles by immuno-

25    electron microscopy. Further, heterologous expression of DNPI confers $Na^+$-independent

glutamate uptake with a Km ~5 mM and a biphasic dependence on chloride. Glutamate

transport by DNPI also depends on $\Delta\Psi$ to a greater extent than $\Delta$pH. These properties

resemble those previously observed for glutamate uptake by native synaptic vesicles from

brain (Carlson *et al.* (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 7369-7376; Maycox *et al.* (1988) *J. Biol.*

30    *Chem.* 263: 15423-15428). Since DNPI resides on synaptic vesicles and catalyzes vesicular

glutamate transport, we propose the alternative name VGLUT2.

**[0266]** The expression of VGLUT1 and 2 mRNA transcripts appears complementary. Unlike the isoforms of many other synaptic vesicle proteins which overlap extensively in distribution (Bajjalieh *et al.* (1993)*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA,* 90, 2150-2154; Fykse *et al.* (1993) *J. Neurosci.* 13: 4997-5000), the VGLUTs exhibit distinct,

5     generally non-overlapping patterns of expression. Many neurons in the cortex express only VGLUT1 whereas most cells in the brainstem express only VGLUT2. In the cerebellum, the cortex expresses VGLUT1 and the deep nuclei VGLUT2. In other regions expressing both isoforms, one clearly predominates. Although most cortical layers express VGLUT1, neurons in layers IV and VI express VGLUT2. In addition, the thalamus expresses

10    predominantly VGLUT2, but selected nuclei express low levels of VGLUT1. The complementary pattern of VGLUT1 and 2 expression appears to reflect segregated expression at the level of individual neurons. It remains to be determined whether there may also be cells that express both transcripts.

**[0267]** The expression of VGLUT1 and VGLUT2 accounts for the exocytotic

15    release of glutamate by essentially all excitatory neurons. We have not observed any known population of excitatory neurons that does not express either isoform. In addition, non-glutamatergic cells do not express either VGLUT1 or 2. However, monoamine neurons have been reported to form glutamatergic autapses in culture, suggesting the expression of a vesicular glutamate transporter (Sulzer *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 4588-4602).

20    Monoamine neurons may thus express a VGLUT isoform either transiently during development or after growth *in vitro*. Motor neurons have also been shown to exhibit quantal glutamate release after cytoplasmic loading with high concentrations of glutamate (Dan *et al.* (1994) *Neuron* 13: 909-917), but we have failed to detect either isoform in cranial motor nuclei. Further, we have not detected expression of VGLUT1 or 2 mRNA or

25    protein by astrocytes, and considerable work has implicated glial cells in the exocytotic release of glutamate (Araque *et al.* (2000) *J. Neurosci.* 20: 666-673; Bezzi *et al.* (1998) *Nature* 391: 281-285; Newman and Zahs (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18, 4022-4028). Glial cells may express VGLUT1 or VGLUT2, but at considerably lower levels that have eluded detection. Alternatively, cells not traditionally considered glutamatergic may express

30    another, unidentified glutamate transporter.

**[0268]** The VGLUT proteins also appear segregated to distinct excitatory synapses. Layer IV of the cortex stains strongly for VGLUT2, consistent with the presence of

afferents from thalamic nuclei expressing VGLUT2 mRNA. Layer VI also labels for VGLUT2, whereas other cortical layers stain more strongly for VGLUT1. In the thalamus, medial and intralaminar nuclei stain more heavily for VGLUT2, likely reflecting input from brainstem, hypothalamus and deep cerebellar nuclei (Cornwall and Phillipson (1988)

5    *Neurosci.* 24: 1035-1049; Cornwall and Phillipson (1988) *Brain Res. Bull.* 21: 147-161) which express VGLUT2 mRNA. Lateral nuclei label more strongly for VGLUT1, presumably derived from cortical afferents. Strikingly complementary synaptic staining also occurs in the presubiculum, subiculum, hippocampus and cingulate cortex. Further, in the cerebellum, climbing fibers derived from the VGLUT2-positive inferior olive form

10   synapses onto the same population of Purkinje cells contacted by parallel fibers derived from the VGLUT1-positive granule cells. Expression of the VGLUTs thus defines two populations of excitatory synapses.

[0269]    Why do certain excitatory neurons express VGLUT1 and others VGLUT2? One possibility is that their distribution may simply reflect developmental history. In

15   general, VGLUT1 appears expressed by structures derived from the telencephalon such as the cortex and hippocampus, and VGLUT2 by structures derived from the diencephalon and rhombencephalon. However, VGLUT1 also appears in the cerebellar cortex, a rhombencephalic structure, and VGLUT2 in layer IV of the cortex. Thus, the decision to express VGLUT1 or 2 does not coincide with an easily identifiable developmental event.

20   [0270]    The complementary expression of VGLUT1 and VGLUT2 suggests distinct physiological roles in excitatory neurotransmission. However, we have not detected a clear difference in the characteristics of transport between the two isoforms. Both exhibit a similar apparent affinity for glutamate and a biphasic dependence on chloride. They both also rely predominantly on $\Delta\Psi$ but clearly depend on $\Delta$pH as well, and both fail to

25   recognize aspartate. VGLUT1 and 2 may thus differ in regulation rather than intrinsic transport activity.

[0271]    The differential expression of VGLUT1 and VGLUT 2 appears to correlate with one measurable property of synapses, the probability of transmitter release. In the cerebellum, climbing fiber synapses show an extremely high probability of release and

30   express VGLUT2 whereas parallel fiber synapses onto the same population of Purkinje cells exhibit a lower probability of release and express VGLUT1 (Dittman and Regehr (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 6147-6162). In the brainstem, sensory neurons required to relay information

with high fidelity also express VGLUT2. Thalamocortical projections expressing VGLUT2 also show a higher probability of release than intracortical projections presumably expressing VGLUT1 (Gil *et al.* (1999) *Neuron* 23: 385-397). Hippocampal synapses, which generally express VGLUT1, show a variable but generally low probability of release

5     (Hessler *et al.* (1993) *Nature* 366: 569-572; Rosenmund *et al.* (1993) *Science* 262: 754-757). Interestingly, the probability of transmitter release at CA1 synapses appears to decline during early postnatal development (Bolshakov and Siegelbaum (1995) *Science* 269: 1730-1734), and VGLUT1 expression upregulates dramatically during this time (Ni *et al.* (1995) *J. Neurosci.* 15: 5789-5799) whereas VGLUT2 remains constant (Aihara (2000)

10    *J. Neurochem.* 74: 2622-2625). Thus, VGLUT2 appears to be expressed at synapses with a high release probability and VGLUT1 at synapses with lower probabilities of release.

[0272]     How might the expression of VGLUT1 and VGLUT 2 contribute to differences in the probability of transmitter release? The results indicate that differences in trafficking may be responsible. Differential centrifugation of brain extracts shows the

15    localization of VGLUT2 to a population of crude membranes lighter than synaptosomes. In addition, VGLUT2 has a more diffuse and VGLUT1 a more peripheral distribution in the cell bodies of PC12 cells. Even though both clearly localize to synaptic vesicles, the VGLUT proteins thus appear to differ in either the rate of internalization from the cell surface or their fate after endocytosis. The C-terminus of VGLUT1 indeed contains two

20    polyproline motifs which are absent from VGLUT2, and the interaction of polyproline motifs in other proteins with proteins containing src homology 3 (SH3) domains has been shown to participate in synaptic vesicle recycling (Shupliakov *et al.* (1997) *Science* 276: 259-263). Differences in VGLUT expression may therefore contribute to the different release properties observed at different synapses.

25    **Experimental Procedures**

**Molecular Cloning of DNPI/VGLUT2**

[0273]     A fragment of the mouse expressed sequence tag AI841371 was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from mouse brain poly-A$^+$ mRNA and used to screen a rat brain cDNA library, resulting in the isolation of a partial cDNA clone (nucleotides 970 –

30    3982 of rat DNPI, accession number AAF76223). To reconstruct the full open reading frame, the missing segment was amplified from rat brain cDNA by PCR and ligated at a

common HindIII site (nucleotide 1213). Sequence analysis on both strands confirmed the identity to rat DNPI (AAF76223).

### In Situ Hybridization

[0274]     cDNA fragments corresponding to unique carboxy-terminal tail and 3'
untranslated regions of the rat DNPI cDNA (nucleotides 2017 – 2358) and the rat BNPI
cDNA (nucleotides 1644 – 2024) (31% nucleotide identity with <5 contiguous identical
bases) were amplified by PCR and subcloned into the RNA expression plasmid
pBluescriptII (Stratagene). $^{35}$S-labeled antisense and sense strand RNA probes were
prepared by *in vitro* transcription of the linearized templates to a specific activity >$10^9$
cpm/$\mu$g. *In situ* hybridization was conducted as previously described (Fremeau *et al.* (1992)
*Neuron* 8: 915-926) by post-fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) of rat brain sections
from 21 day old males (Sprague-Dawley) and hybridization to $^{35}$S-labeled single-stranded
RNA probes in 50% formamide for 16-18 hours at $53^0$C. The sections were then treated
with RNAse A (50 $\mu$g/ml for 60 min at 37°C), washed at high stringency (0.1X SSC for 3
hours at 50 °C), exposed to BioMax MS film (Kodak) for 3 days, dipped in NTB2 nuclear
track emulsion (Kodak) and exposed for 4-6 weeks.

### Polyclonal Antibody Production

[0275]     The pGEX bacterial expression system (Pharmacia Biotech) was used to
produce a glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion protein containing the carboxy-terminal
64 amino acids (residues 519-582) of rat DNPI. The 3' end of the protein-coding region
(nucleotides 2017-2220) was amplified from the rat DNPI cDNA by PCR using primers (5'-
GGG AAT TCA TTC ATG AAG ATG AAC TGG ATG AA-3', SEQ ID NO:1) and 5'-
GGC TCG AGC TAG CTT CGT TAT GAA TAA TCA TC-3', SEQ ID NO: 2) and
subcloned into pGEX-5X-1 at *Eco*RI and *Xho*I sites. The fusion protein was produced in
the XL1-Blue strain of *E. coli*, purified over glutathione-sepharose and used to generate
polyclonal rabbit antisera (Quality Controlled Biochemicals).

### Immunocytochemistry

[0276]     Twenty-three day old Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River) were
anesthetized with pentobarbital, perfused with 4% PFA/PBS and the brains removed, post-
fixed by immersion in 4% PFA/PBS overnight, equilibrated with 30% sucrose/PBS and

frozen. Coronal sections (40 $\mu$m) were immunostained with the rabbit antibody to

VGLUT2-GST after preadsorption with 20 $\mu$g/ml VGLUT1-GST. Adjacent sections were

immunostained in parallel with rabbit anti-VGLUT1-GST antibody after preadsorption with

20 $\mu$g/ml VGLUT2-GST. The antibody deposits were visualized with biotinylated goat

5   anti-rabbit secondary antibody, avidin-biotin-peroxidase (Vector) and

$H_2O_2$/diaminobenzidine as described by Bellocchio *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648-

8659, but without $NiSO_4$. Alternatively, brains of Wistar rats perfusion fixed with 4% PFA

in 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (or, for electron microscopy, with the addition of

0.5% glutaraldehyde) were sectioned sagittally at 40 $\mu$m by a Vibratome. The sections were

10  processed for light and electron microscopic immunoperoxidase as described by Chaudhry

*et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 9733-9750, except that for light microscopy 0.5% Triton X-

100 was included with the antibodies (prepared as above).

[0277]     Post-embedding immunogold localization was performed generally as

described by Chaudhry *et al.* (1995) *Neuron* 15: 711-720. Specifically, rats were perfused

15  with 4% PFA + 0.1% glutaraldehyde and brain tissue embedded by freeze-substitution in

Lowicryl HM20. Ultrathin sections (70nm) mounted on Formvar coated nickel grids were

etched on drops of fresh 1% $H_2O_2$ in ultrapure water for 0.5 h at room temperature in the

dark, blocked with 5% normal goat serum + 2% BSA in 0.05 M Tris-HCl pH7.6, 0.14 M

NaCl, 0.01 % Triton X-100 (TBSX) for 1 h at room temperature, and incubated with the

20  primary antibodies in the blocking solution overnight at 4°C. Anti-VGLUT1-GST was

diluted 1:100, anti-VGLUT2-GST was diluted 1:500 and preadsorbed with 40$\mu$g/ml of the

other VGLUT-GST fusion protein. After rinsing in TBSX, the sections were incubated with

goat anti-rabbit Fab-fragments coupled to 5 nm gold particles (British BioCell International,

Cardiff, UK) diluted 1:20 in TBSX with 2% BSA for 90 min at room temperature. After

25  rinsing, the sections were contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and observed in a

Philips CM10 electron microscope.

## Subcellular Fractionation and Western Analysis

[0278]     Synaptosomes were prepared from whole rat brain as described by Huttner *et

al.* (1983) *J. Cell Biol.* 96: 1374-1388. Briefly, synaptosomes (P2) were purified by

30  differential centrifugation and lysed by hypotonic shock to release synaptic vesicles. Heavy

membranes (including the plasma membrane) were then sedimented at 33,000$g$ for 20

minutes (LP1) and the supernatant (LS1) sedimented at 251,000g for 210 minutes to collect lighter membranes including synaptic vesicles (LP2).

**[0279]** Velocity sedimentation through glycerol was performed as described by Clift-O'Grady *et al.* (1990) *J. Cell Biol.* 110: 1693-1703. Briefly, lysed synaptosomes were sedimented through 5-25% glycerol at 195,600g in an SW41 rotor (Beckman) for 1 hour at 4° C.

**[0280]** The fractions obtained by differential centrifugation or velocity sedimentation were assayed for protein content by the Bradford method (BioRad), separated by electrophoresis through SDS-acrylamide, electroblotted to nitrocellulose and immuno-stained as described by Bellocchio *et al.* (1998) *J. Neurosci.* 18: 8648-8659. VGLUT2 and VGLUT1 antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:2000 after adsorption with liver acetone powder (ICN) to reduce background. Synaptophysin was detected with a rabbit polyclonal antibody (Zymed) at 1:10,000, syntaxin with a mouse monoclonal antibody (Sigma) at 1:2000, and the NR1 subunit of the NMDA receptor (Chemicon) at 0.5 $\mu$g/ml. The immunoreactive deposits were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce).

## Heterologous Expression, Membrane Preparation and Transport Assay

**[0281]** PC12 cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 10% equine serum, 5% calf serum and transfected by electroporation with the rat DNPI/VGLUT2 cDNA subcloned in the pcDNA3 vector containing an RSV promoter (Krantz *et al.* (2000) *J. Cell Biol.* 149). Stable transformants were selected for resistance to the neomycin analog G418 (500 $\mu$g/ml) and screened by immunofluorescence with the DNPI antibody, resulting in the identification of two independent clones with more than 80% of the cells expressing DNPI.

**[0282]** For membrane preparation, untransfected and DNPI-expressing PC12 cells were grown on 15 cm plates, washed with calcium- and magnesium-free PBS, collected in 0.32 M sucrose/10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4 (SH buffer) containing protease inhibitors (2 $\mu$g/ml leupeptin, 1 $\mu$g/ml pepstatin, 1 $\mu$g/ml E64, 0.2 mM diisopropylfluorphosphate, 2 $\mu$g/ml aprotinin, and 1.25 mM MgEGTA), homogenized using a ball bearing device and the homogenate sedimented at 1000g for 5 min to remove nuclei and debris. The supernatant was then sedimented at 27,000g for 35 min to remove heavier membranes. The remaining light membranes including small synaptic-like microvesicles were then sedimented at

210,000g for 1 hour. The pellet was resuspended in SH buffer with protease inhibitors at ~ 10 mg protein/ml.

[0283]        To initiate the transport reaction, 20 $\mu$l membranes (~200 $\mu$g protein) were added to 180 $\mu$l SH buffer containing 4 mM KCl, 4 mM MgSO$_4$, 4 mM ATP, and 100 $\mu$M

5    $^3$H-L-glutamate, with other additions noted in the text and figure legends. The reaction mixture was incubated at 29$^0$C for varying intervals, uptake was terminated by rapid filtration through Supor-200 membranes (Gelman) and the filters were washed rapidly four times with 1.5 ml cold 0.155 M potassium tartrate/10 mm HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4 before measuring the bound radioactivity by scintillation counting in 3 ml Cytoscint (ICN).

10   Uptake specifically mediated by DNPI/VGLUT2 was determined by subtracting the background uptake of untransfected cell membranes from the uptake by transfected cell membranes. To examine the chloride dependence of transport, varying proportions of 0.14 M K gluconate and 0.14 M KCl were mixed in the standard reaction buffer (without sucrose) to vary the chloride concentration and maintain constant osmolarity. The

15   ionophores nigericin and valinomycin used in Fig. 13E were prepared as 200x stock solutions in ethanol. The final ethanol concentration in the reaction mixture was 1%.

[0284]        It is understood that the examples and embodiments described herein are for illustrative purposes only and that various modifications or changes in light thereof will be suggested to persons skilled in the art and are to be included within the spirit and purview of

20   this application and scope of the appended claims. All publications, patents, and patent applications cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety for all purposes.